

<http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** OMB regulations at 5 CFR 1320, which implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities [see 5 CFR 1320.8(d)]. We have submitted a request to OMB to renew its approval for the collection of information for 30 CFR 705 and the Form OSM-23, Restriction on financial interests of State employees. We are requesting a 3-year term of approval for this information collection activity.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for this collection of information is 1029-0067.

As required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), a **Federal Register** notice soliciting comments on 30 CFR 705 was published on February 12, 2008 (73 FR 8063). No comments were received. This notice provides you with an additional 30 days in which to comment on the following information collection activity:

**Title:** Restriction on financial interests of State employees, 30 CFR 705.

**OMB Control Number:** 1029-0067.

**Summary:** Respondents supply information on employment and financial interests. The purpose of the collection is to ensure compliance with section 517(g) of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977, which places an absolute prohibition on employees of regulatory authorities having a direct or indirect financial interest in underground or surface coal mining operations.

**Bureau Form Number:** OSM-23.

**Frequency of Collection:** Entrance on duty and annually.

**Description of Respondents:** Any State regulatory authority employee or member of advisory boards or commissions established in accordance with State law or regulation to represent multiple interests who performs any function or duty under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act.

**Total Annual Responses:** 3,540.

**Total Annual Burden Hours:** 1,184.

Send comments on the need for the collection of information for the performance of the functions of the agency; the accuracy of the agency's burden estimates; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and ways to minimize the information collection

burden on respondents, such as use of automated means of collection of the information, to the addresses listed under **ADDRESSES**. Please refer to OMB control number 1029-0067 in your correspondence.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: May 8, 2008.

**John R. Craynon,**

*Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.*

[FR Doc. E8-10731 Filed 5-14-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-05-M**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-991 (Review)]

### Silicon Metal From Russia

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Scheduling of an expedited five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Russia.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Russia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 6, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the

Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.** On May 6, 2008, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (73 FR 6204, February 1, 2008) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.<sup>2</sup>

**Staff report.** A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on June 2, 2008, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

**Written submissions.** As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,<sup>3</sup> and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before June 5, 2008, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by June 5, 2008. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final

<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun did not participate.

<sup>3</sup> The Commission has found the responses submitted by Globe Metallurgical Inc. to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: May 9, 2008.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E8-10785 Filed 5-14-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)

Notice is hereby given that on May 1, 2008, a proposed Settlement Agreement regarding the Asarco Hayden Plant Site in Hayden, Arizona was filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas in *In re Asarco LLC*, No. 05-21207 (Bankr. S.D. Tex.). The proposed Agreement, entered into by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, and Asarco LLC, provides, *inter alia*, that Asarco LLC will conduct environmental cleanup actions in Hayden and Winkelman, Arizona, including cleanup of residential areas and environmental investigative work at the Hayden Smelter.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Agreement for a period of twenty (20) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *In re Asarco LLC*, DJ Ref. No. 90-11-3-09141/4.

The proposed Agreement may be examined at the Region 9 Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105. During the public comment period, the proposed Agreement may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the proposed Agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$11.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

**Robert E. Maher, Jr.,**

*Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. E8-10820 Filed 5-14-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### United States v. Regal Cinemas, Inc. and Consolidated Theatres Holdings, GP; Complaint, Proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 16(b)-(h), that a Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the *United States District Court for the District of Columbia in States of America v. Regal Cinemas, Inc.* and Consolidated Theatres Holdings, GP, Civil Action No. 08-00746. On April 29, 2008, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the proposed acquisition by Regal Cinemas, Inc. of

Consolidated Theatres Holdings, GP, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18 by lessening competition for theatrical exhibition of first-run movies in Asheville, Charlotte, and Raleigh, North Carolina. The proposed Final Judgment, filed the same time as the Complaint, requires the defendants to divest first-run, commercial movie theatres, along with certain tangible and intangible assets, in those three geographic regions in order to proceed with the proposed \$210 million transaction. A Competitive Impact Statement filed by the United States on April 30, 2008 describes the Complaint, the proposed Final Judgment, the industry, and the remedies available to private litigants who may have been injured by the alleged violation.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice in Washington, DC in Suite 1010, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Washington, DC. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to John R. Read, Chief, Litigation III Section, Suite 4000, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530, (telephone: 202 307-0468). At the conclusion of the sixty (60) day comment period, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia may enter the proposed consent decree upon finding that it serves the public interest.

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

#### United States District Court for the District of Columbia

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Regal Cinemas, Inc., and Consolidated Theatres Holdings, GP, Defendants.

Case: 1:08-cvQQ746.

Assigned To: Leon, Richard J.

Assign. Date: 4/29/2008.

Description: Antitrust.

Filed:

#### Complaint

The United States of America, acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, brings this