

will notify interested parties of the hearing schedule.

Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results of this review. Interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice. Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this review are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument with an electronic version included.

We intend to issue the final results of this review, including the results of our analysis of issues raised in any submitted written comments, within 120 days after publication of this notice.

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Although FET indicated that it was not the importer of record for any of its sales to the United States during the POR, it reported the name of the importer of record for all of its U.S. sales. Because FET reported the entered value for all of its U.S. sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated an importer-specific assessment rate for the merchandise in question by aggregating the dumping margins we calculated for all U.S. sales to the importer and dividing this amount by the total entered value of those sales. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Clarification*). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by FET for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Assessment Clarification*.

Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of PSF from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from

warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash-deposit rate for FET will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review, the cash-deposit rate will be 7.31 percent, the all-others rate established in *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea and Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea and Taiwan*, 65 FR 33807 (May 25, 2000).

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 28, 2009.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E9-2398 Filed 2-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-855]

Certain Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* February 5, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alexis Polovina, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3927.

Background

On July 30, 2008, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of certain non-frozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China covering the period June 1, 2007 through May 31, 2008. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Request for Revocation in Part, and Deferral of Administrative Review*, 73 FR 44220 (July 30, 2008). The preliminary results are currently due no later than March 2, 2009.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit because the Department requires additional time to analyze the supplemental questionnaire responses, possibly issue additional supplemental questionnaires, and evaluate the most appropriate surrogate values on the

administrative record to use in this segment of the proceeding. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by 120 days. The preliminary results will now be due no later than June 30, 2009. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: January 30, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-2478 Filed 2-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Implementation of Fort Carson's Grow the Army (GTA) Stationing Decisions

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of Availability (NOA).

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army announces the availability of an FEIS for the implementation of the decision to station a new Infantry Brigade Combat Team (IBCT) and other combat support units at Fort Carson, Colorado, and the potential stationing of a Combat Aviation Brigade (CAB) at Fort Carson in the future.

DATES: The waiting period will end 30 days after the publication of an NOA in the **Federal Register** by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ADDRESSES: For specific questions regarding the FEIS, please contact: Fort Carson National Environmental Policy Act Coordinator, 1638 Elwell Street, Building 6236, Fort Carson, CO 80913-4000 or via e-mail at CARSDECAMNEPA@conus.army.mil.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Dee McNutt, Fort Carson Public Affairs Office, at (719) 526-1269, during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Proposed Action and analysis in the FEIS includes constructing new facilities at Fort Carson to support an IBCT and other combat support units (approximately 3,900 additional Soldiers and their dependents) and the potential stationing of a CAB (approximately 2,800 Soldiers and their dependents), upgrading ranges at Fort

Carson, and increased use of live-fire training ranges and maneuver areas at Fort Carson and the Pinon Canyon Maneuver Site (PCMS). Implementation of the Proposed Action is anticipated in 2009 and would begin following the signing of the Record of Decision (ROD). The Proposed Action does not include the expansion of PCMS or any construction at PCMS.

The GTA EIS is not directly related to the Fort Carson or Pinon Canyon Maneuver Site (PCMS) Transformation EISs published in 2007. Those EISs analyzed actions that were separate from and independent of the GTA program. Further, those EISs were completed before the GTA action had been proposed and evaluated. The GTA actions combined with the Transformation actions will, however, produce cumulative effects that are analyzed in this GTA EIS.

The stationing of additional BCTs and other force structure realignment actions across the Army was analyzed in the 2007 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Army Growth and Force Structure Realignment. The ROD determined that Fort Carson would receive an additional IBCT and other combat support units contingent on site-specific NEPA analysis. This FEIS is that site-specific analysis of the environmental and socioeconomic impacts of alternatives for implementing the Army's previous stationing decision. The FEIS also analyzes the potential stationing of a CAB.

The FEIS analyzes the impacts of several alternatives for construction of the IBCT facilities and the No Action alternative. Under the No Action alternative, the stationing of a new IBCT and CAB at Fort Carson would not be implemented. The No Action alternative provides the baseline conditions for comparison to the Proposed Alternative. After reviewing the alternatives presented in the EIS, the Army has selected the Operational Training Readiness Center alternative for the siting of IBCT facilities as its preferred alternative.

Copies of the FEIS are available at local libraries surrounding Fort Carson and PCMS and may also be accessed at <http://www.aec.army.mil>. Comments from the public will be considered before any final decision is made.

Dated: January 26, 2009.

Addison D. Davis, IV,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health).

[FR Doc. E9-2379 Filed 2-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Record of Decision for the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Developing Homeport Facilities for Three NIMITZ-Class Aircraft Carriers in Support of the U.S. Pacific Fleet at Naval Air Station North Island, Coronado, CA

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of Record of Decision.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy (Navy), after carefully weighing the operational and environmental consequences of the proposed action, announces its decision to upgrade carrier berthing (Berth LIMA) at Naval Air Station (NAS) North Island to comply with current nuclear-powered aircraft carrier (CVN) facility requirements. The minor infrastructure improvements include construction of: A fender system, mooring bollards, security building, and antiterrorism (AT)/force protection (FP) improvements, as well as the installation of information systems, electrical and mechanical utility upgrades, paving, drainage, and site improvements. In addition, the Navy announces its intent to implement additional vehicular traffic mitigation measures to address new facts and circumstances relevant to traffic. Although the 2008 Traffic Study included in the 2008 Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) shows that direct traffic impacts have not changed significantly since they were studied in the 1999 Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS), additional traffic mitigation measures minimize the cumulative effects of vehicular traffic when three homeported aircraft carriers are simultaneously in port.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Record of Decision (ROD) has been distributed to all those individuals who requested a copy of the Final SEIS and agencies and organizations that received a copy of the Final SEIS. The complete text of the ROD is available for public viewing on the project Web site at <http://www.nimitzcarriersseis.com/> along with copies of the Final SEIS and supporting documents. Single copies of the ROD will be made available upon request by contacting Mr. Robert Montana, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest, 2730 McKean Street, Building 291, San Diego, CA 92136, telephone: 619-556-8509.