

ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Final Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on CPF from Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/ Producers	Weighted Average Margin (percent)
Siam Agro Industry Pineapple and Others Co., Ltd. (SAICO)	51.16
Malee Sampran Factory Public Co., Ltd. (Malee)	41.74
The Thai Pineapple Public Co., Ltd. (TIPCO)	Revoked ¹
Dole Food Company, Inc., Dole Packaged Foods Company, and Dole Thailand, Ltd. (collectively, Dole)	Revoked ²
Siam Food Products, Ltd. (SFP)	Revoked ³
Kuibiri Fruit Canning Company, Ltd. (KFC)	Revoked ⁴
All Others	24.64

¹ Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination To Revoke Order in Part: Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand, 69 FR 50164 (August 13, 2004).

² Id.

³ See Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Rescission of Administrative Review in Part, and Final Determination To Revoke Order in Part: Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand, 67 FR 76719 (August 13, 2004).

⁴ See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination To Revoke Order in Part: Canned Pineapple Fruit from Thailand, 69 FR 50164 (August 13, 2004).

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the final results of this full sunset review in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(1)(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 27, 2007.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-3891 Filed 3-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-274-804]

Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Trinidad and Tobago: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 7, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping (AD) administrative review on carbon and alloy steel wire rod (wire rod) from Trinidad and Tobago. The period of review (POR) is October 1, 2004, through September 30, 2005. See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Trinidad and Tobago: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 65077 (November 7, 2006) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers Mittal Steel Point Lisas Limited (MSPL), manufacturer of the subject merchandise, and its affiliates Mittal Steel North America Inc. (MSNA) and Mittal Walker Wire Inc. (collectively, Mittal). Neither the petitioners nor the respondent commented on the preliminary results.

The Department has made some minor corrections to the margin program used for the preliminary results. See *Changes Since the Preliminary Results* section below. Although we have made certain changes since the preliminary results, these final results do not differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the *Final Results of Review* section.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 6, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Moore or Dennis McClure, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3692 or (202) 482-5973, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 7, 2006, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the AD order on wire rod from Trinidad and Tobago. See *Preliminary Results*, 71 FR 65077. This review covers imports of wire rod from Mittal during the POR, October 1, 2004, through September 30, 2005. We invited interested parties to comment on

the *Preliminary Results*. As noted above, the Department did not receive any comments.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this order is certain hot-rolled products of carbon steel and alloy steel, in coils, of approximately round cross section, 5.00 mm or more, but less than 19.00 mm, in solid cross-sectional diameter.

Specifically excluded are steel products possessing the above-noted physical characteristics and meeting the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) definitions for (a) stainless steel; (b) tool steel; (c) high nickel steel; (d) ball bearing steel; and (e) concrete reinforcing bars and rods. Also excluded are (f) free machining steel products (*i.e.*, products that contain by weight one or more of the following elements: 0.03 percent or more of lead, 0.05 percent or more of bismuth, 0.08 percent or more of sulfur, more than 0.04 percent of phosphorus, more than 0.05 percent of selenium, or more than 0.01 percent of tellurium).

Also excluded from the scope are 1080 grade tire cord quality wire rod and 1080 grade tire bead quality wire rod. This grade 1080 tire cord quality rod is defined as: (i) grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod measuring 5.0 mm or more but not more than 6.0 mm in cross-sectional diameter; (ii) with an average partial decarburization of no more than 70 microns in depth (maximum individual 200 microns); (iii) having no non-deformable inclusions greater than 20 microns and no deformable inclusions greater than 35 microns; (iv) having a carbon segregation per heat average of 3.0 or better using European Method NFA 04-114; (v) having a surface quality with no surface defects of a length greater than 0.15 mm; (vi) capable of being drawn to a diameter of 0.30 mm or less with 3 or fewer breaks per ton; and, (vii) containing by weight the following elements in the proportions shown: (1) 0.78 percent or more of carbon, (2) less than 0.01 percent of aluminum, (3) 0.040 percent or less, in the aggregate, of phosphorus and sulfur, (4) 0.006 percent or less of nitrogen, and (5) not more than 0.15 percent, in the aggregate, of copper, nickel and chromium.

