

59. Mr. Robert Parise, Command Counsel, U.S. Army Materiel Command.

60. Dr. John Parmentola, Director for Research and Laboratory Management, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology).

61. Mr. Dean Pfoztzer, Deputy Director Program Analysis and Evaluation, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-8.

62. Mr. Benjamin Piccolo, Principal Deputy Auditor General, Army Audit Agency.

63. Ms. Tracey Pinson, Director of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, Office of the Secretary of the Army.

64. Mr. Dean G. Popps, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics and Technology)/Director for Iraq Reconstruction and Program Management, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics and Technology).

65. Mr. Geoffrey G. Prosch, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Installations, Logistics & Environment), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Installations and Environment).

66. Major General Fred D. Robinson, Commanding General, U.S. Army Research Development and Engineering Command, U.S. Army Materiel Command.

67. Mr. Mark Rocke, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Strategic Communications and Business Transformation), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology).

68. Mr. Michael Ryan, Director, European Security and Defense Policy Advisor/Defense Advisor, U.S. North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

69. Ms. Connie Schmaljohn, Senior Research Scientist (Medical Defenses Against Infectious Disease Threats, U.S. Army Materiel Command.

70. Mr. Craig Schmauder, Deputy General Counsel (Civil Works and Environment), Office of the General Counsel.

71. Ms. Lynn Schnurr, Director, Army Intelligence Community Information Management, Deputy Chief of Staff, G-2.

72. Mr. Thomas Schoenbeck, Director, Enterprise Support, U.S. Southern Command.

73. Dr. Paul H. Shen, Senior Research Scientist (Nuclear/Electronics Survivability, U. S. Army Research Laboratory.

74. Mr. Gordon Spencer, Chief Scientist, U.S. Army National Ground Intelligence Center, U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command.

75. Mr. Lewis Steenrod, Director of Modernization, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-8.

76. Mr. Steven Stockton, Deputy Director, Civil Works, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

77. Dr. Brian R. Strickland, Chief Scientist (Directed Energy Applications), U. S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command.

78. Mr. Larry Stubblefield, Deputy Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army/Director, Shared Services, Office of the Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army.

79. Dr. Mark Swinson, Chief Scientist, U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command.

80. Major General Merdith W. B. Temple, Deputy Commanding General for Civil and Emergency Operation, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

81. Mr. Edward Thomas, Deputy to the Commanding General/Director of Logistics and Readiness Center, U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Life Cycle Management Command, U.S. Army Materiel Command.

82. Mr. Lee Thompson, Executive Director for Logistics Civil Augmentation Program, U.S. Army Materiel Command.

83. Lieutenant General N. Ross Thompson, Military Deputy, Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics and Technology), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics and Technology).

84. Ms. Belinda Tiner, Deputy Auditor General, Policy and Operations Management, Army Audit Agency.

85. Dr. Mark B. Tischler, Senior Research Scientist (Rotorcraft Flight Dynamics and Control), U. S. Army Research, Development and Engineering Command.

86. Mr. J. Joseph Tyler, Director of Military Programs, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

87. Mr. Edgar Vandiver, III, Director, U.S. Army Center for Army Analysis.

88. Mr. Roy Wallace, Director, Plans and Resources, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-1.

89. Mr. Steven Wetzel, Deputy Director, Strategy and Policy, U.S. Southern Command.

90. Mr. Jeffrey White, Director, Human Capital Strategy/Deputy to the Deputy Under Secretary of the Army.

91. Mr. Conrad Whyne, Director, U.S. Army Chemical Materials Agency, U.S. Army Materiel Command.

92. Mr. Gary Winkler, Director for Enterprise Management, Office of the Chief Information Officer/G-6.

93. Dr. Thomas W. Wright, Senior Research Scientist (Terminal Ballistics), U. S. Army Research Laboratory.

94. Ms. Debra Wymer, Director, Technical Integration and Interoperability for Space and Missile Defense, U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command.

Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-27175 Filed 11-14-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Surplus Properties; Notice

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This amended notice provides information regarding the properties that have been determined surplus to the United States needs in accordance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Public Law No. 101-510, as amended, and the 2005 Base Closure and Realignment Commission Report, as approved, and following screening with Federal agencies and Department of Defense components. This Notice amends the Notice published in the **Federal Register** on May 9, 2006 (71 FR 26930).

DATES: Effective November 14, 2008, by adding the following surplus properties.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Headquarters, Department of the Army, Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Division, Attn: DAIM-BD, 600 Army Pentagon, Washington DC 20310-0600, (703) 601-2418. For information regarding a specific property listed below, by state, contact the Army BRAC Division at the mailing address above or at ArmyBRAC2005@hqda.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the provisions of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended, the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended, and other public benefit conveyance authorities, this surplus property may be available for conveyance to State and local governments and other eligible entities for public benefit purposes. Notices of interest from representatives of the homeless, and other interested parties located in the vicinity of any listed surplus property should be submitted to the recognized Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA). The properties are listed by state. Additional information for these or any Army BRAC 2005 surplus property may be

found at <http://www.hqda.army.mil/acsimweb/brac/braco.htm>.

