What Information Collection Activity or ICR Does This Apply to?

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are the State Small Business Stationary Source Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistances Programs (SBTCP).

Title: State Small Business Stationary Source Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistances Programs (SBTCP) Annual Reporting Form

ICR numbers: EPA ICR No. 1748.05, OMB Control No. 2060–0337.

ICR status: This ICR is currently scheduled to expire on November 30, 2007. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the Federal Register when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the Federal Register or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

Abstract: As part of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, the U.S. Congress included, as part of Section 507, the requirement that each state establish a Small Business Stationary Source Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistance Program to assist small businesses in complying with the Act. These programs are generally known as Small Business Environmental Assistance Programs (SBEAP). EPA must provide the Congress with periodic reports from the EPA Small Business Ombudsman (SBO) on these programs, including their effectiveness, difficulties encountered, and other relevant information. Each state assistance program will submit requested information to EPA for compilation and summarization. This collection of information is mandatory under Section 507(a), (d), and (e) of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990, Public Law 101-549, November 15, 1990. This Act directs EPA to monitor the SBTCPs and to provide a report to Congress. This responsibility has been delegated to the EPA SBO. Response to the collection is not required to obtain or retain a benefit. Information in the annual Report to Congress is aggregated and is not of a confidential nature. None of the information collected by this action results in/or requests sensitive information of any nature from the states.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 80 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here: Estimated total number of potential

respondents: 53.

Frequency of response: Annual. Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent: 1 per year.

Estimated total annual burden hours: 4561.

Estimated total annual costs: \$163,111.95. This includes an estimated burden cost of \$163,111.95 and an estimated cost of \$0 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs.

Are There Changes in the Estimates From the Last Approval?

There is an increase of 2015 hours in the total estimated respondent burden compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. This increase reflects EPA's and the SBEAPs' desire to make useful data available to the public. The trend among government agencies is towards outcome measures; in the past the data collected through this ICR was of limited use in providing measures of this type. Therefore, the EPA, in consultation with representatives from the state programs, has decided that improved data quality and usefulness is worth the burden increase.

What Is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Dated: September 13, 2007.

Jeanette L. Brown,

Director, Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization.

[FR Doc. E7–18873 Filed 9–24–07; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 6560–50-P$

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8472-3]

Public Water System Supervision Program Variance and Exemption Review for the State of Colorado

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 8 is conducting a statutory review of variances and exemptions issued by the State of Colorado under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Public Water System Supervision (PWSS) program. The SDWA, 42 U.S.C. 300 et seq., requires that EPA periodically review variances and exemptions issued by States with primary enforcement authority to determine compliance with requirements of the Statute. 42 U.S.C. 300g-4(e)(8); 42 U.S.C. 300g-5(d). In accordance with these provisions in the SDWA and its accompanying regulations at 40 CFR 142.22, EPA is giving public notice that the EPA Region 8 will be conducting a review of the variances and exemptions issued by the State of Colorado to Public Water Systems under its jurisdiction. The review will be conducted at Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment on September 25, 2007.

The public is invited to submit comments on any or all variances and/or exemptions issued by the State of Colorado, and on the need for continuing them, by October 15, 2007. Results of this review will be published in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Comments on variances and exemptions issued by the State of Colorado should be addressed to: Robert E. Roberts, Regional Administrator, c/o Jack Theis (8P–W–DW), U.S. EPA, Region 8, 1595 Wynkoop St, Denver, CO

80202–1129. All data and other information with respect to the variances and exemptions issued by the State of Colorado are located at the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South, Denver, Colorado 80246–1530.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack Theis at 303–312–6347 or *Theis.Jack* @epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Colorado has an EPA approved program for assuming primary enforcement authority for the PWSS program, pursuant to section 1413 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), 42 U.S.C. 300g–2 and 40 CFR Part 142.

A. Why do States issue variances and exemptions?

States with primary enforcement authority are authorized to grant variances and exemptions from National Primary Drinking Water Regulations due to particular situations with specific public water systems providing these variances and exemptions meet the requirements of the SWDA Section 1415 and 1416 and are protective of public health

B. Why is a review of the variances and exemption necessary?

Colorado is authorized to grant variances and exemptions to drinking water systems in accordance with the SDWA. The SDWA requires that EPA periodically review State issued variances and exemptions to determine compliance with the Statute. 42 U.S.C. 300g–4(e)(8); 42 U.S.C.300g–5(d).

Dated: September 14, 2007.

Kerrigan G. Clough,

Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 8. [FR Doc. E7–18843 Filed 9–24–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

Economic Impact Policy

This notice is to inform the public that the Export-Import Bank of the United States has received an application to guarantee \$19 million in commercial bank financing for the U.S. export of approximately \$31 million worth of photovoltaic module manufacturing equipment and services for the construction of a new thin film photovoltaic production facility in Germany. The U.S. exports will enable the German company to produce approximately 21.5 megawatts (MW) worth of amorphous silicon thin film

photovoltaic modules per year on average during the 8-year repayment term of the loan. Available information indicates that all of this new German production will be consumed in Germany. Interested parties may submit comments on this transaction by e-mail to economic.impact@exim.gov or by mail to 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Room 1238, Washington, DC 20571, within 14 days of the date this notice appears in the Federal Register.

Helene S. Walsh,

Director, Policy Oversight and Review.
[FR Doc. E7–18888 Filed 9–24–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6690–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 et seq.) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at www.ffiec.gov/nic/.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than October 19, 2007.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland (Douglas A. Banks, Vice President) 1455 East Sixth Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44101–2566:

1. Fifth Third Bancorp, and Fifth Third Financial Corporation, both of Cincinnati, Ohio; to merge with First Charter Corporation, and thereby indirectly acquire First Charter Bank, both of Charlotte, North Carolina.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (David Tatum, Vice President) 1000 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309:

1. City Savings Bancshares, Inc.,
Deridder, Louisiana; to merge with
Louisiana Community Bancshares, Inc.,
Kaplan, Louisiana, and thereby
indirectly acquire Kaplan State Bank,
Kaplan, Louisiana, and Teche Bank &
Trust Co., Saint Martinville, Louisiana.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, September 20, 2007.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. E7–18833 Filed 9–24–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 2007D-0202]

Draft Guidance for Industry: Microbiological Considerations for Antimicrobial Food Additive Submissions; Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug
Administration (FDA) is announcing the
availability of the draft guidance
document entitled "Guidance for
Industry: Microbiological
Considerations for Antimicrobial Food
Additive Submissions." The draft
guidance explains, using a question and
answer format, FDA's current thinking
on a number of microbiological issues
unique to the preparation of premarket
submissions for antimicrobial food
additives.

DATES: Although you can comment on any guidance at any time (see 21 CFR 10.115(g)(5)), to ensure that the agency considers your comment on this draft guidance before it begins work on the final version of the guidance, submit written or electronic comments on the draft guidance by November 26, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit written requests for single copies of the draft guidance document to the Office of Food Additive Safety (HFS-200), Center for Food

Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and