This grade 1080 tire bead quality rod is defined as: (i) grade 1080 tire bead quality wire rod measuring 5.5 mm or more but not more than 7.0 mm in cross-sectional diameter; (ii) with an average partial decarburization of no more than 70 microns in depth (maximum individual 200 microns); (iii) having no non-deformable inclusions greater than 20 microns and no

deformable inclusions greater than 35 microns; (iv) having a carbon segregation per heat average of 3.0 or better using European Method NFA 04-114; (v) having a surface quality with no surface defects of a length greater than 0.2 mm; (vi) capable of being drawn to a diameter of 0.78 mm or larger with 0.5 or fewer breaks per ton; and (vii) containing by weight the following elements in the proportions shown: (1) 0.78 percent or more of carbon, (2) less than 0.01 percent of soluble aluminum, (3) 0.040 percent or less, in the aggregate, of phosphorus and sulfur, (4) 0.008 percent or less of nitrogen, and (5) either not more than 0.15 percent, in the aggregate, of copper, nickel and chromium (if chromium is not specified), or not more than 0.10 percent in the aggregate of copper and nickel and a chromium content of 0.24 to 0.30 percent (if chromium is specified).

For purposes of the grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod and the grade 1080 tire bead quality wire rod, an inclusion will be considered to be deformable if its ratio of length (measured along the axis - that is, the direction of rolling - of the rod) over thickness (measured on the same inclusion in a direction perpendicular to the axis of the rod) is equal to or greater than three. The size of an inclusion for purposes of the 20 microns and 35 microns limitations is the measurement of the largest dimension observed on a longitudinal section measured in a direction perpendicular to the axis of the rod. This measurement methodology applies only to inclusions on certain grade 1080 tire cord quality wire rod and certain grade 1080 tire bead quality wire rod that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after July 24, 2003. *See Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 68 FR 64079, 64081 (November 12, 2003).

The designation of the products as "tire cord quality" or "tire bead quality" indicates the acceptability of the product for use in the production of tire cord, tire bead, or wire for use in other rubber reinforcement applications such as hose wire. These quality designations are presumed to indicate that these products are being used in tire cord, tire bead, and other rubber reinforcement applications, and such merchandise intended for the tire cord, tire bead, or other rubber reinforcement applications is not included in the scope. However, should petitioners or other interested parties provide a reasonable basis to believe or suspect that there exists a

pattern of importation of such products for other than those applications, end-use certification for the importation of such products may be required. Under such circumstances, only the importers of record would normally be required to certify the end use of the imported merchandise.

All products meeting the physical description of subject merchandise that are not specifically excluded are included in this scope.

The products under review are currently classifiable under subheadings 7213.91.3010, 7213.91.3090, 7213.91.4510, 7213.91.4590, 7213.91.6010, 7213.91.6090, 7213.99.0031, 7213.99.0038, 7213.99.0090, 7227.20.0010, 7227.20.0020, 7227.20.0090, 7227.20.0095, 7227.90.6051, 7227.90.6053, 7227.90.6058, and 7227.90.6059 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Subsequent to the preliminary results, we discovered some minor technical problems with the computer program we used to calculate the margin. We found that several incorrect temporary data sets were used in the preliminary calculations. For the final results, we changed the names of the following temporary data sets in the margin program to correspond to the names in the comparison market program. In the margin program, we changed the names of the weighted-average cost data set, the weighted-average comparison market data set, and the weighted-average comparison market profit and selling expense data set. Correcting these problems does not change the *de minimis* margin from the preliminary results. *See* November 7, 2006, Memorandum to the File from Case Analysts, "Telephone Call Regarding a Technical Clarification of the Preliminary Calculation," a public document on file in room B-099 of the Central Records Unit (CRU). In addition, in our preliminary calculation, when we calculated the foreign unit price in dollars, we incorrectly converted the gross unit price variable, the credit expense variable, and the indirect selling expense variable, which were already reported in U.S. dollars. We have made the necessary corrections to the margin program as noted in our Final Calculation Memorandum, to the file, dated March 7, 2007, the public version of which is on file in the CRU.

Final Results of Review

As noted above, there have been no changes from the *Preliminary Results*, except for the minor clarification of temporary databases and the correction of the currency conversion error. Therefore, we are not attaching a Decision Memorandum to this **Federal Register** notice. For further details of the issues addressed in this proceeding, see the *Preliminary Results*.

As a result of this review, we find that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period October 1, 2004, through September 30, 2005:

Producer/Manufacturer	Weighted-Average Margin
Mittal Steel Point Lisas Limited	0.06% (<i>i.e.</i> , <i>de minimis</i>)

Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the examined sales for that importer. Where the assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003 (68 FR 23954). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Mittal where Mittal did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the "All Others" rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of wire rod from Trinidad and Tobago, entered or

withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a) of the Act: (1) For Mittal no cash deposit will be required; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review, but covered in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate established in the final determination; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the LTFV investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the producer of the subject merchandise for the most recent period; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review or the less-than-fair-value investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 11.40 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the investigation. *See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Trinidad and Tobago*, 67 FR 55788 (August 30, 2002). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation that is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with

sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 27, 2007.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-3892 Filed 3-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-825]

Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 31, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods ("OCTG"), other than drill pipe, from Korea for the period ("POR") August 1, 2004 through July 31, 2005. *See Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 51797 (August 31, 2006) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers the following manufacturers/exporters: Husteel Co., Ltd. ("Husteel") and SeAH Steel Corporation ("SeAH"). Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the Preliminary Results. For the final dumping margins see the "Final Results of Review" section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 6, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott Lindsay, Nicholas Czajkowski, or Dara Iserson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-0780, (202) 482-1395, or (202) 482-4052, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 31, 2006, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on OCTG from Korea. *See Preliminary Results*. Since the *Preliminary Results*, the following events have occurred. We received case briefs on October 2, 2006, and rebuttal briefs on October 10, 2006.

On October 24, 2006, the Department sent a letter to the parties informing them that Domestic Interested Parties, IPSCO Tubulars, Inc., Lone Star Steel Company, and Maverick Tube Corporations (collectively, IPSCO Tubulars) as well as the Petitioner, U.S. Steel Corporation (U.S. Steel) were being provided an opportunity to submit a rebuttal brief solely in reference to a new issue raised by Respondents in their case brief. The Department received these rebuttal briefs from IPSCO Tubulars on October 30, 2006, and U.S. Steel Corporation on November 1, 2006. On December 22, 2006, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department extended the final results by 60 days to February 27, 2006. *See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Administrative Review: Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea*, 71 FR 76977 (December 22, 2006).

Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order

The products covered by this order are OCTG, hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including only oil well casing and tubing, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute ("API") or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing or tubing pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium, or drill pipe. The products subject to this order are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") under sub-headings: 7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40, 7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30, 7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.30.10, 7304.29.30.20, 7304.29.30.30, 7304.29.30.40, 7304.29.30.50, 7304.29.30.60, 7304.29.30.80, 7304.29.40.10, 7304.29.40.20, 7304.29.40.30, 7304.29.40.40, 7304.29.40.50, 7304.29.40.60, 7304.29.40.80, 7304.29.50.15, 7304.29.50.30, 7304.29.50.45, 7304.29.50.60, 7304.29.50.75, 7304.29.60.15, 7304.29.60.30, 7304.29.60.45, 7304.29.60.60, 7304.29.60.75, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.20.10.30, 7306.20.10.90, 7306.20.20.00, 7306.20.30.00, 7306.20.40.00, 7306.20.60.10,