(iii) A garment contains several fibers, fabrics, or components not previously used together; or

- (5) Reliable evidence of current technical literature, past experience, or industry expertise supporting the care information on the label [For example, if past experience with particular dyes and fabrics indicates that a particular red trim does not bleed onto surrounding fabric, testing the entire garment might not be necessary]; or \*
- 5. Amend § 423.8 by revising paragraph (g) as follows:

# § 423.8 Exemptions.

(g) The symbol systems developed by ASTM International (ASTM) and designated as ASTM D5489-07, "Standard Guide for Care Symbols for Care Instructions on Textile Products' and by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and designated as 3758:2005(E), "Textiles-Care labelling code using symbols," may be used on care labels or care instructions in lieu of terms so long as the symbols fulfill the requirements of this part. If the ISO symbols are used, the label should disclose this fact. In addition, symbols from either one of the two symbol systems above may be combined with terms so long as the symbols and terms used fulfill the requirements of this part. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of ASTM D5489-07, "Standard Guide for Care Symbols for Care Instructions on Textile Products," may be obtained from ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428. Copies of ISO 3758:2005(E), "Textiles—Care labelling code using symbols," may be obtained from American National Standards Institute, 11 West 42nd Street, 13th Floor, New York, NY 10036. Both ASTM D5489-07 and ISO 3758:2005(E) may be inspected at the Federal Trade Commission, room 130, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to http://www.archives.gov/ federal register/ code of federal regulations/ ibr locations.html.

6. Amend Appendix A by revising paragraph 7.a and c, and by adding a new paragraph 9.a, to read as follows:

#### Appendix A to Part 423—Glossary of Standard Terms

7. Drycleaning; All Procedures:

a. "Dryclean"—a commercial process by which soil is removed from products or specimens in a machine which uses any solvent excluding water (e.g., petroleum, perchloroethylene, silicone, glycol ether, carbon dioxide, or aldehyde). The process also may involve adding moisture to the solvent, up to 75% relative humidity, hot tumble drying up to 160 degrees F (71 degrees C) and restoration by steam press or steam-air finishing.

c. "Petroleum," "Perchloroethylene," "Silicone," "Glycol Ether," "Carbon
Dioxide," or "Aldehyde"—employ solvent(s) specified to dryclean the item.

9. Professional Wetcleaning:

a. "Wetclean"—a commercial process for cleaning products or specimens in water carried out by professionals using special technology (cleaning, rinsing, and spinning), detergents, and additives to minimize adverse effects, followed by appropriate drying and restorative finishing procedures.

By direction of the Commission.

#### Donald S. Clark.

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-22746 Filed 9-19-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

# **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0596; FRL 9731-2]

# Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Missouri on September 21, 2010. This revision proposes to amend the ambient air quality standards table to reflect revised National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), update reference methods associated with the revised NAAQS, and update the breakpoint values for the Air Quality Index. These revisions would make Missouri's rules consistent with Federal regulations and improve the clarity of the rules.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by October 22, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-

OAR-2012-0596, by mail to Amy Bhesania, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Comments may also be submitted electronically or through hand delivery/courier by following the detailed instructions in the **ADDRESSES** section of the direct final rule located in the rules section of this Federal Register.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Bhesania at (913) 551-7147, or by email at bhesania.amy@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the final rules section of the Federal Register, EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: September 11, 2012.

#### Karl Brooks.

Regional Administrator, Region 7. [FR Doc. 2012-23133 Filed 9-19-12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0550; FRL-9718-2]

**Revisions to the California State** Implementation Plan, San Diego County, Antelope Valley and Monterev **Bay Unified Air Pollution Agencies** 

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (SDCAPCD) and Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) and Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District (AVAQMD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from coating of metal containers, closures and coils and from graphic arts operations and the provision of sampling and testing facilities required for permitting and from adhesives and sealant applications. We are proposing to approve local rules to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act)

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by October 22, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number R09–OAR–2012–0550, by one of the following methods:

- 1. Federal eRulemaking Portal: www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions.
  - 2. Email: steckel.andrew@epa.gov.

3. Mail or deliver: Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI)

or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or email. www.regulations.gov is an "anonymous access" system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send email directly to EPA, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: Generally, documents in the docket for this action are available electronically at www.regulations.gov and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed at www.regulations.gov, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material, large maps), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andy Steckel, EPA Region IX, (415)

Andy Steckel, EPA Region IX, (415) 947–4115, Steckel.andrew@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the following local rules: SDCAPCD Rule 67.4. Metal Container, Metal Closure and Metal Coil Coating Operations; SDCAPCD Rule 67.16, Metal Container, Graphic Arts Operations; MBUAPCD Rule 205, Provision of Sampling and Testing Facilities and AVAQMD 1168, Adhesive and Sealant Applications. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: August 3, 2012.

### Jared Blumenfeld,

 $Regional\ Administrator, Region\ IX.$  [FR Doc. 2012–21226 Filed 9–19–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P