be 1,885 hours per respondent (i.e., an annual average of 113,084 hours of burden divided among an anticipated annual average of 60 facilities). The State reporting and record keeping burden for the review, oversight, and administration of the rule is estimated to average 111 hours per respondent (i.e., an annual average of 5,125 hours of burden divided among an anticipated 46 States on average per year). Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here:

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 68 facilities and 46 States and Territories.

Frequency of response: Annual reports and application every 5 years.

Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent: 5.3 for facilities (317 annual average responses for an average of 60 facility respondents) and 6.1 for States and Territories (280 annual average responses for an average of 46 State respondents).

Estimated total annual burden hours: 118,209 (113,084 for facilities and 5,125 for States and Territories).

Estimated total annual costs: \$8.5 million per year. This includes an estimated burden cost of \$6.7 and an estimated cost of \$1.8 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs.

Are There Changes in the Estimates From the Last Approval?

There is an increase of 41,941 hours in the total estimated respondent burden compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. This increase is due to the addition of the newly built facilities, as well as the continued performance of annual activities by facilities that received their permit during the previous ICR approval periods. In addition, this ICR includes additional repermitting burden and costs which were not in the currently approved ICR (EPA ICR Number: 1973.03) because more facilities are entering the renewal phase of their permits.

What Is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

Dated: March 20, 2008.

James A. Hanlon,

Director, Office of Wastewater Management. [FR Doc. E8–6408 Filed 3–27–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6697-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20070367, ERP No. D–AFS– A65177–00

National Forest System Land Management Planning, Implementation, Proposed Land Management Planning Rule at 36 CFR Part 219 to Finish Rulemaking.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about annual monitoring, and how the proposed rule would ensure that it would be conducted. In addition, the final EIS should clarify under what circumstances the public will receive notification for changes in monitoring plans/strategy. *Rating* EC2.

EIS No. 20070550, ERP No. D–FHW– F40441–MN

US-14 Reconstruction Project, Improvement to Truck Highway 14 from Front Street in New Ulm to Nicollet County Road 6 in North Mankato Brown and Nicollet Counties, MN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to riparian forested wetlands and surface water quality, and requested additional information on mitigation areas and the corridor preservation plan. *Rating* EC2.

EIS No. 20070555, ERP No. D–DHS– K80050–CA

U.S. Border Patrol San Diego Sector, Proposed Construction, Operation, and Maintenance of Tactical Infrastructure, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections because of the proposed filling of two riparian corridors and has concerns about erosion impacts. EPA recommended avoiding fill in these canyons, avoiding fence construction on steep slopes, and alternatives to pedestrian fencing across streams.

Rating EO2.

EIS No. 20080025, ERP No. D–FTA– G59004–TX

Northwest Corridor Light Rail Transit Line (LRT) to Irving /Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, Construction, Dallas County, TX.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20080031, ERP No. D–AFS– F65069–MN

Glacier Project, To Maintain and Promote Native Vegetation, Communities that are Diverse, Productive, Healthy, Implementation, Superior National Forest, Kawishiwi Ranger District, St. Louis and Lake Counties, MN.

Summary: EPA does not object to the action alternatives proposed. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20070450, ERP No. DS-WPA-J08026-00

Big Stone II Power Plant and Transmission Project, Addresses the Impacts of Changes to the Proposed Action relative to Cooling Alternatives and the Use of Groundwater as Backup Water Source, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Grant County, SD and Big Stone County, MN. Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about ground water impacts, and requested a detailed assessment of the wetland and streamcrossing impacts of the transmission line corridors and how mercury emissions will be addressed. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070547, ERP No. DS–COE– G39044–TX

Central City Project, Proposed Modification to the Authorized Projects which provides Flood Damage Reduction, Habitat Improvement, Recreation and Urban Revitalization, Upper Trinity River Central City, Upper Trinity River Basin, Trinity River, TX.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency. Rating NC.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20080036, ERP No. F–GSA– J80047–CO

Denver Federal Central Site Plan Study, Master Site Plan, Implementation, City of Lakewood, Jefferson County, CO.

Summary: EPA's previous concerns have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20080056, ERP No. F–AFS– L65530–AK

Tonga's Land and Resource Management Plan, Plan Amendment, Implementation, Tonga's National Forest, AK.

Summary: The final EIS addressed EPA's concerns; therefore, EPA does not object to the project.

Dated: February 25, 2008.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E8–6422 Filed 3–27–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6697-3]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa/.

- Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements
- Filed 03/17/2008 Through 03/21/2008 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.
- EIS No. 20080101, Final EIS, FHW, ID, ID–75 Timmerman to Ketchum—US– 20 to Saddle Road, Preferred

Alternative is 2, Increase Roadway and Transportation Safety, Cities of Bellevue, Hailey, Ketchum and the City of Sun Valley, Blaine County, ID, *Wait Period Ends:* 04/28/2008, *Contact:* Peter J. Hartman 208–334– 9180.

