

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under 19 CFR 351.211 or a determination under 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures “gap” period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures*, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping duty or

countervailing duty proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. See section 782(b) of the Act. Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives in all segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after March 14, 2011. See *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Interim Final Rule*, 76 FR 7491 (February 10, 2011) (“*Interim Final Rule*”), amending 19 CFR 351.303(g)(1) and (2). The formats for the revised certifications are provided at the end of the *Interim Final Rule*. The Department intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments initiated on or after March 14, 2011 if the submitting party does not comply with the revised certification requirements.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: March 27, 2012.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2012-7723 Filed 3-29-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-909]

#### **Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 30, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jamie Blair-Walker, Office 9, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2615.

#### **Background**

On August 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping order on certain steel nails from the People's Republic of China

(“PRC”) for the period of review August 1, 2010, through July 31, 2011.<sup>1</sup> Based upon requests for review from various parties, on October 3, 2011, the Department initiated the third antidumping duty administrative review on certain steel nails from the PRC, covering 383 companies.<sup>2</sup> The preliminary results of review are currently due May 2, 2012.

#### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“Act”), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

#### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review**

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the current time limits. The Department requires additional time to analyze recently submitted supplemental questionnaire responses, which contained a significant amount of new sales and factors of production data. The additional time is needed to consider these data and their incorporation into the margin calculations for the individually-reviewed respondents. Additionally, at parties' request, the Department extended the deadline for submitting surrogate country and surrogate value data and comments. Therefore, the Department is hereby fully extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results by 120 days. The preliminary results will now be due no later than August 30, 2012. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 76 FR 45773 (August 1, 2011).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part*, 76 FR 61076 (October 3, 2011) (“*Initiation Notice*”).

<sup>11</sup> If one of the above-named companies do not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single Vietnam entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>12</sup> Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(c) the Department received a request to defer the administrative review with respect to Misumi Corporation for one year. The Department did not receive any objections to the deferral within 15 days after the end of the anniversary month. As such, we will initiate the administrative review with respect to Misumi Corporation in the month immediately following the next anniversary month.

Dated: March 26, 2012.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for  
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty  
Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2012-7743 Filed 3-29-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-918]

#### **Steel Wire Garment Hangers From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of the Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 30, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bob  
Palmer, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9,  
Import Administration, International  
Trade Administration, Department of  
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution  
Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230;  
telephone: (202) 482-0968.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On March 1, 2012, the Department of  
Commerce ("Department") published  
the final results of the second  
administrative review of the  
antidumping duty order on steel wire  
garment hangers ("hangers") from the  
People's Republic of China ("PRC").<sup>1</sup>  
On March 5, 2012, Petitioner<sup>2</sup> filed a  
timely allegation that the Department  
made two ministerial errors in the *Final  
Results* and requested, pursuant to 19  
CFR 351.224, that the Department  
correct the alleged ministerial errors. No  
other party in this proceeding submitted  
comments on the Department's final  
margin calculations. Based upon our  
analysis of the comments and  
allegations of ministerial errors, we have  
made changes to the margin calculations  
for Shanghai Wells Hanger Co., Ltd.  
("Shanghai Wells").<sup>3</sup> Additionally,

because no other parties received a  
separate rate in this administrative  
review, the changes to Shanghai Wells'  
margin will not change the current rates  
of any other parties.

##### **Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the order  
is steel wire garment hangers, fabricated  
from carbon steel wire, whether or not  
galvanized or painted, whether or not  
coated with latex or epoxy or similar  
gripping materials, and/or whether or  
not fashioned with paper covers or  
capes (with or without printing) and/or  
nonslip features such as saddles or  
tubes. These products may also be  
referred to by a commercial designation,  
such as shirt, suit, strut, caped, or latex  
(industrial) hangers. Specifically  
excluded from the scope of the order are  
wooden, plastic, and other garment  
hangers that are not made of steel wire.  
Also excluded from the scope of the  
order are chrome-plated steel wire  
garment hangers with a diameter of 3.4  
mm or greater. The products subject to  
the order are currently classified under  
U.S. Harmonized Tariff Schedule  
("HTSUS") subheadings 7326.20.0020,  
7323.99.9060, and 7323.99.9080.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are  
provided for convenience and customs  
purposes, the written description of the  
merchandise is dispositive.

##### **Amended Final Results of the Review**

The Tariff Act of 1930, as amended  
("Act"), defines a "ministerial error" as  
including "errors in addition,  
subtraction, or other arithmetic  
function, clerical errors resulting from  
inaccurate copying, duplication, or the  
like, and any other type of unintentional  
error which the administering authority  
considers ministerial."<sup>4</sup> After analyzing  
Petitioner's comments, we have  
determined that we made certain  
ministerial errors, as defined by section  
751(h) of the Act, in our calculations for  
the *Final Results*.

First, we agree with Petitioner that we  
made a ministerial error in the  
calculation of the surrogate financial  
ratios of Nasco Steel Pvt., Ltd.  
("Nasco"), which were used in  
Shanghai Wells' margin calculation.  
Specifically, the Department  
inadvertently excluded Nasco's  
commission on sales from selling,  
general and administrative expenses  
(SG&A) for the calculation of surrogate  
SG&A ratio calculation, when we  
instead intended to include Nasco's  
commission on sales in the surrogate  
SG&A ratio calculation. Lastly, we agree

with Petitioner's second ministerial  
error allegation regarding the treatment  
of the net changes in finished goods  
inventory in the calculation of Sterling  
Tools Limited's ("Sterling") surrogate  
financial ratio calculations. Specifically,  
the Department inadvertently excluded  
the net changes in finished goods in the  
surrogate SG&A and profit ratio  
calculations for Sterling, when we  
instead intended to include the net  
changes in finished goods inventories in  
Sterling's SG&A and profit ratios.  
Additionally, when reviewing the  
financial ratio calculations for J&K Wire  
Steel Industries Ltd. ("JK Wire"), we  
noted that we made the same  
inadvertent error in JK Wire's SG&A and  
profit ratio calculation.<sup>5</sup> For a detailed  
discussion of these ministerial errors, as  
well as the Department's analysis of  
these errors, see Memorandum to James  
C. Doyle, from Bob Palmer, regarding  
"Second Antidumping Duty  
Administrative Review of Steel Wire  
Garment Hangers from the People's  
Republic of China: Ministerial Error  
Memorandum," dated concurrently  
with this notice ("Ministerial Memo").  
The Ministerial Memo is a public  
document and is on file electronically  
via Import Administration's  
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty  
Centralized Electronic Service System  
("IA ACCESS"). Access to IA ACCESS  
is available in the Central Records Unit  
("CRU"), Main Commerce Building,  
Room 7046. In addition, a complete  
version of the Ministerial Memo can be  
accessed directly on the Internet at  
<http://www.trade.gov/ia>. The paper  
copy and electronic versions of the  
Ministerial Memo are identical in  
content.

Therefore, in accordance with section  
751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e),  
we are amending the *Final Results* of the  
administrative review of steel wire  
garment hangers from the PRC. Listed  
below are the weighted average  
dumping margins for these amended  
final results:

<sup>1</sup> See *Steel Wire Garment Hangers From the  
People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final  
Partial Rescission of Second Antidumping  
Administrative Review*, 77 FR 12553 (March 1,  
2012) ("Final Results").

<sup>2</sup> M&B Metal Products Co., Inc. ("Petitioner").

<sup>3</sup> In the *Final Results*, the Department found that  
that Shanghai Wells, Hong Kong Wells Limited  
("HK Wells") and Hong Kong Wells Limited (USA)  
("USA Wells") (collectively, "Wells Group") are  
affiliated and that Shanghai Wells and HK Wells  
comprise a single entity. See *Final Results*, 77 FR  
at 12554 n. 4. Petitioner's ministerial error  
allegations do not challenge, and these amended  
final results do not affect, that determination.

<sup>4</sup> See section 751(h) of the Act; see also 19 CFR  
351.224(f).

<sup>5</sup> Petitioner states we made these adjustments to  
J&K Wire. However, the Department made these  
adjustments to Bandsidhar Granites Pvt. Ltd.  
("Bansidhar") and Nasco's financial ratios.  
Moreover, consistent with the Department's  
practice, we included net changes in traded goods  
in the SG&A and profit ratios for Bansidhar alone,  
given that we only make such an adjustment when  
the information is available and Bansidhar was the  
only surrogate company with a traded goods line  
item in its financial statement.