

Further information regarding this meeting can be obtained by contacting Ms. Ilka Berrios, Cognizant ACRS Staff (Telephone: 301-415-3179, E-mail: [Ilka.Berrios@nrc.gov](mailto:Ilka.Berrios@nrc.gov)), between 7:30 a.m. and 5:15 p.m. (ET).

Dated: November 22, 2010

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010-29915 Filed 11-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2010-0362]

### Notice of Availability; NUREG-1307, Revision 14, "Report on Waste Burial Charges Changes in Decommissioning Waste Disposal Costs at Low-Level Waste Burial Facilities"

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is announcing the completion and availability of NUREG-1307, Revision 14, "Report on Waste Burial Charges," dated November 2010, which updates the cost factor for disposal ( $B_x$ ) that a licensee uses when calculating the minimum amount of decommissioning funding assurance required, based on changes in waste disposal charges since the previous revision.

**ADDRESSES:** NUREG-1307 may be purchased from The Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 37082, Washington, DC 20402-9328; <http://www.access.gop.gov/sudocs>; 202-512-1800; or The National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161-0002; <http://www.ntis.gov>; or locally, 703-605-6000. The publication may be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O1-F21, Rockville, Maryland. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee. The public can gain entry into the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) through the agency's public Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov>. This Web site provides text and image files of the NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC PDR Reference Staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clayton L. Pittiglio, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Division of Policy and Rulemaking, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 415-1435, e-mail [Clayton.Pittiglio@nrc.gov](mailto:Clayton.Pittiglio@nrc.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A requirement placed upon nuclear power reactor licensees by the NRC is that licensees must annually adjust the estimate of the cost of decommissioning their plants, in dollars of the current year, as part of the process to provide reasonable assurance that adequate funds for decommissioning will be available when needed. This report, which is revised periodically, explains the formula that is acceptable to the NRC for determining the minimum decommissioning fund requirements for nuclear power plants. The sources of information used in the formula are identified, and the values developed for the estimation of radioactive waste burial/disposition costs, by site and by year, are given.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, November 15, 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
**Theodore R. Quay,**

*Deputy Director, Division of Policy and Rulemaking, Office of Nuclear Reactor Program.*

[FR Doc. 2010-29760 Filed 11-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. CP2011-34 through CP2011-38; Order No. 591]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add five Global Expedited Package Services 3 contracts to the competitive product list. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with the filing.

**DATES:** Comments are due: November 30, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for advice on filing alternatives.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at [stephen.sharfman@prc.gov](mailto:stephen.sharfman@prc.gov) or 202-789-6824.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

#### I. Introduction

On November 18, 2010, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into five additional Global Expedited Package Services 3 (GEPS 3) contracts.<sup>1</sup> The Postal Service believes the instant contracts are functionally equivalent to previously submitted GEPS contracts, and are supported by Governors' Decision No. 08-7, attached to the Notice and originally filed in Docket No. CP2008-4. *Id.* at 1, Attachment 3. The Notice explains that Order No. 86, which established GEPS 1 as a product, also authorized functionally equivalent agreements to be included within the product, provided that they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. *Id.* at 2. In Order No. 290, the Commission approved the GEPS 2 product.<sup>2</sup> In Order No. 503, the Commission approved the GEPS 3 product. Additionally, the Postal Service requested to have the contract in Docket No. CP2010-71 serve as the baseline contract for future functional equivalence analyses of the GEPS 3 product.

*The instant contracts.* The Postal Service filed the instant contracts pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that each contract is in accordance with Order No. 86. The term of each contract is one year from the date the Postal Service notifies the customer that all necessary regulatory approvals have been received. Notice at 3.

In support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

- Attachments 1A through 1E—redacted copies of the five contracts and applicable annexes;
- Attachments 2A through 2E—certified statements required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) for each contract;
- Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 08-7 which establishes prices and classifications for GEPS contracts, a description of applicable GEPS contracts, formulas for

<sup>1</sup> Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing Five Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 3 Negotiated Service Agreements and Application For Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, November 18, 2010 (Notice).

