and effort for each fishing trip. The submissions must be made within 30 days of the completion of a trip. The information obtained is used by the agency to assess the status of Pacific albacore stocks and to monitor the fishery. The agency also provides an electronic alternative to the hard copy form. Use of this electronic logbook is voluntary.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit organizations.

Frequency: On occasion. Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory. OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395–7285, or David\_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: May 20, 2008.

## Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-11597 Filed 5-22-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Vessel Monitoring System Requirement for American Samoa Pelagic Longline Fishery.

OMB Control Number: 0648–0519. Form Number(s): None.

Type of Request: Regular submission. Burden Hours: 96.

Number of Respondents: 40. Average Hours per Response: VMS installation, 4 hours; and annual maintenance, 2 hours (Automatic transmissions are not counted as burden).

Needs and Uses: Under Amendment 11 to the Fishery Management Plan for Pelagic Fisheries of Western Pacific Region, owners of large vessels (>50 ft in length) registered for use with American Samoa longline limited access permits must allow National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) to install vessel monitoring system (VMS) units on their vessels when directed to do so by NMFS enforcement personnel. VMS units automatically send periodic reports on the position of the vessel. NMFS uses the reports to monitor the vessel's location and activities while enforcing area closures. NMFS pays for the units and messaging.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit organizations.

Frequency: Annually and hourly. Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory. OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395–7285, or David\_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: May 20, 2008.

## Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8–11598 Filed 5–22–08; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–22–P** 

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Census Bureau

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) Wave 2 of the 2008 Panel

**AGENCY:** U.S. Census Bureau, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, written comments must be submitted on or before July 22, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Patrick J. Benton, Census Bureau, Room HQ-6H045, Washington, DC 20233–8400, (301) 763–4618.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Abstract

The Census Bureau conducts the SIPP, which is a household-based survey designed as a continuous series of national panels. New panels are introduced every few years with each panel usually having durations of one to four years. Respondents are interviewed at 4-month intervals or "waves" over the life of the panel. The survey is molded around a central "core" of labor force and income questions that remain fixed throughout the life of the panel. The core is supplemented with questions designed to address specific needs, such as obtaining information on household members' participation in government programs as well as prior labor force patterns of household members. These supplemental questions are included with the core and are referred to as "topical modules."

The SIPP represents a source of information for a wide variety of topics and allows information for separate topics to be integrated to form a single, unified database so that the interaction between tax, transfer, and other government and private policies can be examined. Government domestic-policy formulators depend heavily upon the SIPP information concerning the distribution of income received directly as money or indirectly as in-kind benefits and the effect of tax and transfer programs on this distribution. They also need improved and expanded data on the income and general economic and financial situation of the U.S. population. The SIPP has provided these kinds of data on a continuing basis since 1983 permitting levels of economic well-being and changes in these levels to be measured over time.

The 2008 panel is currently scheduled for 4 years and will include 13 waves of interviewing beginning September 2008. Approximately 65,300 households were selected for the 2008 panel, of

which, 45,000 households are expected to be interviewed. We estimate that each household contains 2.1 people, yielding 94,500 person-level interviews in Wave 1 and subsequent waves. Three waves will occur in the 2008 SIPP Panel during FY 2009. The total annual burden for 2008 Panel SIPP interviews would be 141,750 hours in FY 2009.

The topical modules for the 2008 Panel Wave 2 collect information about:

- Work Disability History
- Education and Training History
- Marital History
- Fertility History
- Migration History
- Household Relationships
- Tax Rebate

Wave 2 interviews will be conducted from January 2009 through April 2009.

A 10-minute reinterview of 3,100 people is conducted at each wave to ensure accuracy of responses. Reinterviews would require an additional 1,553 burden hours in FY 2009

## II. Method of Collection

The SIPP is designed as a continuing series of national panels of interviewed households that are introduced every few years with each panel having durations of 1 to 4 years. All household members 15 years old or over are interviewed using regular proxyrespondent rules. During the 2008 panel, respondents are interviewed a total of 13 times (13 waves) at 4-month intervals making the SIPP a longitudinal survey. Sample people (all household members present at the time of the first interview) who move within the country and reasonably close to a SIPP primary sampling unit will be followed and interviewed at their new address. Individuals 15 years old or over who enter the household after Wave 1 will be interviewed; however, if these individuals move, they are not followed unless they happen to move along with a Wave 1 sample individual.

# III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0607–0944. Form Number: SIPP/CAPI Automated Instrument.

Type of Review: Regular submission. Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 94,500 people per wave.

Estimated Time per Response: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 143,303.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$0. Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Section 182.

## **IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 20, 2008.

#### Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8–11581 Filed 5–22–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **Bureau of Industry and Security**

## Establishment of the Emerging Technology and Research Advisory Committee

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) is announcing the creation of and recruiting individuals for a technical advisory committee that will review and provide recommendations to the Department of Commerce on emerging technology and research issues. The Emerging Technology and Research Advisory Committee (ETRAC) will advise the Department and other agency officials on (i) identifying emerging technologies and research and development activities that may be of interest from a dual-use perspective; (ii) prioritizing new and existing controls to determine which are of greatest consequence to national security from a deemed export perspective; and (iii) addressing the implications of dual-use export control requirements on research activities. **DATES:** To respond to the recruitment

**DATES:** To respond to the recruitment notice, please send a copy of your resume by 5 p.m. EDT, June 24, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties may submit their resume to Ms. Yvette Springer at *Yspringer@bis.doc.gov* or mail to U.S. Department of Commerce,

Bureau of Industry and Security, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Room 1093, Washington, DC 20230.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Crawford, Office of Technology Evaluation, Bureau of Industry and Security, telephone: (202) 482–4933 or

# e-mail: mcrawfor@bis.doc.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

BIS is proposing the establishment of a technical advisory committee, the **Emerging Technology and Research** Advisory Committee (ETRAC), under the terms of section 5(h) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (EAA), 50 U.S.C. app. 2401-2420 (2000), the International Emergency Economic Powers Act. 50 U.S.C. 1701-1707 (2007), and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (5 U.S.C. app. 2 (2005)), which will provide an important vehicle for gathering necessary data as part of the Department's efforts to ensure that export controls continue to apply to sensitive items and keep pace with technological and research innovation without stifling U.S. competitiveness.

BIS's decision to establish the ETRAC drew on three sources: Public comments submitted to BIS last year regarding the Commerce Control List (CCL), the report recently issued by the Deemed Export Advisory Committee (DEAC), a Federal advisory committee charged with making recommendations to the Secretary regarding BIS's deemed export policy and a new Presidential directive calling for BIS to regularly reassess and update the CCL.

First, in response to a notice of inquiry, "Request for Public Comments on a Systematic Review of the Commerce Control List," published in the **Federal Register** on July 17, 2007, BIS received public comments stating that the CCL was not keeping pace with technology and suggesting that university experts play a greater role in updating the list.

Second, on December 20, 2007, the DEAC submitted its final report, The Deemed Export Rule in the Era of Globalization, to the Secretary of Commerce. The DEAC recommended that BIS create a panel of outside experts in the fields of science and engineering to conduct a "zero-based" annual review of the list of technologies on the CCL subject to deemed export licensing policy. The DEAC also suggested that the Department increase the focus on and "build higher fences around those elements of technical knowledge that could have the greatest consequences in the national/homeland