

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**National Park Service****Fort Dupont Park, National Capital Parks—East, Washington, DC; Notice of Availability of a Finding of No Significant Impact for the Proposed Transfer of Jurisdiction of a Portion of Fort Dupont Park, Washington, DC**

AGENCY: National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of a Finding of No Significant Impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and National Park Service (NPS) NEPA guidelines, NPS prepared and in October 2008 made available for a 30-day public review an Environmental Assessment (EA) evaluating the potential impacts of a proposed transfer of jurisdiction of a portion of Fort Dupont Park to the Government of the District of Columbia (the District). This transfer would be for recreational purposes and in assessing this proposed transfer, the EA also considered the District's general plan to expand and improve sports-related recreational facilities to the extent that these details are presently known.

After the end of the 30-day public review period, the NPS selected for implementation, the preferred alternative as described in the EA, and determined it will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment and that an Environmental Impact Statement is not required. In making that selection and determination, the NPS considered the information and analysis contained in the EA and the comments received during the public review period. The NPS has accordingly prepared a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the proposed transfer. The FONSI is also accompanied by an errata sheet that corrected some minor inaccuracies and updated some information.

The errata did not result in any changes in the overall findings of the EA and had no bearing on its determination of no significant impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gayle Hazelwood, Superintendent, National Capital Parks—East, RE: Fort Dupont Park Land Transfer Proposal, at 1900 Anacostia Drive, SE., Washington, DC 20020, by telephone at (202) 690-5127, or by e-mail at gayle_hazelwood@nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The selected alternative would transfer jurisdiction of an approximate 15-acre parcel at one end of Fort Dupont Park

(the Project Area) to the District to facilitate the improvement and expansion of recreational facilities located there. The transfer would result in the District taking over management of the Project Area and then improving and expanding the sports-related recreational facilities including the development of a Youth Baseball Academy and the expansion of the Fort Dupont Ice Arena. Pursuant to the transfer, all NPS managerial responsibilities for the Project Area, including the Fort Dupont Ice Arena which the NPS leases to the Friends of Fort Dupont Ice Arena, Inc., will be transferred to the District, and the Project Area will no longer be a part of Fort Dupont Park. The transfer will also necessitate amending the NPS' 2004 Fort Circle Parks Management Plan which provides a managerial framework for decisions about use and development within the Fort Circle Parks, including Fort Dupont Park.

Although the NPS proposes making this transfer, for it to occur not only must the NPS and District agree to the terms, the National Capital Planning Commission must recommend it.

The FONSI and other documents related to this action are available for review electronically on the NPS's Planning, Environment, and Public Comment (PEPC) Web site at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/NACE>. You may also request a hard copy at (202) 690-5127.

Dated: January 14, 2009.

Margaret O'Dell,

Regional Director, National Capital Region.

[FR Doc. E9-6212 Filed 3-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-51-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-671]

In the Matter of Certain Digital Cameras; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 17, 2009, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Korea and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey. Letters supplementing the Complaint were filed on February 27, 2009 and March 11,

2009. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital cameras by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,731,852 and 6,229,695. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bryan F. Moore, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2767.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2008).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 18, 2009, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital cameras that infringe one or more of claims 1, 2, 6, 8, and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 5,731,852 and claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-11, and 19 of

U.S. Patent No. 6,229,695, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are—

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 416 Maetan-3dong, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-city, Gyeonggi-do, Korea 443-742.

Samsung Electronics America, Inc., 105 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served:

Eastman Kodak Company, 343 State Street, Rochester, NY 14650.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Bryan F. Moore, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: March 19, 2009.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9-6415 Filed 3-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)

Notice is hereby given that on March 13, 2009, a proposed Settlement Agreement Regarding Miscellaneous Federal and State Environmental Sites was filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas in *In re ASARCO LLC, et al.*, Case No. 05-21207 (Bankr. S.D. Tex.). The Settlement provides the United States with an allowed general unsecured claim in the amount indicated for each of the following Sites: The Tacoma Site—Operable Units (“OU”) 02, 04, and 06 of the Commencement Bay Nearshore Tideflats Superfund Site in and around Tacoma and Ruston, Washington, \$27,000,000; the Circle Smelting Site—a former zinc smelter facility located in the Village of Beckemeyer, Illinois, \$6,052,390; the Terrible Mine Site—a 44-acre former lead mining and milling site located in the Old Isle Mining District of Custer County, Colorado, \$1,400,000; Stephenson/Bennett Mine Site—a 150-acre former mining and milling area in Doña Ana County, New Mexico, \$550,000; the Coy Mine Site—a zinc mine in Jefferson County, Tennessee, \$200,000; the Richardson Flat Tailings Site—a 160-acre former mine tailings impoundment and the Lower Silver Creek area in Summit County, Utah, \$7,400,000; the Jack Waite Mine Site—several mine adits, a former mill site, four tailings ponds, and one or more waste rock piles located on land administered by the Forest Service in the Coeur d’Alene National Forest east of Prichard, Idaho, \$11,300,000; the Black Pine Mine Site—mill tailings, a large mine waste rock dump, a seep, and associated wastes located on land administered by the Forest Service in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest northwest of Philipsburg, Montana, \$190,000; the Combination Mine Site—a tailings pond and associated wastes in Lower Willow Creek located on land administered by the Forest Service in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest northwest of Philipsburg, Montana, \$542,000; the Flux Mine Site—a former zinc and

silver mine and associated mine adits and waste rock dumps located on land administered by the Forest Service in the Coronado National Forest southeast of Patagonia, Arizona, \$487,000; the International Boundary Water Commission (“IBWC”) Site—the American Dam and Canal portion of the Rio Grande Canalization Project and the American Dam Field Office in El Paso, Texas, \$19,000,000; the Monte Cristo Mining District Site—a historic mining district including mines, mill facilities, adits, and waste piles located partly on land administered by the Forest Service within the Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, in Snohomish County, Washington, \$5,500,000 (the Settlement also provides the State of Washington an allowed general unsecured claim of \$5,500,000 for this Site); the Vasquez Boulevard/I-70 Site—a historic smelter and the residential areas surrounding it, comprising OU1, OU2, and OU3 of the Vasquez Boulevard/Interstate-70 Superfund Site, in north-central Denver, Colorado, \$1,500,000.

For thirty (30) days after the date of this publication, the Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the Settlement Agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611. In either case, comments should refer to *In re Asarco LLC*, Case No. 05-21207 (Bankr. S.D. Tex.), D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-08633. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d).

The proposed Settlement Agreement may be examined at: the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Texas, 800 North Shoreline Blvd, #500, Corpus Christi, TX 78476-2001; the Region 4 Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, GA 30303-3104; the Region 5 Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604-3507; the Region 6 Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Fountain Place 12th Floor, Suite 1200, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202-2733; the Region 8 Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1595 Wynkoop St., Denver, CO 80202-1129; and the Region 10 Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101. During