

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

2008–09–07 Boeing: Amendment 39–15488. Docket No. FAA–2008–0411; Directorate Identifier 2008–NM–061–AD.

Effective Date

(a) This airworthiness directive (AD) is effective May 8, 2008.

Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to all Boeing Model 757–200, –200PF, –200CB, and –300 series airplanes, and Model 767–200, 767–300, and 767–300F series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD was prompted by an error in the operating program software (OPS) of the engine indication and crew alerting system (EICAS). The error prevents the display of an advisory message to the flight crew of a left engine fuel filter contamination and imminent bypass condition, which may indicate an imminent multiple engine thrust loss or engine malfunction event due to fuel contamination. We are issuing this AD to prevent malfunction and thrust loss on both engines, which could result in a forced off-airport landing.

Compliance

(e) Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

Revision of Airplane Flight Manual (AFM)

(f) Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, revise the Limitations section of the applicable AFM to include the following. This may be done by inserting a copy of this AD into the AFM.

“If the STATUS cue shows while on the ground after engine start or during flight, select the status page on the secondary EICAS display, and verify the “L ENG FUEL FILT” message is not shown. If the “L ENG FUEL FILT” message is not shown on the status page, the secondary engine parameters may be reselected on the secondary EICAS display, or the display may be blanked. If the “L ENG FUEL FILT” message is shown on the status display, accomplish the ENGINE FUEL FILTER non-normal checklist as published in the Boeing Quick Reference Handbook. If on the ground, check the Dispatch Deviations Guide (DDG), or operator equivalent.

In the event that the status level “L ENG FUEL FILT” and advisory level “R ENG FUEL FILT” messages are simultaneously shown, an impending fuel filter bypass condition exists on both engines. With both messages shown, airplane fuel system contamination may be present and may result in erratic engine operation or flameout.

Further flight crew action in response to either or both the “L ENG FUEL FILT” status-level message and the “R ENG FUEL FILT” advisory level messages being shown are not established by Boeing or the FAA. Any

further flight crew action should be determined by individual operator policy.

Boeing policy on flight crew use of status-level messages has not changed. After engine start, any condition having an adverse effect on safe continuation of the flight appears as an EICAS alert message (Warning, Caution, or Advisory). If other status-level messages are shown as a consequence of complying with these temporary operating instructions, the flight crew should respond in accordance with the appropriate operator policy.

Dispatch of the airplane with an inoperative EICAS display unit is prohibited.

(g) If all affected airplanes in an operator's fleet have been verified by the operator to have EICAS computer part number S242N701–1001 and only EICAS OPS versions other than Version 6 software that are FAA approved for that airplane, then accomplishment of the actions specified in paragraph (f) of this AD is not required.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(h)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, ATTN: Judy Coyle, Aerospace Engineer, Propulsion Branch, ANM–140S, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 917–6497; fax (425) 917–6590; has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

Material Incorporated by Reference

(i) None.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 14, 2008.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E8–8653 Filed 4–22–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 71**

[Docket No FAA–2007–29260; Aerospace Docket 07–ASO–24]

Establishment of Class E Airspace; Winona, MS

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action establishes Class E airspace at Winona, MS. An Area Navigation (RNAV) Global Positioning

System (GPS) Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAP) Runways (RWY) 03–21 has been developed for Winona-Montgomery County Airport and as a result, controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet Above Ground Level (AGL) is needed to contain the SIAP and for Instrument Flight Rule (IFR) operations at Winona-Montgomery County Airport. The operating status of the airport will change from Visual Flight Rules (VFR) to include IFA operations concurrent with the publication of the SIAP.

DATES: 0901 UTC, June 5, 2008. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under Title 1, Code of Federal Regulations, part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melinda Giddens, System Support, Eastern Service Center, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305–5610.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**History**

On January 31, 2008, the FAA proposed to amend part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) by establishing Class E at Winona, MS, (73 FR 5776). This action provides adequate Class E airspace for IFR operations at Winona-Montgomery County Airport. Designations for Class E airspace are published in FAA Order 7400.9R, signed August 15, 2007, and effective September 15, 2007, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal were received. The area will be depicted on Aeronautical Charts for pilot reference.

