The Cushing Refinery Sites, Cushing, OK

The Corpus Christi Petrol Terminal Site, CC, TX

The former wood treating facility, Texarkana, TX

The former wood treating facility, Kansas City, MO

The former wood treating facility, Springfield, MO

The former wood treating facility, Rome, NY

The former wood treating facility, Avoca, PA

The Riley Pass Mine Site, Harding County, SD

The former wood treating facility, Indianapolis, IN more than 50 former uranium mines and mills, including Shiprock, Churchrock, and Ambrosia Lake on and in the vicinity of Navajo Nation, NM, AZ

The White King/Lucky Lass mine site, Lakeview, OR

The Toledo Tie Site, Toledo, OH The Welsbach Gas and Mantle Site, Camden, NJ

The former Federal Creosote facility, Manville, NJ

The former Moss American Site, Milwaukee, WI more than 1800 current and former service stations in twenty-four states

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree and Settlement Agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcommentees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to In re Tronox, Incorporated et al., D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-09688. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with section 7003(d) of RCRA,42 U.S.C. 6973(d).

The Consent Decree and Settlement Agreement may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 86 Chambers Street—3rd Floor, New York, New York 10007. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree and Settlement Agreement may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http:// www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ Consent Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree and Settlement Agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov),

fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$53.25 (213 pages, exclusive of signature pages and attachments; 25 cents per page reproduction cost) or \$123.75 (495 pages, including signatures and attachments) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

#### Maureen M. Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–30027 Filed 11–26–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–CW–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-70,599]

## Innovion Corporation, Gresham, OR; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On March 31, 2010, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of Innovion Corporation, Gresham, Oregon (subject firm). The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 19, 2010 (75 FR 20382). The workers supply ion implantation services for firms in the semiconductor industry.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that there was no shift to/ acquisition from a foreign country by the workers' firm of services like or directly competitive with the ion implantation services supplied by the subject firm and no increased import by either the subject firm or its major declining customers of services like or directly competitive with the ion implantation services supplied by the subject firm [Section 222(a)]. Further, the workers are not eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) as adversely affected secondary workers [Section 222(c)] or workers of a firm identified by the International Trade Commission as a member of a domestic industry injured under a provision of the Tariff Act of 1930 [Section 222(f)].

The initial investigation concluded that worker separations were attributable to a customer's decision to perform ion implantation services inhouse instead of using the subject firm. During the reconsideration investigation, the Department sought clarification from the subject firm's headquarters and conducted an expanded customer survey of the subject firm's major declining customers, including those identified in the request for reconsideration.

Information provided during the reconsideration investigation confirmed no shift to/acquisition from another country by the subject firm in the supply of ion implantation services, and no increased imports of ion implantation services, or like or directly competitive services, by the subject firm during the relevant period.

The customer survey conducted during the reconsideration investigation showed that, during the relevant time period, the three largest declining customers of the subject firm did not import services like or directly competitive with the ion implantation services provided by the subject workers.

Together, the surveyed customers accounted for 92 percent of subject firm sales in 2007, 89 percent of subject firm sales in 2008, and 84 percent of subject firm sales during the first four months of 2009. Those customers also accounted for 109 percent of the sales decline of the subject firm from 2007 to 2008 and 97 percent of the subject firm's sales decline during the first four months of 2009 as compared with the same period of 2009.

The assertion that the subject firm should be certified as a result of the certification of customer LSI Logic (TA-W-55,958; certified on November 3, 2003) was not investigated on reconsideration because a shift to a foreign country by a customer cannot be a basis of certification absent under Section 222(a), which requires that there has been a shift to a foreign country by the subject firm. Further, the certification of the Chandler, Arizona facility (TA-W-71,648) cannot be the basis of certification of workers of the Gresham, Oregon facility as adversely affected secondary workers because the certification of the Chandler, Arizona facility was based on the satisfaction of Section 222(c) and Section 222(c) requires that the primary firm be certified under Section 222(a).

#### Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Innovion Corporation, Gresham, Oregon.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 15th day of November 2010.

#### Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010–29822 Filed 11–26–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-70,910]

## Sypris Technologies, Sypris Solutions Division, Kenton, OH; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On October 7, 2010, the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration applicable to the request for administrative reconsideration filed by the United Steel Workers, Local 1–109, on behalf of workers and former workers of Sypris Technologies, Sypris Solutions Division, Kenton, Ohio (subject firm). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on October 25, 2010 (75 FR 65514).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination that was based on the findings that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift in production to a foreign country occurred.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department conducted an expanded survey of the subject firm's major declining customers to supplement the information gathered during the initial investigation. The survey revealed increased customer reliance on imported trailer axle beams and that the increased imports had contributed importantly to worker separations at the subject firm.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained during the reconsideration investigation, I determine that workers of Sypris Technologies, Sypris Solutions Division, Kenton, Ohio, who are engaged in employment related to the production of trailer axle beams, meet the worker group certification criteria under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a). In accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273, I make the following certification:

"All workers of Sypris Technologies, Sypris Solutions Division, Kenton, Ohio, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 18, 2008, through two years from the date of this certification, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended."

Signed in Washington, DC, this 16th day of November, 2010.

#### Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010–29823 Filed 11–26–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

## Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests: Public Demand for Museum and Library Services (PDMLS) Survey

**AGENCY:** Institute of Museum and Library Services, The National Foundation for the Arts and the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Notice, request for comments, collection of information.

**SUMMARY:** The Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). This pre-clearance consultation program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. By this notice, IMLS is soliciting comments concerning a proposed survey to collect information to monitor the use, expectations of and satisfaction with cultural programs and services, most especially library and museum

A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the ADDRESSES section of this notice. DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before January 22, 2011.

IMLS is particularly interested in comments that help the agency to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Carlos Manjarrez, Associate Deputy for Research and Statistics, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1800 M St., NW., 9th Floor, Washington, DC 20036. Mr. Manjarrez can be reached by Telephone: 202–653–4671, Fax: 202–653–4600, or by e-mail at *cmanjarrez@imls.gov*, or by teletype (TTY/TDD) for persons with hearing difficulty at 202–653–4614.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Background

The Institute of Museum and Library Services is the primary source of Federal support for the Nation's 123,000 libraries and 17,500 museums. The Institute's mission is to create strong libraries and museums that connect people to information and ideas. The Institute works at the national level and in coordination with State and local organizations to sustain heritage, culture, and knowledge; enhance learning and innovation; and support professional development. IMLS is responsible for identifying national needs for, and trends of, museum and library services funded by IMLS; reporting on the impact and effectiveness of programs conducted with funds made available by IMLS in addressing such needs; and identifying, and disseminating information on, the best practices of such programs. (20 U.S.C. Chapter 72, 20 U.S.C. 9108).

### **II. Current Actions**

Libraries and museums help create vibrant, energized learning communities. Our achievement as individuals and our success as a democratic society depend on learning continually, adapting to change readily, and evaluating information critically.