- EIS No. 20080102, Final EIS, BLM, 00, Yuma Field Office (YFO) Resource Management Plan, Provide Direction Managing Public Lands, Implementation, Yuma, La Paz and Maricopa Counties, AZ and Imperial and Riverside Counties, CA, Wait Period Ends: 04/28/2008, Contact: Brenda Hudgens-Williams 202–452– 5112.
- EIS No. 20080103, Draft EIS, USN, FL, Mayport Naval Station Project, Proposed Homeporting of Additional Surface Ships, Several Permits, Mayport, FL, Comment Period Ends: 05/12/2008, Contact: Erica Evans 703–412–7542.
- EIS No. 20080104, Final EIS, JUS, NV, Las Vegas Detention Facility, Proposed Contractor-Owned/ Contractor-Operated Detention Facility, Implementation, Nevada Area, Wait Period Ends: 04/28/2008, Contact: Scott P. Stermer 202–353– 4601.
- EIS No. 20080105, Draft EIS, AFS, CA, Orleans Community Fuels Reduction and Forest Health Project, To Manage Forest Stands to Reduce Hazardous Fuel Conditions, Orleans Ranger District, Six Rivers National Forest, Humboldt County, CA, Comment Period Ends: 05/13/2008, Contact: William Rice 530–627–3291.
- EIS No. 20080106, Draft EIS, AFS, CO, Long Draw Reservoir Project, Re-Issue a Special-Use-Authorization to Water Supply and Storage to Allow the Continued Use of Long Draw Reservoir and Dam, Arapaho and Roosevelt National Forests and Pawnee National Grassland, Grand and Larimer Counties, CO, Comment Period Ends: 05/12/2008, Contact: Ken Tu 970–295–6623.
- EIS No. 20080107, Final Supplement, NOA, 00, Atlantic Mackerel, Squid and Butterfish, Fishery Management Plan, Amendment #9, Implementation, Essential Fish Habitat (EFH), Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), Wait Period Ends: 04/28/ 2008, Contact: Patricia A. Kurkul 978–281–9250.
- EIS No. 20080108, Revised Final EIS, WAP, CA, Sacramento Area Voltage Support Project, Revision to FSEIS Filed February 2008, Selected Preferred Alternative B, Proposal to Build a Double-Circuit 230-kV Transmission Line, Placer, Sacramento and Sutter Counties, CA,

Wait Period Ends: 04/28/2008, Contact: Catherine Cunningham 720– 962–7260.

- EIS No. 20080109, Final EIS, AFS, OR, Mt. Hood National Forest and Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Site-Specific Invasive Plant Treatments, Forest Plan Amendments #16, Mt. Hood National Forest and Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Clackamas, Hood River, Multnomah and Wasco Counties, OR, *Wait Period Ends:* 04/28/2008, *Contact:* Jennie O'Connor 503–668– 1645.
- EIS No. 20080110, Final EIS, BIA, CA, Scotts Valley Band of Pomo Indians, Proposed 29.87 Acre Fee-to-Trust Transfer and Casino Project, Contra Costa County, Comment Period Ends: 04/28/2008, Contact: John Rydzik 916–978–6042.
- EIS No. 20080111, Draft EIS, COE, 00, PROGRAMMATIC—Hydropower Rehabilitations, Dissolved Oxygen and Minimum Flow Regimes at Wolf Creek Dam, Kentucky and Center Hill and Dale Hollow Dams, Tennessee, Implementation, Comment Period Ends: 05/12/2008, Contact: Chip Hall 615–736–7666.
- EIS No. 20080112, Draft Supplement, NOA, CA, Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary Management Plan, Supplement/Replace Information, Implementation, Santa Barbara and Ventura Counties, CA, Comment Period Ends: 05/30/2008, Contact: Chris Mobley 805–966–7107 Ext 465.
- EIS No. 20080113, Draft EIS, FRC, FL, Floridian Natural Gas Storage Project, Construction and Operation, Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Storage and Natural Gas Transmission Facilities, Martin County, FL, Comment Period Ends: 05/12/2008, Contact: Andy Black 1–866–208– 3372.
- EIS No. 20080114, Draft EIS, BPA, WA, Lyle Falls Fish Passage Project, To Improve Fish Passage to Habitat in the Upper Part of the Watershed, Located on the Lower Klickitat River, Klickitat County, WA, Comment Period Ends: 05/12/2008, Contact: Carl Keller 503– 230–7692.
- EIS No. 20080115, Draft EIS, UAF, FL, Eglin Air Force Base Program, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) 2005 Decisions and Related Action, Implementation, FL, Comment Period Ends: 05/12/2008, Contact: Mike Spaits 850–882–2878.
- EIS No. 20080116, Final EIS, FRC, 00, Gulf Crossing Project, Construction and Operation of Natural Gas Pipeline to Facilitate the Transport of up to 1.73 Billion Cubic Feet Per Day of Natural Gas, Locate in various