

Dated: August 11, 2008.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

## Appendix II

### Cross-Cutting Issues

- Comment 1: Surrogate Country  
A. Economic Comparability  
B. Significant Producer  
C. Financial Statements  
D. Data Considerations  
Comment 2: Combination Rates  
Comment 3: New NME Wage Rate  
Comment 4: Zeroing

### I. Surrogate Values

- Comment 5: Wrong Standard for Accepting  
Respondents Proposed HTS  
Classifications  
Comment 6: Indian Surrogate Values  
Information Has Been Provided for  
Teamway and the Dare Group  
A. Effective Date  
B. India & South Korea  
C. Inherent Error in Calculation  
Comment 7: Brokerage And Handling, Diesel  
Fuel, Water, Electricity, and Freight  
Comment 8: Accurate Conversion Factors for  
Lumber and Board  
Comment 9: Accurate Average Unit Values  
Comment 10: Philippine Financial  
Statements  
Comment 11: Treatment of Certain Expense  
Items in the Financial Ratios

### III. Dare Group

- Comment 12: Whether to Apply Partial AFA  
to the Dare Group's Purchases of Semi-  
Finished Furniture from Unaffiliated  
Suppliers  
Comment 13: Incorrect Allocation for  
Indirect Materials, Labor, Energy, Water,  
and Scrap  
Comment 14: Use of Disaggregated Factors of  
Production and Correct Market Economy  
Purchase Prices  
Comment 15: Exclude Certain Piece Types  
Comment 16: Adjust Direct Materials for  
Unreported Consumption  
Comment 17: Modify Assessment Rate  
Calculation  
Comment 18: Conversion Rate for Semi-  
Finished Furniture Inputs  
Comment 19: Raw Material Converters for  
Plywood  
Comment 20: Woodscrap By-Product  
Comment 21: Clerical Errors  
Comment 22: Corruption of Certain WTA  
Philippines Import Data  
Comment 23: Eliminate Aberrational Values  
Comment 24: Change Certain Philippine HTS  
Categories in Valuing The Dare Group's  
Inputs  
Comment 25: Use Most Updated Datasets

### IV. Teamway

- Comment 26: Whether to Apply Total AFA  
to Teamway  
Comment 27: Whether and How to Combine  
the FOP Datasets from May 5, 2008 and  
May 16, 2008  
Comment 28: Whether to Apply an Adverse  
Inference to Value Merchandise Sold,  
but not Produced, During the POR  
Comment 29: Valuation of Certain

- Subcontracted Factors  
Comment 30: Bun Feet Variance  
Comment 31: Packing Labor  
Comment 32: Use Market Economy  
Purchases for Certain Inputs

### V. Starcorp

- Comment 33: Assign Total AFA

[FR Doc. E8-19303 Filed 8-19-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-839]

### Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the Republic of Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce  
("Department") has determined,  
pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff  
Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"),  
that Woongjin Chemical Co. Ltd.  
("Woongjin") is the successor-in-  
interest to Saehan Industries Inc.  
("Saehan"). As a result, Woongjin will  
be accorded the same treatment  
previously accorded to Saehan with  
regard to the antidumping duty order on  
polyester staple fiber ("PSF") from the  
Republic of Korea ("Korea") as of the  
date of publication of this notice in the  
**Federal Register**.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 20, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Devta Ohri, AD/CVD Operations, Office  
1, Import Administration, International  
Trade Administration, U.S. Department  
of Commerce, 14th Street and  
Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington  
DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3853.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On May 25, 2000, the Department of  
Commerce issued an antidumping duty  
order on certain PSF from Korea. See  
*Notice of Amended Final Determination  
of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and  
Antidumping Duty Order: Certain  
Polyester Staple Fiber from Republic of  
Korea*, 65 FR 33807 (May 25, 2000). On  
April 23, 2008, Woongjin requested that  
the Department initiate a changed  
circumstances review of the  
antidumping duty order on PSF from  
Korea to determine that, for purposes of  
the antidumping law, Woongjin is the  
successor-in-interest to Saehan. On  
June 16, 2008, the Department initiated  
this review and made its preliminary  
finding that Woongjin is the successor-

in-interest to Saehan, and should be  
treated as such for antidumping duty  
cash deposit purposes. See *Notice of  
Initiation and Preliminary Results of  
Changed Circumstances Antidumping  
Duty Review: Certain Polyester Staple  
Fiber From the Republic of Korea*, 73 FR  
33989 (June 16, 2008). We invited  
parties to comment on the preliminary  
results. We received no comments or  
requests for a hearing.