<sup>2</sup> Docket No. CP2009-50, Order Granting Clarification and Adding Global Expedited Package Services 2 to the Competitive Product List, August 28, 2009 (Order No. 290).

prices, an analysis of the formulas, and certification of the Governors' vote; and

- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contracts and supporting documents under seal.

The Notice advances reasons why the instant GEPS 3 contracts fit within the Mail Classification Schedule language for the GEPS 3 product. The Postal Service identifies customer-specific information and general contract terms that distinguish the instant contracts from the baseline GEPS 3 agreement. *Id.* at 4–5. It states that the differences, which include price variations based on updated costing information and volume commitments, do not alter the contracts' functional equivalency. *Id.* at 3–4. The Postal Service asserts that “[b]ecause the agreements incorporate the same cost attributes and methodology, the relevant characteristics of these five GEPS contracts are similar, if not the same, as the relevant characteristics of previously filed contracts.” *Id.* at 4.

The Postal Service concludes that its filings demonstrate that each of the new GEPS 3 contracts complies with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and is functionally equivalent to the baseline GEPS 3 contract. Therefore, it requests that the instant contracts be included within the GEPS 3 product. *Id.* at 5.

## II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. CP2011–34 through CP2011–38 for consideration of matters related to the contracts identified in the Postal Service's Notice.

These dockets are addressed on a consolidated basis for purposes of this Order. Filings with respect to a particular contract should be filed in that docket.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's contracts are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642. Comments are due no later than November 30, 2010. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in the captioned proceedings.

## III. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. CP2011–28 through CP2011–32 for consideration of matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.

2. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than November 30, 2010.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Paul L. Harrington is appointed to serve as the officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these dockets.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Ruth Ann Abrams,**  
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2010–29734 Filed 11–24–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2011–6 and CP2011–33;  
Order No. 592]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Parcel Return Service Contract 2 to the competitive product list. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

**DATES:** Comments are due: November 30, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, [stephen.sharfman@prc.gov](mailto:stephen.sharfman@prc.gov) or 202–789–6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

#### I. Introduction

Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Parcel Return Service Contract 2 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup> The Postal

Service asserts that Parcel Return Service Contract 2 is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). *Id.* at 1. The Postal Service states that prices and classifications underlying this contract are supported by Governors' Decision No. 10–5. *Id.* The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2011–6.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a contract related to the proposed new product pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. The Postal Service states that the instant contract is the successor contract for the Parcel Return Service Contract 1 product in Docket Nos. MC2009–1 and CP2009–2.<sup>2</sup> The contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2011–33.

**Request.** In support of its Request, the Postal Service filed six attachments as follows:

- Attachment A—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 10–5, authorizing Parcel Return Service;
- Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;
- Attachment C—a proposed change in the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list;
- Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
- Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
- Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and supporting documents under seal.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Daniel J. Barrett, Manager, Return Solutions, Shipping Services, asserts that the service to be provided under the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. Request, Attachment D. Thus, Mr. Barrett contends there will be no issue of subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

A redacted version of the specific Parcel Return Service Contract 2 is

<sup>2</sup> The contract for Parcel Return Service Contract 1 originally was set to expire on November 7, 2010. In Order No. 576, the Commission granted the Postal Service's Motion for Temporary Relief allowing the contract to remain in effect until November 30, 2010, based on the expectation that the Postal Service would file the successor contract by November 5, 2010. See Docket Nos. MC2009–1 and CP2009–1, Motion of the United States Postal Service for Temporary Relief, November 3, 2010, at 2, n.1. By this Order, the Commission extends the effective date until December 31, 2010.

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Parcel Return Service Contract 2 to the Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Contract and Supporting Data, November 17, 2010 (Request).