Federal Aviation Administration notes, the Commission's action on the Petition does not alter or amend the Federal Aviation Administration's regulatory requirements and process. The Commission also rejects the assertion that the declaration the Petitioner seeks would violate section 332(c)(7)(A)'s provision that the authority of a State or local government over decisions regarding the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities is limited only by the limitations imposed in subparagraph (B). The Commission notes that the denial of a single application may sometimes establish a violation of section 332(c)(7)(B)(ii) if it demonstrates a policy that has the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services as interpreted herein.

26. Ordinances Requiring Variances. The Petitioner requests that the Commission preempt, under section 253(a) of the Act, local ordinances and State laws that effectively require a wireless service provider to obtain a variance, regardless of the type and location of the proposal, before siting facilities. Because the Petitioner does not seek actual preemption of any ordinance by its Petition, nor does it present the Commission with sufficient information or evidence of a specific controversy on which to base such action or ruling, the Commission declines to issue a declaratory ruling that zoning ordinances requiring variances for all wireless siting requests are unlawful and will be struck down if challenged in the context of a section 253 preemption action.

27. Other Issues. Numerous parties argue that the Petitioner failed to follow the Commission's service requirements with respect to preemption petitions. 47 CFR 1.1206(a), Note 1, of the Commission's rules requires that a party filing either a petition for declaratory ruling seeking preemption of State or local regulatory authority, or a petition for relief under section 332(c)(7)(B)(v), must serve the original petition on any State or local government whose actions are cited as a basis for requesting preemption. By its terms, the service requirement does not apply to a petition that cites examples of the practices of unidentified jurisdictions to demonstrate the need for a declaratory ruling interpreting provisions of the Communications Act. These parties' principal argument is that the Commission should require the Petitioner to identify the jurisdictions that it references anonymously, which, they assert, would then trigger the service requirement. However, nothing

in the rules requires that these jurisdictions be identified.

28. Several commenters argue that the Commission should deny the Petition in order to protect local citizens against the health hazards that these commenters attribute to RF emissions. To the extent commenters argue that State and local governments require flexibility to deny personal wireless service facility siting applications or delay action on such applications based on the perceived health effects of RF emissions, such authority is denied by statute under section 332(c)(7)(B)(iv). The Commission concludes that such arguments are outside the scope of the proceeding.

29. In its Cross-Petition, EMRPI contends that in light of additional data that has been compiled since 1996, the RF safety regulations that the Commission adopted at that time are no longer adequate. The Commission states that EMPRI's request to revisit the regulations is also outside the scope of the current proceeding, and the Commission dismisses EMRPI's Cross-Petition.

III. Conclusion

30. For the reasons discussed in the Ruling, the Commission grants in part and denies in part CTIA's Petition for a **Declaratory Ruling interpreting** provisions of section 332(c)(7) of the Communications Act. By clarifying the statute, the Commission recognizes Congress' dual interests in promoting the rapid and ubiquitous deployment of advanced, innovative, and competitive services, and in preserving the substantial area of authority that Congress reserved to State and local governments to ensure that personal wireless service facility siting occurs in a manner consistent with each community's values.

IV. Ordering Clauses

31. It is ordered that, pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), 201(b), 253(a), 303(r), and 332(c)(7) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), (j), 201(b), 253(a), 303(r), 332(c)(7), and § 1.2 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.2, the Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by CTIA—The Wireless Association is granted to the extent specified in the Ruling and otherwise is denied.

32. It is further ordered that, pursuant to sections 4(i), 4(j), and 332(c)(7) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), (j), 332(c)(7), and § 1.2 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.2, the Cross-Petition filed by the EMR Policy Institute is dismissed.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9–30291 Filed 12–18–09; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING$ CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Notices

AGENCY: Federal Election Commission. **DATE & TIME:** Thursday, December 17, 2009, at 10 a.m.

PLACE: 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC (Ninth Floor).

STATUS: This meeting will be open to the public.

The following item has been added to the agenda for the above-captioned open meeting:

Rulemaking to Repeal 11 CFR 100.57, 106.6(c) & (f).

Individuals who plan to attend and require special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact Mary Dove, Commission Secretary, at (202) 694–1040, at least 72 hours prior to the hearing date.

PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION: Judith Ingram, Press Officer, Telephone: (202) 694–1220.

Mary Dove,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–30058 Filed 12–18–09; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notice of Proposals to Engage in Permissible Nonbanking Activities or to Acquire Companies that are Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225) to engage de novo, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notice also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at www.ffiec.gov/nic/.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than January 5, 2010.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Steve Foley, Vice President) 1000 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309:

1. Banco de Sabadell, S.A., Sabadell, Spain; to engage de novo through its subsidiary, Sabadell Securities USA, Inc., Miami, Florida, in securities brokerage and riskless principal activities, pursuant to sections

225.28(b)(7)(i) and (b)(7)(ii) of Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 16, 2009.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. E9-30239 Filed 12-18-09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and **Families**

Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request

Proposed Projects

Title: National Directory of New Hires.

OMB No.: 0970-0166.

Description: Public Law 104-193, the "Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996," requires the Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE) to operate a National Directory of New Hires (NDNH) to improve the ability of State child support enforcement agencies to locate noncustodial parents and collect child support across State lines. The law requires employers to report newly hired employees to States. States are then required to periodically transmit new hire data received from employers to the NDNH, and to transmit wage and unemployment compensation claims data to the NDNH on a quarterly basis. Federal Agencies are required to report new hires and quarterly wage data directly to the NDNH. All data is transmitted to the NDNH electronically.

Respondents: Employers, State Child Support Enforcement Agencies, State Workforce Agencies, Federal Agencies.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
New Hire: Employers Reporting Manually	5,166,000 1,134,000 54 53 4176	3.484 33.272 83.333 8 1	,	449,959 10,565 300,150 14 209
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours				760,897

In compliance with the requirements of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Administration for Children and Families is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described above. Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained and comments may be forwarded by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Administration, Office of Information Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer. E-mail address: rsargis@acf.hhs.gov. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection.

The Department specifically requests comments on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the

information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted within 60 days of this publication.

Dated: December 10, 2009.

Robert Sargis,

Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E9-30059 Filed 12-18-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2009-D-0588]

Guidance for Industry on the Timeframe for Submission of Tobacco Health Documents; Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration,

HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of a guidance entitled "Timeframe for Submission of Tobacco Health Documents." This document provides written guidance to tobacco product manufacturers and importers on enforcement of the requirement to submit certain documents to FDA under the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (Tobacco Control

DATES: The guidance is final upon the date of publication. However, you may submit electronic or written comments on the guidance at any time.

ADDRESSES: Submit written requests for single copies of the guidance document entitled "Timeframe for Submission of Tobacco Health Documents" to the Center for Tobacco Products, Food and Drug Administration, 9200 Corporate Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850-3229. Send one self-addressed adhesive label to assist that office in processing your request or include a fax number to which the guidance document may be sent. See the SUPPLEMENTARY

INFORMATION section for information on