#### Scope of the Review

For the purposes of this order, the  
product covered is PSF. PSF is defined  
as synthetic staple fibers, not carded,  
combed or otherwise processed for  
spinning, of polyesters measuring 3.3  
decitex (3 denier, inclusive) or more in  
diameter. This merchandise is cut to  
lengths varying from one inch (25 mm)  
to five inches (127 mm). The  
merchandise subject to this order may  
be coated, usually with a silicon or  
other finish, or not coated. PSF is  
generally used as stuffing in sleeping  
bags, mattresses, ski jackets, comforters,  
cushions, pillows, and furniture.  
Merchandise of less than 3.3 decitex  
(less than 3 denier) currently classifiable  
in the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of  
the United States* ("HTSUS") at  
subheading 5503.20.00.25 is specifically  
excluded from this order. Also  
specifically excluded from this order are  
polyester staple fibers of 10 to 18 denier  
that are cut to lengths of 6 to 8 inches  
(fibers used in the manufacture of  
carpeting). In addition, low-melt PSF is  
excluded from this order. Low-melt PSF  
is defined as a bi-component fiber with  
an outer sheath that melts at a  
significantly lower temperature than its  
inner core.

The merchandise subject to this order  
is currently classifiable in the HTSUS at  
subheadings 5503.20.00.45 and  
5503.20.00.65. Although the HTSUS  
subheadings are provided for  
convenience and customs purposes, the  
written description of the merchandise  
under the order is dispositive.

#### Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

For the reasons stated in the  
preliminary results, and because the  
Department did not receive any  
comments following the preliminary  
results of this review, the Department  
continues to find that Woongjin is the  
successor-in-interest to Saehan for  
antidumping duty cash deposit  
purposes.

#### Instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection

The Department will instruct CBP to  
suspend liquidation of all shipments of

the subject merchandise produced and exported by Woongjin entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice at 2.13 percent (i.e., Saehan's cash deposit rate). This deposit rate shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review in which Woongjin participates.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice in accordance with sections 751(b) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and section 351.221(c)(3)(i) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: August 12, 2008.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-19318 Filed 8-19-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-820]

#### **Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Notice of Intent to Rescind Antidumping Duty Administrative Review In Part and Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 20, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joy Zhang at (202) 482-1168 or James Terpstra at (202) 482-3965, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On December 31, 2007, United States Steel Corporation ("Petitioner") and Nucor Corporation requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-

rolled carbon steel flat products ("Indian Hot-Rolled"), which were produced or exported by Ispat Industries Limited ("Ispat"), JSW Steel Limited ("JSW"), Tata Steel Limited ("Tata"), and Essar Steel Limited ("Essar"). On January 28, 2008, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of antidumping duty administrative review of Indian Hot-Rolled for the period December 1, 2006, through November 30, 2007. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 73 FR 4829 (January 28, 2008) (*Initiation Notice*). The preliminary results are currently due no later than September 1, 2008.

#### **Partial Rescission of Administrative Review with Respect to Ispat, JSW, and Tata**

On February 25, 2008, the Department issued a memorandum informing the interested parties of the Department's intention to limit the number of companies it would examine in this review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"). The Department indicated that its respondent selection would be made based on the entry data from U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") for Indian Hot-Rolled during the period of review ("POR"). The Department set aside a period of seven calendar days for interested parties to raise issues regarding the use of CBP data for respondent selection in this review. *See* Memorandum to File, Re: "2006-2007 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India," Subject: "Customs and Border Protection Data for Selection of Respondents for Individual Review," from Cindy Robinson, Senior Financial Analyst, through James Terpstra, Program Manager, and Melissa Skinner, Office Director, Office 3, AD/CVD Operations, dated February 25, 2008 ("Hot-Rolled Memo").

On February 26-27, 2008, Ispat, Tata, and JSW each informed the Department that they did not have shipments of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. On March 3, 2008, Petitioner submitted its comments in response to the Hot-Rolled Memo stating that since the Department issued the Hot-Rolled Memo, Ispat, JSW, and Tata have each certified that they had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, and their assertions are confirmed by the CBP data. Therefore, Petitioner asserted that the Department should rescind the

instant administrative review with respect to Ispat, JSW, and Tata.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department may rescind an administrative review, "with respect to a particular exporter or producer, if the Secretary concludes that, during the period covered by the review, there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise, as the case may be." We examined CBP entry data for the three exporters/manufacturers: Ispat, JSW, and Tata, and we are satisfied that the record indicates that there were no U.S. entries of subject merchandise from these three companies during the POR. Accordingly, following the Department's practice, we are preliminarily rescinding this review with respect to Ispat, JSW, and Tata. *See, e.g., Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 53527, 53530 (September 19, 2007), unchanged in final, *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission*, 73 FR 15479, 15480 (March 24, 2008).

After the preliminary partial rescission of Ispat, JSW, and Tata, only one respondent, Essar, remains in this review.

#### **Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period specified, the administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results to up to 365 days.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act because we require additional time to analyze the sales and cost data submitted by Essar and issue supplemental questionnaires to the company. Therefore, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 60 days. The preliminary results are now due no later than October 31, 2008. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).