

effective date of this AD in accordance with Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A330–25–3249 or A340–25–4245, both dated May 3, 2005, as applicable, is acceptable for compliance with the requirements of those paragraphs.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(m)(1) The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send

information to ATTN: Vladimir Ulyanov, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 227–1138; fax (425) 227–1149. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) AMOCs approved previously in accordance with AD 2006–26–12 are

approved as AMOCs for the corresponding provisions of this AD.

Related Information

(n) EASA airworthiness directives 2007–0281 and 2007–0282, both dated November 6, 2007, also address the subject of this AD.

Material Incorporated by Reference

(o) You must use the service information contained in Table 1 of this AD to do the actions required by this AD, as applicable, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

TABLE 1—ALL MATERIAL INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document	Revision	Date
Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A330–25–3249	Revision 01	July 10, 2007.
Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A340–25–4245	Revision 01	July 10, 2007.
Airbus Service Bulletin A330–25–3227, excluding Appendix 01	Revision 01	May 3, 2005.
Airbus Service Bulletin A330–25–3249	Original	May 3, 2005.
Airbus Service Bulletin A340–25–4230, excluding Appendix 01	Revision 01	May 3, 2005.
Airbus Service Bulletin A340–25–4245	Original	May 3, 2005.

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of the service information contained in Table 2

of this AD under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

TABLE 2—NEW MATERIAL INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document	Revision	Date
Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A330–25–3249	Revision 01	July 10, 2007.
Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A340–25–4245	Revision 01	July 10, 2007.

(2) The Director of the Federal Register previously approved the incorporation by reference of the service information

contained in Table 3 of this AD on February 8, 2007 (72 FR 256, January 4, 2007).

TABLE 3—MATERIAL PREVIOUSLY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document	Revision	Date
Airbus Service Bulletin A330–25–3227, excluding Appendix 01	Revision 01	May 3, 2005.
Airbus Service Bulletin A330–25–3249	Original	May 3, 2005.
Airbus Service Bulletin A340–25–4230, excluding Appendix 01	Revision 01	May 3, 2005.
Airbus Service Bulletin A340–25–4245	Original	May 3, 2005.

(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact Airbus SAS—Airworthiness Office—EAL, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; fax +33 5 61 93 45 80, e-mail airworthiness.A330-A340@airbus.com.

(4) You may review copies of the service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221 or 425–227–1152.

(5) You may also review copies of the service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, February 20, 2009.

Ali Bahrami

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E9–4649 Filed 3–9–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 73

[Docket No. FAA–2009–0108; Airspace Docket No. 08–ASW–8]

RIN 2120–AA66

Change of Using Agency for Restricted Area 6320; Matagorda, TX

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action changes the using agency of R–6320, Matagorda, TX, from United States Customs Service” to “Continental North American

Aerospace Defense Command Region (CONR).” The FAA is taking this action in response to a request from the United States Air Force (USAF), supported by United States Customs and Border Protection (legacy United States Customs Service), to reflect an administrative change of responsibility for the restricted area. There are no changes to the boundaries; designated altitudes; time of designation; or activities conducted within the affected restricted area.

DATES: *Effective Date:* 0901 UTC, May 7, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Colby Abbott, Airspace and Rules Group, Office of System Operations Airspace and AIM, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On December 10, 2008, the USAF requested that the FAA change the using agency for R-6320 from, “United States Customs Service” to “Continental North American Aerospace Defense Command Region (CONR).” The USAF request was based on their interest in retaining the restricted area and expected funding in the future to purchase and house another aerostat system within that restricted airspace. United States Customs and Border Protection (legacy United States Customs Service) confirmed they have no interest in maintaining operational control over R-6320 and agreed to relinquish the using agency responsibility to CONR. Coordination with Houston Air Route Traffic Control Center was effected prior to this using agency change request being submitted by the USAF.

Section 73.63 of 14 CFR Part 73 was republished in FAA Order 7400.8R, dated February 5, 2009.

The Rule

This action amends Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 73 by revising the using agency listed for R-6320, Matagorda, TX; transferring using agency responsibility for R-6320 from “United States Customs Service” to “Continental North American Aerospace Defense Command Region (CONR).” This is an administrative change and does not affect the boundaries, designated altitudes, or activities conducted within the restricted area; therefore, notice and public procedures under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are unnecessary.

The FAA has determined that this action only involves an established

body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation: (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Environmental Review

The FAA has determined that this action qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with 311d., FAA Order 1050.1E, Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures. This airspace action is not expected to cause any potentially significant environmental impacts, and no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 73

Airspace, Prohibited areas, Restricted areas.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 73, as follows:

PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

■ 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 73.63 [Amended]

■ 2. § 73.63 is amended as follows:

* * * * *

R-6320 Matagorda, TX [Amended]

By removing the words “Using agency. United States Customs Service” and inserting the words “Using agency. Continental North American Aerospace Defense Command Region (CONR).”

* * * * *

Issued in Washington, DC, March 2, 2009.

Edith V. Parish,

Manager, Airspace and Rules Group.

[FR Doc. E9-4948 Filed 3-9-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

24 CFR Part 3500

[Docket No. FR-5180-F-05]

RIN 2502-A161

Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA): Rule To Simplify and Improve the Process of Obtaining Mortgages and Reduce Consumer Settlement Costs; Further Deferred Applicability Date for the Revised Definition of “Required Use” and Solicitation of Public Comment on Withdrawal of Required Use Provision

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This final rule delays the effective date of the definition of “required use” as revised by HUD’s November 17, 2008, final rule amending its RESPA regulations, until July 16, 2009. The November 17, 2008, final rule revised HUD’s RESPA regulations to further the purposes of RESPA by requiring more timely and effective disclosures related to mortgage settlement costs for federally related mortgage loans to consumers. The final rule revised the existing definition of “required use,” which revision was directed to enhancing protections for consumers from certain practices conducted by affiliated business arrangements. The revised definition of “required use” would have become effective on January 16, 2009. However, on January 15, 2009, HUD published a final rule that delayed the effective date of the definition of “required use” from January 16, 2009, to April 16, 2009, due to litigation by the National Association of Home Builders, *et al.*, around the time of issuance of the final rule. For this same reason, HUD is further delaying the effective date of required use until July 16, 2009.

In this rule, HUD also solicits comment on withdrawing the revised definition of “required use” from the November 17, 2008, final rule. HUD will consider these comments before pursuing new rulemaking process on this definition. Since promulgating the rule on November 17, 2008, HUD has determined to reevaluate the scope and operation of the required use provision. New rulemaking would give HUD the opportunity to present for public consideration a new proposal based upon HUD’s reevaluation of the required use provision to help ensure better consumer protections.