

Department determined to conduct a full sunset review of this antidumping order pursuant to 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(2)(i). As a result of our analysis, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Germany would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mahnaz Khan or Yasmin Nair, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0914 and (202) 482-3813, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On March 1, 2011, the Department initiated the third sunset review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Germany, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 76 FR 11202 (March 1, 2011). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from domestic interested parties, GBC Metals, LLC, of Global Brass and Copper, Inc., doing business as Olin Brass; Heyco Metals, Inc.; Luvata North America, Inc.; PMX Industries, Inc.; Revere Copper Products, Inc.; and International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers, United Auto Workers (Local 2367 and Local 1024), and United Steelworkers AFL-CIO CLC (collectively, "Petitioners"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).

On March 31, 2011, the Department received a substantive response from Petitioners, and a substantive response from respondent interested parties in Germany, Wieland-Werke AG, Schwermetall Halbzeugwerk GmbH & Co. KG, and Messingwerk Plettenberg Herfeld & Co., KG (collectively, "Respondents") within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). Based on the finding that the substantive responses were adequate, we determined to conduct a full sunset review of this antidumping duty order. *See Memorandum from Susan H. Kuhbach, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, to Edward C. Yang, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations entitled "Adequacy Determination: Third Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Brass Sheet and Strip from Germany," dated June 7, 2011.*

On September 26, 2011, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of this

full third sunset review of the AD order on brass sheet and strip from Germany. *See Brass Sheet and Strip from Germany: Preliminary Results of the Third Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 76 FR 59386 (September 26, 2011) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

The Department invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On November 15, 2011, we received case briefs from Petitioners and Respondents. On November 21, 2011, we received rebuttal briefs from Petitioners and Respondents. No hearing was held because none was requested.

**Scope of the Order**

The product covered by the order is brass sheet and strip, other than leaded and tinned brass sheet and strip. The chemical composition of the covered product is currently defined in the Copper Development Association ("C.D.A.") 200 Series or the Unified Numbering System ("U.N.S.") C2000. The order does not cover products the chemical compositions of which are defined by other C.D.A. or U.N.S. series. In physical dimensions, the product covered by the order has a solid rectangular cross section over 0.006 inches (0.15 millimeters) through 0.188 inches (4.8 millimeters) in finished thickness or gauge, regardless of width. Coiled, wound-on-reels (traverse wound), and cut-to-length products are included. The merchandise is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") item numbers 7409.21.00 and 7409.29.00.

Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Brass Sheet and Strip from Germany: Final Results" from Gary Taverman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration ("Decision Memorandum"), which is hereby adopted by, and issued concurrently with, this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum are the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order is revoked. Parties

can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Final Results of Review**

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Germany would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

| Manufacturers/producers/exporters | Margin (percent) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Wieland-Werke AG .....            | 3.81             |
| All Others .....                  | 7.30             |

**Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the final results of this review in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: January 25, 2012.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2082 Filed 1-30-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-357-812]

**Honey From Argentina: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request for a new shipper review of the

antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Honey From Argentina*, 66 FR 63672 (December 10, 2001) (*Order*). In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating an antidumping duty new shipper review of D'Ambros María de los Angeles and D'Ambros María Daniela SH, an Argentine partnership doing business as Apícola Danangie (Danangie). The period of review (POR) of this new shipper review is December 1, 2010, through November 30, 2011.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 31, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patrick Edwards or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-8029 or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On December 10, 2001, the Department published the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. *See Order*, 66 FR at 63672. Thus, the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina has a December anniversary month. On January 3, 2012, the Department received a timely filed request dated December 31, 2011, for a new shipper review from Danangie. In its request for a review, Danangie identified itself as an exporter of the subject merchandise. For the purpose of initiating this new shipper review, the Department determines that Danangie's submission was timely filed.

Pursuant to the requirements set forth in section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Danangie certified that (1) it did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (POI) (*see* section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i)); and (2) since the initiation of the investigation, it has never been affiliated with any company that exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those companies not individually examined during the investigation (*see* section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A)). Furthermore, as required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Danangie's suppliers, Luis Hauser and Nestor Pezelatto, provided certifications that (1) they did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI or at any time following the POI and (2) since the initiation of the investigation, they

have never been affiliated with any company that exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those companies not individually examined during the investigation. Additionally, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), Danangie submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) The date on which it first shipped subject merchandise to the United States; (2) the volume of its first shipment; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated purchaser for exportation to the United States. Danangie also stated it had no shipments to the United States during the period subsequent to its first shipment.

**Initiation of Review**

Based on information on the record and in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we find that the request submitted by Danangie meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation of a new shipper review. *See Memorandum to the File*, through Angelica L. Mendoza, Program Manager, regarding "Initiation of the Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Honey From Argentina," dated January 25, 2012 (Initiation Checklist). Accordingly, we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina exported by Danangie, for the period December 1, 2010, through November 30, 2011. However, the Department has concerns with certain other information contained within the entry data received from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). Due to the business proprietary nature of this information, please refer to the Initiation Checklist for further discussion. The Department intends to address this issue after initiation of the new shipper review. If the Department subsequently determines, based on information collected, that a new shipper review for Danangie is not warranted, the Department may rescind the review or apply facts available pursuant to section 776 of the Act, as appropriate.

We intend to issue the preliminary results of this review no later than 180 days after the date on which this review is initiated, and the final results within 90 days after the date on which we issue the preliminary results. *See* section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(h)(i).

We will instruct CBP to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for certain entries of the subject

merchandise exported by Danangie and produced by Luis Hauser or Nestor Pezelatto in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(e). Because Danangie certified that the sales of subject merchandise which form the basis for its new shipper review request were produced by Luis Hauser and Nestor Pezelatto, we will instruct CBP to permit the use of a bond only for entries of subject merchandise which Danangie exported and was produced by Luis Hauser or Nestor Pezelatto.

Interested parties may submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and this notice are issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: January 25, 2012.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2093 Filed 1-30-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[C-570-980]

**Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Second Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gene Calvert, Jun Jack Zhao, or Emily Halle, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3586, (202) 482-1396 or (202) 482-0176, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On December 21, 2011, based on a request from Petitioner, SolarWorld Industries America, Inc. (SolarWorld), the Department of Commerce (the Department) extended the due date for the preliminary determination of the countervailing duty investigation of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules,