

written submissions on the issues identified in this notice. Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, OUII, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. Complainant is also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainant is also requested to state the dates that the patents expire and the HTSUS numbers under which the accused products are imported. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on September 14, 2012. Initial submissions are limited to 100 pages, not including any attachments or exhibits related to discussion of the remedy, bonding or public interest. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on September 21, 2012. Reply submissions are limited to 50 pages, not including any attachments or exhibits related to discussion of the remedy, bonding or public interest. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337-TA-754") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with any confidential filing. All non-confidential written submissions will be

available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42-46 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42-46 and 210.50).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 30, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-21908 Filed 9-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-709 (Third Review)]

Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel; Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe From Germany

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain seamless carbon and alloy steel standard, line, and pressure pipe from Germany would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted this review on April 2, 2012 (77 FR 19711) and determined on July 6, 2012, that it would conduct an expedited review (77 FR 42763, July 20, 2012).

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on August 30, 2012. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4348 (August 2012), entitled *Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from Germany: Investigation No. 731-TA-709 (Third Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun did not participate in this review. Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson did not vote in this review.

Issued: August 31, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-21923 Filed 9-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on August 28, 2012, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Cornell-Dubilier Electronics, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 12-cv-05407 JLL-MAH, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves the United States' and the State of New Jersey's cost recovery and natural resource damages claims against Cornell-Dubilier Electronics, Inc. ("CDE") under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.*, relating to the Cornell-Dubilier Electronics, Inc. Superfund Site ("Site") located in South Plainfield, New Jersey.

In the proposed Consent Decree, CDE and the United States and New Jersey agree to a stipulated judgment amount, 80 percent of the sum of the response cost and natural resource damage claims of the United States and New Jersey, or \$367,453,449. CDE has agreed to pay, on a sliding scale, between 75 and 100 percent of insurance recoveries it receives to the United States and New Jersey. In addition to the potential recovery of insurance proceeds, CDE will make payments to the United States and New Jersey over three years totaling \$1.11 million. All of these CDE payments will be divided between EPA, New Jersey, and the natural resource trustees. CDE will also place, as necessary, up to a total of \$3.25 million into an escrow account to fund its state court insurance litigation. Finally, the Decree also resolves potential contribution claims and the State's cost claims against the Department of Defense and the General Services Administration. The federal agencies will pay \$16,282,685 toward the United States' and the State's total past and estimated future response costs and natural resource damages.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General,

Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to the matter as *United States v. Cornell-Dubilier Electronics, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. Number 90-11-2-08223/2.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to "Consent Decree Copy" (EESDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-5271. If requesting by mail from the Consent Decree Library a copy of the proposed Consent Decree only, please so note and enclose a check in the amount of \$15.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost for the 60 page proposed Consent Decree) payable to the U.S. Treasury. If requesting by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the address given above.

Ronald G. Gluck,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resource Division.

[FR Doc. 2012-21900 Filed 9-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-81,575; TA-W-81,575A; TA-W-81,575B; *et al.*]

Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

TA-W-81,575

WIPRO LIMITED, WIPRO TECHNOLOGIES, ALLIANCE MANAGERS, INCLUDING WORKERS WORKING REMOTELY IN NEW JERSEY, EAST BRUNSWICK, NEW JERSEY

TA-W-81,575A

WIPRO LIMITED, WIPRO TECHNOLOGIES, ALLIANCE MANAGERS, INCLUDING WORKERS WORKING REMOTELY IN ILLINOIS, OAKBROOK TERRACE, ILLINOIS

TA-W-81,575B

WIPRO LIMITED, WIPRO TECHNOLOGIES, ALLIANCE MANAGERS, INCLUDING WORKERS

WORKING REMOTELY IN CALIFORNIA, MOUNTAIN VIEW, CALIFORNIA

TA-W-81,575C

WIPRO LIMITED, WIPRO TECHNOLOGIES, ALLIANCE MANAGERS, WORKERS WORKING REMOTELY IN GEORGIA, ATLANTA, GEORGIA

TA-W-81,575D

WIPRO LIMITED, WIPRO TECHNOLOGIES, ALLIANCE MANAGERS, WORKERS WORKING REMOTELY IN WASHINGTON, BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON

TA-W-81,575E

WIPRO LIMITED, WIPRO TECHNOLOGIES, ALLIANCE MANAGERS, WORKERS WORKING REMOTELY IN TEXAS, ADDISON, TEXAS

TA-W-81,575F

WIPRO LIMITED, WIPRO TECHNOLOGIES, ALLIANCE MANAGERS, WORKERS WORKING REMOTELY IN MASSACHUSETTS, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

On its own motion, the Department of Labor will conduct an administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Wipro Limited, Wipro Technologies, Alliance Managers, East Brunswick, New Jersey (TA-W-81,575), Oakbrook Terrace, Illinois (TA-W-81,575A), Mountain View, California (TA-W-81,575B), Atlanta, Georgia (TA-W-81,575C), Bellevue, Washington (TA-W-81,575D), Addison, Texas (TA-W-81,575E), and Boston, Massachusetts (TA-W-81,575F) (hereafter collectively referred to as "Wipro"). The Department's Notice of negative determination was published in the **Federal Register** on July 10, 2012 (77 FR 40642). The workers are engaged in employment related to the supply of sales of alliance related services or products through sales employees of the company.

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings of no imports by Wipro of services like or directly competitive with those supplied by the subject worker group and no shift to a foreign country by Wipro in the supply of such services. A customer survey was not conducted, as the services supplied are for internal purposes only.

The initial investigation also revealed that Wipro is neither a Supplier to, nor acts as a Downstream Producer for, a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. § 2272(a), and that Wipro has not been publically identified by name by the International Trade Commission as

a member of a domestic industry in an investigation resulting in an affirmative finding of serious injury, market disruption, or material injury, or threat thereof.

The Department's review of the administrative record revealed a discrepancy in the locations identified by Wipro and those identified by the Department in the determination.

Conclusion

The Department has carefully reviewed the existing record, and will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 23rd day of August, 2012.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012-21871 Filed 9-5-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Comment Request for Information Collection for Unemployment Insurance (UI) Benefit Accuracy Measurement (BAM), Extension Without Revisions.

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (Department), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

ETA is soliciting comments concerning the continuation of collection of data about the accuracy of paid and denied UI claims, which is accomplished through the BAM survey. The Department's BAM information collection authority, under Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number