

are similar in terms of the populations contacted, the types of questions asked, and the research methods employed. Due to these similarities, the NPS is proposing to the OMB an alternative approach to complying with the Paperwork Reduction Act by allowing individual VSP information collection requests to be submitted to OMB under the proposed Programmatic Approval. Implementation of this proposal will lead to less time involved in creating submissions for individual VSP collections and decreased review times for studies submitted under the Programmatic Approval. The obligation to respond is voluntary.

Automated data collection: This information will be collected via mail-back surveys or standard focus group protocols. No automated data collection will take place.

Description of respondents: A sample of visitors to parks and/or residents of communities near parks.

Estimated average number of respondents: The program does not identify the number of respondents because that number will differ in each information collection, depending on the purpose and design of the project.

Estimated average number of responses: The program does not identify the number of responses because that number will differ in each information collection. For most projects, respondents will be asked to respond only one time. In those cases, the number of responses will be the same as the number of respondents.

Estimated average burden hours per response: Completion times for individual visitor surveys conducted by the VSP average around 20 minutes per respondent. Average contact times are one minute per contact. Focus groups average two hours in length.

Frequency of Response: 1 time per respondent.

Estimated total annual reporting burden: The program identifies the requested total number of burden hours annually for all information collections to be 10,000 burden hours per year. The total annual burden per project for most studies conducted under the auspices of this program will be within the range of 100 to 900 burden hours.

Comments are invited on: (1) the practical utility of the information being gathered; (2) the accuracy of the burden hour estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden hour to respondents, including use of automated information techniques or other forms of information technology. Before including your address, phone

number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: August 7, 2008.

Leonard E. Stowe,

NPS, Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-19427 Filed 8-21-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

60-Day Notice of Intention To Request Clearance of Collection of Information; Opportunity for Public Comment

AGENCY: Department of the Interior, National Park Service.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR Part 1320, Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements, the National Park Service (NPS) invites public comments on a proposed new collection of information (1024-xxxx).

DATES: Public comments will be accepted on the proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) on or before October 21, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Tatjana Rosen, School of Forestry and Environmental Studies, Yale University, 205 Prospect Street, New Haven, CT 06511; or via e-mail at Tatjana.Rosen@yale.edu. Also, you may send comments to Leonard E. Stowe, NPS Information Collection Clearance Officer, 1849 C St., NW., (2605), Washington, DC 20240; or via e-mail at leonard_stowe@nps.gov. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

To request a draft of proposed collection of information contact: Tatjana Rosen, School of Forestry and Environmental Studies, Yale University, 205 Prospect Street, New Haven, CT 06511; or via e-mail at Tatjana.Rosen@yale.edu.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. James Gramann, National Park Service Social Science Program, 1201 "Eye" St., Washington, DC 20005; or via phone at 202/513-7189; or via e-mail at

James_Gramann@partner.nps.gov. You are entitled to a copy of the entire ICR package free of charge.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: **Title:** Economic Study of Roadside Bear Viewing in Yellowstone National Park.

Bureau Form Number: None.

OMB Number: To be requested.

Expiration Date: To be requested.

Type of Request: New Collection.

Description of Need: Yellowstone National Park (YNP) now attempts to enhance opportunities for roadside bear viewing by leaving bears in proximity of park roads and devoting resources to managing "bear jams" (traffic jams created by visitors stopping to view the bears) and their associated challenges. Three questions arise with respect to this policy. First, what economic value does the opportunity to view bears near roads in YNP have to the visitors themselves; second, what are visitors' perceptions about the current roadside bear management policy; and third, what impact does the policy to allow bears to remain in roadside locations have on YNP visitation rates and on visitors' broader views of bears, other wildlife, and other natural resources.

To explore these questions, YNP is planning to use a mail-back questionnaire designed to systematically collect data from visitors in the following areas: Visit and individual characteristics, importance of different natural resources to the trip, acceptability of different wildlife management practices for roadside bear viewing, effects of management policy changes on the decision to return to the park (including regional economic impact) and perspectives on roadside bear viewing. The information acquired will help determine the effectiveness of current bear roadside management practices and—if the results so show—provide a credible basis to seek additional funds to manage roadside bears. The Bear Management Office in YNP has collected data on "bear jams" reported in the park since 2000, including the number of personnel hours spent by park staff in order to keep bear jams safe and visitors satisfied. Currently there are more "bear jams" than park rangers to manage them and several visitors and "bear enthusiasts" have expressed some level of concern about that situation.

