concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission is issuing this notice because of the potential for an absence of an appropriation as of 12:01 a.m. on Saturday, April 9, 2011. If the Commission does not receive funding by 8:45 a.m. on Monday, April 11, 2011, the agency will shut down its investigative activities for the duration of the absence of appropriation. These activities include, but are not limited to, proceedings conducted under the authority of Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930, including antidumping and countervailing duty investigations and reviews; investigations and ancillary proceedings conducted under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930; and investigations conducted under the authority of section 332 of the Tariff Act of 1930.

If a shutdown occurs, the schedules for all investigative activities will be tolled. All hearings and conferences will be postponed, subject to the exception described below. Once the Commission receives funding and the period of the shutdown ends, all schedules will resume starting with the day on which the Commission recommences operations. For example, if the shutdown lasts four days (e.g., April 11-14), then the deadline for the filing of any document on April 14 would be extended four days to April 18, 2011. If a rescheduled deadline falls on a nonbusiness day, the deadline will be extended to the next business day. The agency may reconsider schedules after resuming operations.

Notwithstanding the general tolling of schedules, each staff conference in preliminary antidumping and countervailing duty investigations scheduled to take place on April 20, 21, or 22, 2011, will take place as scheduled if the Commission resumes operations by April 14, 2011. Should the shutdown not end before April 14, 2011, all conferences will be rescheduled pursuant to the general tolling provisions described above.

The Commission's World Wide Web site, at http://www.usitc.gov, will be updated to the extent practicable to provide information on the status of the agency

The authority for the Commission=s determination is contained in section 335 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (19 U.S.C. 1335), and in 31 U.S.C. 1341 *et seq.* 

By order of the Commission. Issued: April 8, 2011.

### James R. Holbein,

 $Acting \ Secretary \ to \ the \ Commission.$  [FR Doc. 2011–8842 Filed 4–12–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## [OMB Number 1105-NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested: Elder Justice Roadmap Project

**AGENCY:** Civil Division, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-day notice of information collection under review.

The Civil Division of Department of Justice (DOJ) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. June 13, 2011. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Laurie Feinberg, 601 D Street, NW., Room 9109, Washington, DC 20004; (202) 305–1789.

Written comments concerning this information collection should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attn: DOJ Desk Officer. The best way to ensure your comments are received is to e-mail them to oira submission@omb.eop.gov or fax them to 202-395-7285. All comments should reference the 8 digit OMB number for the collection or the title of the collection. If you have questions concerning the collection, please call Laurie Feinberg at 202–305–1789 or the DOJ Desk Officer at 202-395-3176. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

 Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

# Overview of This Information Collection

(1) Type of Information Collection: New collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Elder Justice Roadmap Project.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: None.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Adult practitioners, advocates and researchers in professions related to elder justice. A recent review of literature related to elder justice indicates that the field remains largely fragmented and without a clear set of priorities or a roadmap for advancement. The purpose of this data collection is to identify policy, practice, and research priorities in the field of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation and to help develop a strategic roadmap for activities to address those priorities. In the first phase of the study, concept mapping will be used to create a visual representation of the ways that professionals in the field perceive the priorities for elder justice. Concept mapping is a well-documented method of applied research that makes explicit, implicit theoretical models that can be used for planning and action. The process requires respondents to brainstorm a set of statements relevant to the topic of interest ("brainstorming" task), individually sort these statements into piles based on perceived similarity ("sorting" task), rate each statement on one or more scales ("rating" task), and interpret the graphical representation that result from several multivariate analyses. The collection of data for all concept mapping activities will be facilitated via a dedicated project Web site. The second phase of the study includes a series of six face-to-face

facilitated discussions with relevant stakeholder groups, practitioners, and researchers. In addition up to 9–12 interviews with experts in the various aspects of the field will be conducted to obtain their reaction to the preliminary concept map generated by the brainstorming, sorting, and rating process and asked to provide information about what may be missing, need amplification, or to be interrelated in a different manner than on the preliminary concept map. Guiding questions and discussion prompts, derived from the concept mapping results, will be used to gather information from the respondents on the

meaning and potential use of the concept mapping results. This input will be aggregated and linked to the emerging conceptual framework that will result in a better understanding of the complex interrelationships between policy, practice, and research elements in the field of elder justice. Thus, the challenges, and needs of practitioners on the front lines will inform the work of researchers, and the researchers' findings will inform the work of policy makers and practitioners, and the policy makers will communicate with researchers and practitioners about what information thy need to properly inform policy. A single concept mapping

process will provide an efficient means for managing participation while simultaneously integrating perspectives that are complementary and mutually informative.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: It is estimated that 750 respondents total will participate in the concept mapping phase of this collection, and that 60 respondents total will participate in the facilitated discussions. The table below shows the estimated number of respondents for each portion of the collection:

Task	Participation targets	Total task target
Concept Mapping:.		
Brainstorming	750	750
Sorting	250	250
Rating	750	750
Total group target		750
Facilitated discussion	Participation targets	Total target
Policy maker group 1	10	10
Policy maker group 1	10	10
Practitioner group 3	10	10
Practitioner group 4	10	10
Researcher group 5	10	10
	10	10
Researcher group 6	10	60

The brainstorming task will take respondents 5-10 minutes to complete. The sorting task will take respondents approximately 30-60 minutes to complete. The rating task will take respondents approximately 30 minutes to complete. None of these tasks will require participants to complete in one sitting; rather, participants can return to work on task completion as often as they chose, until the task deadline. Respondents will have approximately 4 weeks to brainstorm and approximately 6 weeks to sort and rate. Facilitated discussions will require approximately 4 hours of respondents' time.

Expert interview will require no more than 90 minutes of respondents' time.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 948 total public burden hours associated with this collection. This is planned to be a one-time data collection.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Murray, Department Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street, NE., Room 2E– 808, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 7, 2011.

#### Lvnn Murray,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2011–8788 Filed 4–12–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–12–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)

Consistent with Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), and 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on April 8, 2011, the proposed Consent Decree in *United States* v. *John Williams, et al,* Civil Action No. 11–00689–PHX–MEA, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. The proposed Consent Decree resolves the United

States' claims under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), against John M. Williams, Jr., Arizona Public Service Co., the Salt River Project, Public Service Company of New Mexico, and El Paso Electric Co. relating to response costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") at or from a Site known as the Gila River Indian Reservation Removal Site, also referred to as the Gila River Boundary Site, located in Maricopa County, Arizona. The consent decree also resolves potential CERCLA counterclaims against the United States Department of the Interior.

Ûnder the terms of the proposed consent decree, John M. Williams, Jr., Arizona Public Service Co., the Salt River Project, Public Service Company of New Mexico, El Paso Electric Co., and the United States Department of Interior will reimburse EPA in the amount of \$462,500. EPA's total response costs are approximately \$1 million.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the