The Rule

The FAA is amending Part 71 of the Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to establish Class E airspace at Winona, MS, to provide controlled airspace required to support the Area Navigation (RNAV) Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAP) Runways (RWY) 03/21 that were developed for Winona-Montgomery County Airport.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an

established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority.

This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part, A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it establishes Class E airspace at Winona, MS.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

■ In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9R, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, signed August 15, 2007, and effective September 15, 2007, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

* * * * *

ASO MS E5 Winona, MS [New]

Winona-Montgomery County Airport, MS (Lat. 33°27'54" N., long. 89°43'48.8" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface of the Earth within a 6.9-mile radius of Winona-Montgomery County Airport.

* * * * *

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on April 7, 2008.

Kathy Swann,

*Acting Manager, System Support Group,
Eastern Service Center, Air Traffic
Organization.*

[FR Doc. E8–8578 Filed 4–22–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

18 CFR Part 39

[Docket Nos. AD08–6–000 and RM05–30–000]

Rules Concerning Certification of the Electric Reliability Organization; and Procedures for the Establishment, Approval, and Enforcement of Electric Reliability Standards; Statement of Administrative Policy on Processing Reliability Notices of Penalty and Order Revising Statement in Order No. 672

Issued April 17, 2008.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

ACTION: Final Rule; Statement of Administrative Policy.

SUMMARY: On February 3, 2006, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission issued a Final Rule (Order No. 672) implementing Subtitle A (Reliability Standards) of the Electricity Modernization Act of 2005, which is Title XII of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct). The Commission is issuing a policy statement that adopts administrative policy on Commission review of notices of penalty for violation of Reliability Standards and that modifies Order No. 672.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 17, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Roger P. Morie, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502–8446.

Christy Walsh (Legal Information), Office of the General Counsel, Federal

Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502–6523.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Before Commissioners: Joseph T. Kelliher, Chairman; Sudeen G. Kelly, Marc Spitzer, Philip D. Moeller, and Jon Wellingshoff.

1. On February 3, 2006, the Commission issued a Final Rule (Order No. 672),¹ implementing Subtitle A (Reliability Standards) of the Electricity Modernization Act of 2005, which is Title XII of the Energy Policy Act of 2005.² Among other things, Order No. 672 amended the Commission's regulations to implement section 215(e) of the Federal Power Act (FPA), which authorizes the Electric Reliability Organization (ERO) to impose a penalty for a violation of a Reliability Standard by a user, owner or operator of the Bulk-Power System, subject to an opportunity for Commission review.³ In this order, the Commission adopts this statement of administrative policy on Commission review of these penalties.⁴ In addition, the Commission modifies our statement in Order No. 672 that any settlement of an alleged violation of a Reliability Standard that the ERO files with the Commission should be filed for information purposes only and that these settlements will not be subject to Commission review pursuant to section 39.7(e) of our regulations.⁵ Any settlement filed by the ERO after the date of this order will be subject to Commission review pursuant to section 39.7(e), although the Commission continues to encourage these settlements and expects that it will normally allow ERO or Regional Entity settlements to become effective.

I. Background

2. Pursuant to FPA section 215(e)(1),⁶ the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), in its capacity as

¹ *Rules Concerning Certification of the Electric Reliability Organization; Procedures for the Establishment, Approval, and Enforcement of Electric Reliability Standards*, Order No. 672, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,204 (2006), *order on reh'g*, Order No. 672–A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,212 (2006).

² Public Law No. 109–58, Title XII, Subtitle A, 119 Stat. 594, 941, codified at 16 U.S.C. 824a (Supp. V 2005).

³ These implementing regulations are found in Part 39 of our regulations, 18 CFR Part 39 (2007).

⁴ FPA section 215(e)(3) empowers the Commission itself to impose a penalty against a user, owner or operator for a violation of a Reliability Standard. This order does not address the Commission's procedures for imposing these penalties. The Commission discussed these procedures in *Statement of Administrative Policy Regarding the Process for Assessing Civil Penalties*, 117 FERC ¶ 61,317, at P 5 & n.15 (2006).

⁵ See Order No. 672 at P 598.

⁶ 16 U.S.C. 824a(e)(1) (Supp. V (2005)).