The results of the survey will help define the costs and benefits associated with the current roadside bear management policy in YNP. In addition, it will provide park managers and others with important, accurate information about the YNP visitor population in general, as well as visitor and trip

characteristics of those who specifically view bears on roadsides in the park. The importance of visitation specifically tied to roadside bear viewing in the park will be examined. The NPS's goal in conducting this survey is to evaluate the importance and economic effects of roadside bear viewing. The obligation to respond is voluntary.

Automated data collection: This information will be collected via mail-back surveys no automated data collection will take place.

Description of respondents: Visitors to Yellowstone National Park.

Estimated average number of respondents: 1000 (800 respondents and 200 non-respondents).

Estimated average number of responses: 1000 (800 responses and 200 non-responses).

Estimated average burden hours per response: 21 minutes per respondent and 1 minute per non-respondent.

Frequency of response: 1 time per respondent and non-respondent.

Estimated annual reporting burden: 283 hours.

Comments are invited on: (1) The practical utility of the information being gathered; (2) the accuracy of the burden hour estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden to respondents, including use of automated information collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: August 7, 2008.

Leonard E. Stowe,

NPS, Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-19429 Filed 8-21-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-487 (Remand)]

In the Matter of Certain Agricultural Vehicles and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination To Reverse a Remand Initial Determination of the Administrative Law Judge That Section 337 Has Been Violated; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to reverse the presiding administrative law judge's finding of violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act, as amended, on remand and has terminated the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan J. Engler, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3112. The public version of the ALJ's final ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 13, 2003, based on a complaint filed by Deere & Company ("Deere") of Moline, Illinois. 68 FR 7388 (February 13, 2003). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain agricultural vehicles and components thereof by reason of infringement and dilution of U.S. Registered Trademarks Nos. 1,254,339; 1,502,576; 1,503,576, and 91,860.

Twenty-four respondents were named in the Commission's notice of investigation. Most of the respondents

were terminated from the investigation on the basis of consent orders, or found in default. The remaining respondents, Erntetechnik Franz Becker; Sunova Implement Company; Bourdeau Bros., Inc. and OK Enterprises (collectively, "the Bourdeau respondents"); Fitzpatrick Farms; Stanley Farms; J&T Farms; and Co-Ag LLC (collectively, "the Fitzpatrick Farms respondents"); and Agrideal participated in the investigation. On January 13, 2004, the ALJ issued his final initial determination ("ID") finding a violation of section 337. He also recommended the issuance of remedial orders. The Bourdeau respondents and Fitzpatrick Farms respondents petitioned for review of the ID.

On March 30, 2004, the Commission determined not to review the ID. The Commission then issued a general exclusion order directed to Deere European-version self propelled forage harvesters, two limited exclusion orders directed to Deere European-version telehandlers, and various cease and desist orders, on May 14, 2004.

The Bourdeau respondents appealed the Commission's final determination to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (the "Federal Circuit"). On March 30, 2006, the Federal Circuit vacated and remanded the Commission's final determination as it related to Deere European-version self-propelled forage harvesters ("EVSPFHs"). *Bourdeau Bros. v. International Trade Commission*, 444 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2006).

On June 20, 2006, the Commission rescinded the general exclusion order and certain cease and desist orders, and remanded the investigation to the presiding ALJ for proceedings consistent with the Federal Circuit's decision in *Bourdeau*. On August 18, 2006, the ALJ issued Order No. 55, denying complainant's and respondents' motions for summary determination. The ALJ issued his final ID on remand ("Remand ID") on December 20, 2006. He found that Deere did not authorize the sale of Deere European-version self-propelled forage harvesters in the United States and that all or substantially all of the Deere self-propelled forage harvesters sold in the United States were North American versions. In further briefing before the Commission, the respondents claimed error.

On February 20, 2007, the Commission determined to review in part Order No. 55 and the Remand ID. The Commission requested briefing by the parties (1) On the standard for authorization that was applied in Order No. 55 and how that standard was applied in light of the burden of proof;