

	Period to be reviewed
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
<i>Canada:</i> Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod, A-122-840	10/1/06-9/30/07
Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004 L.P. (formerly Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P.) Sivaco Ontario, a division of Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P. (formerly Ivaco, Inc.) Mittal Canada Inc. (formerly Ispat Sidbec Inc.).	
<i>The People's Republic of China:</i> Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers ¹ A-570-822	10/1/06-9/30/07
Hangzhou Spring Washer, Co., Ltd.	
<i>The People's Republic of China:</i> Polyvinyl Alcohol ² A-570-879	10/1/06-9/30/07
Sinopec Sichuan Vinylon Works	
<i>Trinidad and Tobago:</i> Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod A-274-804	10/1/06-9/30/07
Mittal Steel Point Lisas Limited	
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
None.	
Suspension Agreements	
None.	

¹ If the above-named company does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporter is a part.

² If the above-named company does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Polyvinyl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporter is a part.

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under section 351.211 or a determination under section 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: November 14, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-22970 Filed 11-23-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-475-703

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 26, 2007.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on granular polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) resin from Italy, covering the period August 1, 2005, through July 31, 2006. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Solvay Solexis, Inc. and Solvay Solexis S.p.A. (collectively, Solvay Solexis). Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made no changes in the margin calculation for these final results.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Salim Bhabhrawala, at (202) 482-1784; AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 29, 2006, the Department published the notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review, covering the period August 1, 2005, through July 31, 2006 (the period of review, or POR). *See Initiation of Antidumping and*

Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 71 FR 57465 (September 29, 2006).

On July 20, 2007, the Department published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Granular PTFE Resin from Italy. *See Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy*, 72 FR 39790 (July 20, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On September 5, 2007, we received a case brief from Solvay Solexis. On September 11, 2007, we received a rebuttal brief from the petitioner.¹

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this order is granular PTFE resin, filled or unfilled. This order also covers PTFE wet raw polymer exported from Italy to the United States. *See Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy; Final Affirmative Determination of Circumvention of Antidumping Duty Order*, 58 FR 26100 (April 30, 1993). This order excludes PTFE dispersions in water and fine powders. During the period covered by this review, such merchandise was classified under item number 3904.61.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). We are providing this HTSUS number for convenience and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) purposes only. The written description of the scope remains dispositive.

¹ The petitioner is E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company (DuPont).

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum” (*Decision Memorandum*) from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated November 15, 2007, which is hereby adopted by this notice. Attached to this notice, as an appendix, is a list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded in the *Decision Memorandum*. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), Room B–099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memorandum* can be accessed directly on the Import Administration website at ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and the electronic version of the *Decision Memorandum* are identical in content. Because the margin calculation for Solvay Solexis has not changed from the preliminary results, the preliminary calculations placed on the record of this administrative review are adopted as the final margin calculations.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average margin exists for the period of August 1, 2005, through July 31, 2006:

Producer	Weighted-Average Margin (Percentage)
Solvay Solexis, Inc. and Solvay Solexis S.p.A (collectively, Solvay Solexis)	35.35

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and the CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated importer-specific assessment rates by dividing the dumping margin found on the subject merchandise examined by the entered value of such merchandise. Where the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on that importer’s entries of subject merchandise. The Department intends to issue appropriate instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of these final results of review.

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003. *See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by the respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all–others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, *see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Cash Deposit Requirements

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided by section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) for the exporter/manufacturer covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed above; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the most recent final results in which that producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the producer of the merchandise in these final results of review or in the most recent final results in which that producer participated; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be 46.46 percent, the “all–others” rate established in the less–than–fair–value investigation. *See Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy*, 53 FR 26096 (July 11, 1988). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of

antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is also the reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 15, 2007.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

Comment 1: Calculation of Solvay Solexis’ General and Administrative (G&A) Expense Ratio
Comment 2: Offsets for Non–Dumped Sales
[FR Doc. E7–22968 Filed 11–23–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XD97

Endangered Species; File No. 10022

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; receipt of application.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that Raymond Carthy, Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, University of Florida, P.O. Box 110485, Gainesville, Florida 23611–0450, has applied in due form for a permit to take loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*), green (*Chelonia mydas*), and Kemp’s ridley (*Lepidochelys kempii*) sea turtles for purposes of scientific research.