indemnification implicit in the NanoRacks cross-waiver, as discussed above, for claims for property damage, because the parties expressly waive claims for property damage. It is a different matter with respect to employees. The parties may not waive claims on behalf of their employees. Additionally, here, the NanoRacks cross-waiver does not address employee claims in the first instance. This does not interfere with the FAA's ability to grant SpaceX's request for a waiver with respect to the student customers because they presumably do not have employees. However, NanoRacks itself does have employees. If any of them were to be at risk at the launch site, the FAA might not have been able to grant SpaceX's request for a waiver with respect to NanoRacks itself. SpaceX recently advised the FAA, however, that it was its understanding that no NanoRacks employees would be present at the launch site during the flight.

The final issue the FAA must consider is that NASA's regulations provide that the NASA cross-waiver is not applicable when 51 U.S.C. Subtitle V, Chapter 509 is applicable.<sup>8</sup> 14 CFR 1266.102(c)(6). At first glance, this might create the impression that the NanoRacks cross-waiver does not apply when a launch or reentry is conducted under FAA license. However, by waiving the requirement that all customers sign, the FAA is not applying the specific requirements of Chapter 509 to NanoRacks and each SSEP participant. Accordingly, the NanoRacks agreement should retain legal effect.

This waiver implicates no safety, national security or foreign policy issues. The waiver is consistent with the public interest goals of Chapter 509. Under 51 U.S.C. 50914, Congress determined that it was necessary to reduce the costs associated with insurance and litigation by requiring launch participants, including customers, to waive claims against each other. Because the NanoRacks Agreement under 14 CFR part 1266 accomplishes these goals by the same or similar means, the FAA finds this request in the public interest, and grants the waiver with respect to NanoRacks and the SSEP participants in reliance on the representations SpaceX made in its

petition and subsequent communications.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 5, 2012.

#### Kenneth Wong,

Manager, Licensing and Evaluation Division, Office of Commercial Space Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration. [FR Doc. 2012–25419 Filed 10–15–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

Department of the Navy

### 32 CFR Part 706

## Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy (DoN) is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (DAJAG) (Admiralty and Maritime Law) has determined that USS PELELIU (LHA 5) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply. **DATES:** This rule is effective October 16, 2012 and is applicable beginning October 3, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lieutenant Jocelyn Loftus-Williams, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Admiralty Attorney, (Admiralty and Maritime Law), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 1322 Patterson Ave. SE., Suite 3000, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374–5066, telephone 202–685–5040. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the DoN amends 32 CFR Part 706.

This amendment provides notice that the DAJAG (Admiralty and Maritime Law), under authority delegated by the Secretary of the Navy, has certified that USS PELELIU (LHA 5) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with the following specific

provisions of 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship: Annex I, paragraph 3(a) pertaining to the horizontal distance between the forward and aft masthead lights; Rule 21(a) pertaining to placement of masthead lights over the fore and aft centerline of the vessel; Annex I, paragraph 2(g) pertaining to the placement of sidelights above the hull of the vessel; Annex I, paragraph 2(i)(iii) pertaining to the vertical position and spacing of task lights. The DAJAG (Admiralty and Maritime Law) has also certified that the lights involved are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 **COLREGS** requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR Parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel's ability to perform its military functions.

## List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine safety, Navigation (water), and Vessels.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, amend part 706 of title 32 of the CFR as follows:

## PART 706—CERTIFICATIONS AND EXEMPTIONS UNDER THE INTERNATIONAL REGULATIONS FOR PREVENTING COLLISIONS AT SEA, 1972

■ 1. The authority citation for part 706 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1605.

■ 2. Section 706.2 is amended as follows:

■ A. In Table Two by revising the entry for USS PELELIU (LHA 5);

■ B. In Table Three by adding, in alpha numerical order, by vessel number, an entry for USS PELELIU (LHA 5); and

■ C. In Table Four, paragraph 22, by adding, in alpha numerical order, by vessel number, an entry for USS PELELIU (LHA 5).

§706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and 33 U.S.C. 1605.

\* \* \* \* \*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The provision was not incorporated into the NanoRacks Agreement.

				TAB	le Two				
Vessel	Number	Masthead lights, dis- tance to stbd of keel in meters; Rule 21(a)	Forward an- chor light, distance below flight dk in me- ters; § 2(K), Annex I	Forward an chor light, number of; Rule 30(a)(i)	flight dk in	v AFT ancho light, num- ber of; Rule	below flight	Side lights, distance for- ward of for- ward mast- head light in meters; § 3(b), Annex I	Side lights, distance in- board of ship's sides in meters; § 3(b), Annex I
*		*	*		*	*	*		*
USS PELELIU	LHA 5	10.13		4			2.64	70.05	
*		*	*		*	*	*		*
* * *	* *			TABL	e Three				
Vessel	Numbe	Masth Lights r visibilit 21(	arc of of vi y rule rule	aibilitur a	stern light rc of visi- pility; rule s 21(c)	Side lights distance in board of ship's sides in meters (b) annex 1	Stern light, distance for- ward of stern in meters; rule 21(c)	Forward an- chor light height above hull in me- ters; 2(K) annex 1	anchor lights relationship of aft light to forward light in meters (2K) annex 1
* USS PELELIU	LHA 5	* 214	* I.5		*	*	*		*
*		*	*		*	*	*		*
* * *	* *		22. *	* *					
				TABL	E FOUR				
Vessel	Numbe	er Vertica lower t	al separation o ask light exce	f the task ligh ed the separa	t array is not e tion between t	qually spaced, he upper and r	the separation niddle light by	between the mi	ddle and
* USS PELELIU	LHA 5	* 5 0.18 m	*		*	*	*		*

\* \* \* \* \*

Approved: October 3, 2012.

## A.B. Fischer,

Captain, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate, General (Admiralty and Maritime Law).

Dated: October 9, 2012

#### C.K. Chiappetta,

Lieutenant Commander, Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012–25416 Filed 10–15–12; 8:45 am]

### BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

## DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

## 38 CFR Part 3

RIN 2900-AO09

## Extension of Statutory Period for Compensation for Certain Disabilities Due to Undiagnosed Illnesses and Medically Unexplained Chronic Multi-Symptom Illnesses

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is issuing this final rule to affirm an amendment to its adjudication regulation regarding compensation for disabilities experienced by veterans who served in the Southwest Asia Theater of Operations during the Persian Gulf War. This amendment is necessary to extend the period during which disabilities associated with undiagnosed illnesses and medically unexplained chronic multi-symptom illnesses must become manifest in order for a veteran to be eligible for compensation. Additionally, in this final rule, VA will correct the adjudication section title that was amended and published in the **Federal Register** on September 29, 2010, but inadvertently changed to the original title.

# **DATES:** *Effective Date:* This rule is effective October 16, 2012.

*Applicability Date:* The provisions of this final rule shall apply to all applications for benefits that are or have been received by VA on or after December 29, 2011, or that were pending before VA, the United States Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims, or the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on December 29, 2011.