Surplus Property List

1. Addition

Indiana

Newport Chemical Depot: Highway 63, Newport, IN. 47966-0160. The Army's Base Transition Coordinator is Mr. Tom Kutz whose e-mail address is Thomas.kutz@us.army.mil and his telephone number is (765) 245 4505. His mailing address is Newport Chemical Depot, P.O. Box 160, Newport, IN 47966-0160. The Vermillion County Economic Council has been recognized as the Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA). The LRA is located at 292 North Ninth Street, Clinton, IN 47842. Mr. Ed Cole can be reached for information by calling (765) 832-3870.

Oregon

Umatilla Chemical Depot: 78798 Ordinance Road, Hermiston, OR 97838. The Army's Base Transition Coordinator is Mr. Phillip M. Ferguson whose telephone number is (541) 564-5390 and his e-mail address is phillip.m.ferguson@us.army.mil. The Umatilla County Commissioners have been recognized as the Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA). Correspondence to the LRA should be directed to Mr. William Hansell, Executive Director, Umatilla County Commissioners, Umatilla County Courthouse, 216 SE 4th, Pendleton, OR 97801.

Authority: This action is authorized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Title XXIX of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991, Pub. L. No. 101-510; the Base Closure Community Redevelopment and Homeless Assistance Act of 1994, Pub. L. No. 103-421; and 10 U.S.C. 113.

Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-27174 Filed 11-14-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Gray's Beach Restoration Project, Waikiki, O'ahu, HI, Department of the Army Regulatory File Number POH-2007-192

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: In order to establish a stable, sandy beach seaward of the Sheraton Waikiki Hotel, its owners propose to construct three T-head groins extending seaward from the existing seawall which defines the hotel property boundary. They propose to pump sand from nearby marine deposits and place it between the groins to form a protected beach. The proposed project site, which is within the State of Hawai'i Conservation District, would remain state-owned. The project site is located within the navigable waters of the United States and the proposed activity is subject to the regulatory jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

DATES: In order to be considered in preparation of the DEIS, comments and suggestions should be received no later than 30 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Honolulu District; ATTN: Regulatory Branch (CEPOH-EC-R/P. Galloway), Building 230; Fort Shafter, HI 96858-5440. Facsimile comments can be sent to 808-438-4060. Comments may also be submitted via e-mail to: peter.c.galloway@usace.army.mil.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions about the proposed action and the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) should be addressed to: Mr. Peter Galloway (see **ADDRESSES**), Telephone 808-438-8416.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Kyo-ya Hotels & Resorts LP, which owns the Sheraton Waikiki Hotel (Sheraton) and the adjacent Royal Hawaiian Hotel, proposes to develop a stable sandy beach on the abutting inshore reef flat fronting the Sheraton in order to enhance recreational and aesthetic enjoyment of the area, provide protection for the backshore area, and facilitate lateral access along the shoreline. The Sheraton is presently protected by a vertical seawall, constructed at the hotel's seaward property line, which has been in place since the 1920s. There is presently very little beach area fronting the Sheraton, which was constructed close to the seaward property line, making the area one of the most constrained in Waikiki with respect to public alongshore (lateral) access. The narrow band of sand fronting the Sheraton seawall comes and goes, and is typically under water at high tide. The site of the proposed project is owned by the State of Hawai'i and is designated State of Hawai'i Conservation District land.

To create the desired beach, the applicant proposes to construct three un-grouted, basalt-rock T-head groins

that would span 500 feet of shoreline. The stems of the T-head groins would extend 160 feet seaward into the inshore area from the existing seawall, and the T-heads would extend 53 feet to either side of each stem, at angles ranging from 90 to 120 degrees. The angle of each T-head will be established so as to best orient the gaps between the heads parallel to the average wave approach. Approximately 15,000 cubic yards of sand would be pumped from nearby offshore deposits and placed between and adjacent to the T-head groins to create sections of protected beach. The total area of the permanent rock and sand fill in waters of the U.S. would be approximately 2.7 acres. The total area of dry beach (sand area above the high tide line) created would be approximately 1 acre. In order to provide access for construction of the groins, the applicant proposes to construct temporary sections of rock platform, approximately 20 feet in width, along the seaward side of the existing vertical concrete seawall.

The proposed project would involve work or structures in or affecting the course, condition, location or capacity of navigable waters of the United States. In addition, it would involve the discharge of dredged or fill material into navigable waters of the United States. Federal authorization of the project will therefore require issuance of a Department of the Army (DA) permit pursuant to both Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403) and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344).

In addition to the no-action alternative, other alternatives to be considered in the DEIS may include: (1) Project with different size and/or number of T-head groins, or other structural designs; (2) project with groin structures but no sand fill; and (3) sand placement and replenishment with no stabilizing structures.

Potentially significant impacts identified to date and to be addressed in the DEIS include: (1) Conversion of an inshore reef area to a structurally protected sandy beach; (2) loss of foraging habitat (algae beds) utilized by federally protected sea turtles; (3) changes in adjacent algal community; (4) changes in inshore water circulation pattern including water residence time; (5) other, unforeseen changes in adjacent reef habitat; (6) effects of sand removal from seaward marine deposits; (7) effects on surfing and wave reflection; (8) changes in availability of recreation and recreational facilities; (9) changes in beach and shoreline access and use; (10) setting of precedent for use of T-head groins in Hawai'i; (11) setting