

and Standards, Sector Policies and Programs Division (D243-05), Measurement Policy Group, Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; telephone number: (919) 541-0296; fax number: (919) 541-3207; e-mail address: [schaefer.john@epa.gov](mailto:schaefer.john@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA has submitted the following ICR to OMB for review and approval according to the procedures prescribed in 5 CFR 1320.12. On July 8, 2009 (74 FR 32581), EPA sought comments on this ICR pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.8(d). EPA received no comments. Any additional comments on this ICR should be submitted to EPA and OMB within 30 days of this notice.

EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under docket ID number EPA-HQ-OECA-2009-0415, which is available for public viewing online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, in person viewing at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Enforcement and Compliance Docket is (202) 566-1752.

Use EPA's electronic docket and comment system at <http://www.regulations.gov>, to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "docket search," then key in the docket ID number identified above. Please note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing at <http://www.regulations.gov>, as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. For further information about the electronic docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**Title:** NSPS for Lead Acid Battery Manufacturing (Renewal).

**ICR Numbers:** EPA ICR Number 1072.09, OMB Control Number 2060-0081.

**ICR Status:** This ICR is scheduled to expire on June 30, 2010. Under OMB regulations, the Agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is

pending at OMB. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the **Federal Register** when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, and displayed either by publication in the **Federal Register** or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

**Abstract:** The New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Lead Acid Battery Manufacturing (40 CFR part 60, subpart KK) were proposed on January 14, 1980, and promulgated on April 16, 1982.

Owners or operators of the affected facilities must make an initial notification, performance tests, periodic reports, and maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. Reports, at a minimum, are required semiannually.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 62 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

**Respondents/Affected Entities:** Owners or operators of lead acid battery manufacturing facilities.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 52.

**Frequency of Response:** Initially, occasionally, and semiannually.

**Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:** 4,053.

**Estimated Total Annual Cost:** \$395,346, which includes \$383,346 in labor costs, \$0 in capital/startup costs,

and \$12,000 in operation and maintenance (O&M) costs.

**Changes in the Estimates:** There is no change in the number of hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens.

Dated: April 27, 2010.

**John Moses,**

*Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. 2010-10232 Filed 4-30-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R04-OW-2010-0211; FRL-9143-9]

### Public Water System Supervision Program Revision for the State of Alabama

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of tentative approval.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the State of Alabama is revising its approved Public Water System Supervision Program. Alabama has adopted the following rules: Arsenic Rule, Lead and Copper Minor Revisions Rule, and Radionuclides Rule. EPA has determined that Alabama's rules are no less stringent than the corresponding Federal regulations. Therefore, EPA is tentatively approving this revision to the State of Alabama's Public Water System Supervision Program.

**DATES:** Any interested person may request a public hearing. A request for a public hearing must be submitted by June 2, 2010, to the Regional Administrator at the EPA Region 4 address shown below. The Regional Administrator may deny frivolous or insubstantial requests for a hearing. However, if a substantial request for a public hearing is made by June 2, 2010, a public hearing will be held. If EPA Region 4 does not receive a timely and appropriate request for a hearing and the Regional Administrator does not elect to hold a hearing on her own motion, this determination shall become final and effective on June 2, 2010. Any request for a public hearing shall include the following information: The name, address, and telephone number of the individual, organization, or other entity requesting a hearing; a brief statement of the requesting person's interest in the Regional Administrator's determination and a brief statement of the information that the requesting person intends to submit at such hearing; and the signature of the individual making the request, or, if the

request is made on behalf of an organization or other entity, the signature of a responsible official of the organization or other entity.

**ADDRESSES:** All documents relating to this determination are available for inspection between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the following offices: Alabama Department of Environmental Management, Drinking Water Branch, 1400 Coliseum Boulevard, Montgomery, Alabama 36130; and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Safe Drinking Water Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tom Plouff, P.E., EPA Region 4, Safe Drinking Water Branch, at the address given above, by telephone at (404) 562-9476, or at [plouff.tom@epa.gov](mailto:plouff.tom@epa.gov).

**Authority:** Section 1413 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended (1996), and 40 CFR part 142.

Dated: April 20, 2010.

**J. Scott Gordon,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

[FR Doc. 2010-10173 Filed 4-30-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### National Institutes of Health

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Investigating the Causes of Post Donation Information (PDI): Errors in the Donor Screening Process

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of Section 3507(a)(1)(D) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 2010, Volume 75, No. 35, pages 8080-8081 and allowed 60 days for public comment. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment. The National Institutes of Health may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a current valid OMB control number.

**Proposed Collection: Title:** Investigating the causes of post donation information (PDI): Errors in the donor screening process. **Type of Information Collection Request:** NEW. **Need and Use of Information Collection:** Blood centers are required to use a health history screening questionnaire to obtain eligibility information for the protection of the donor and recipient prior to blood donation. However, the health history process is known to be error-prone and the reasons for those errors are largely unknown and untested. Donors often fail to report a risk that would have resulted in deferral. This deferral risk may be disclosed at a subsequent donation and is classified as Post Donation Information (PDI). While this deferral risk may be at the next donation event, many examples of PDI are not disclosed nor discovered until several intervening donation events have occurred. The reasons why donors fail to disclose a deferrable history at the time of one donation but subsequently disclose this information at a later time are unidentified. This protocol is designed to ascertain why PDI error events occur. It will be the first study of any kind to address the issue of PDI errors in any systematic fashion. By conducting interviews with donors involved in PDI errors, we will gain important qualitative knowledge about this problem. Information gathered from these interviews will not only elucidate the issue of PDI but will provide insight into donor understanding of the screening process and their feelings about the process and blood donation in general.

The main objectives of the study are:

1. To explore reasons behind errors in the donor screening process when donors initially fail to disclose an accurate and complete health history.
2. To explore PDI donors' knowledge, attitudes, behaviors and beliefs (KABB) about the health history questionnaire and their experience with the screening process and the center.
3. To compare KABB in PDI donors to deferred (but not PDI) donors and accepted donors.

The study sample will consist of three groups:

1. Donors with a PDI: all identified donors of interest with an FDA reportable donor suitability error classified as PDI at the REDS-II centers.
2. Deferred donors: appropriately deferred (but not PDI deferred donors) at the REDS-II centers.
3. Accepted Donors: appropriately accepted for donation at the REDS-II centers.

Telephone interviews will be conducted with consented donors to

collect information regarding their knowledge, attitudes, behaviors and beliefs about the donor health history process. Even though the interviews with the donors will be individual, we would like to form groups of similar PDI and deferred donors for analysis purposes.

The five groups of interest include PDI occurrences or deferrals that are due to:

- Travel (malaria, vCJD).
- Medical (history of diseases including jaundice/hepatitis, surgery and medications needed to treat disease including Tegison, Proscar and Accutane).
- Blood/Disease Exposure—(tattoo, piercings, accidental needle stick).
- High Risk Behavior—Sexual (MSM, sex with IV drug user or test-positive individual).
- High Risk Behavior—Non-Sexual (IV drug use, non-sexual exposure to Hepatitis C or Hepatitis B).

All interviews will be digitally-recorded and the recordings uploaded onto computers as dss files; these files will be transcribed and then coupled to the interviewer notes to form an analytic package for the data analysts. Once the interview is conducted successfully, each study donor will be mailed a check of \$25 as an incentive for participating in the study.

The cognitive testing of the interview guide will be conducted at the Hoxworth Blood Center. For this purpose, the blood center staff will identify 2 PDI and 2 deferred donors from the five broad categories of interest. They will also contact 2 accepted donors for study consent and interview. These donors will be approached and consented by following the same procedures that will be used for the actual study.

The data from the semi-structured interviews will be analyzed in two ways. The close-ended responses will be analyzed quantitatively. This will likely take the form of 3-way cross-tabulations of frequency distributions in responses to key questions. The open-ended responses will be analyzed as qualitative data. All analytic steps and assumptions that led up to the conclusions, including competing interpretations of the data, will be fully discussed in the final report.

**Frequency of Response:** Once. **Affected Public:** Individuals. **Type of Respondents:** Adult blood donors. The annual reporting burden is as follows: **Estimated Number of Respondents:** 408; **Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:** 1; **Average Burden of Hours per Response:** 0.08 for the initial phone call and 0.5 for responding to the