




Figure 24: Bassinet Bed Resting on Stand,
Showing Possible Alternate Lateral Positions

(G) 7.11.5.1 If the base/stand supports the bassinet bed, place the inclinometer on the mattress support at the approximate center of the mattress support. Care should be taken to avoid seams, snap fasteners, or other items that may affect the measurement reading. Record the angle measurement.

(H) 7.11.5.2 If the base/stand supports the bassinet bed and the angle of the mattress support surface is less than 20 degrees of horizontal, evaluate whether the bassinet has a visual indicator per 6.10.4.

(I) 7.11.5.3 If the base/stand supports the bassinet bed, and the angle of the mattress support surface is less than 20 degrees of horizontal, and the bassinet does not contain a false latch/lock indicator, test the unit in accordance with sections 7.4.2–7.4.7.

(J) 7.11.6 Repeat 7.11.3 through 7.11.5.3 for all of the manufacturer's base/stand positions.

(K) 7.11.7 If the product design allows, repeat 7.11.2 through 7.11.6 with the bassinet bed rotated 180° from the normal use orientation.

(1) *Rationale.* This test requirement addresses fatal and nonfatal incidents involving bassinet beds that tipped over or fell off their base/stand when they were not properly locked/latched to their base/stand or the latch failed to engage as intended. Products that appear to be in an intended use position

when the lock or latch is not properly engaged can create a false sense of security by appearing to be stable. Unsecured or mis-aligned lock/latch systems are a hidden hazard because they not easily seen by consumers due to being located beneath the bassinet or covered by decorative skirts. In addition, consumers will avoid activating lock/latch mechanisms for numerous reasons if a bassinet bed appears stable when placed on a stand/base. Because of these foreseeable use conditions, this requirement has been added to ensure that bassinets with a removable bassinet bed feature will be inherently stable or it is obvious that they are not properly secured.

(2) Section 6.10 allows bassinet bed designs that:

- (i) Cannot be supported by the base/stand in an unlocked configuration,
 - (ii) Automatically lock and cannot be placed in an unlocked position on the base/stand,
 - (iii) Are clearly and obviously unstable when the lock/latch is misaligned or unused,
 - (iv) Provide a visual warning to consumers when the product is not properly locked onto the stand/base, or
 - (v) Have lock/latch mechanisms that are not necessary to provide needed stability.
- (ii) [Reserved].

Dated: October 4, 2012.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–24896 Filed 10–17–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 161

[Docket No. USCG–2011–1024]

RIN 1625–AB81

Vessel Traffic Service Updates, Including Establishment of Vessel Traffic Service Requirements for Port Arthur, Texas and Expansion of VTS Special Operating Area in Puget Sound

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document contains a correction to the notice of proposed rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** on September 10, 2012 (77 FR 55439), which proposes to revise and update the Vessel Traffic Service regulations in 33 CFR part 161.

DATES: Comments and related material must either be submitted to our online

docket via <http://www.regulations.gov> on or before December 10, 2012, or reach the Docket Management Facility by that date.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2011–1024 using any one of the following methods:

(1) *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.

(2) *Fax:* 202–493–2251.

(3) *Mail:* Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001.

(4) *Hand delivery:* Same as mail address above, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.

To avoid duplication, please use only one of these four methods. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section in the notice of proposed rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** on September 10, 2012 (77 FR 55439) for instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Commander Patricia Springer, CG–7413, U.S. Coast Guard, telephone 202–372–2576; email Patricia.J.Springer@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Ms. Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 10, 2012, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) (77 FR 55439) which proposes to revise certain Vessel Traffic Service (VTS) regulations in 33 CFR part 161. The proposed revisions include adding the Maritime Mobile Service Identifiers (MMSI) for Louisville and Los Angeles/Long Beach.

In the Regulatory Analysis of the NPRM, we said that we do not expect these revisions to result in additional costs to the public or industry (77 FR 55441). After publication of that NPRM, however, the Coast Guard realized that revising Table 161.12(c) for the purpose of adding an MMSI number for VTS Louisville and Los Angeles/Long Beach would impose Automatic Identification System (AIS) equipment costs for owners and operators of the vessel type identified in § 164.46(a)(3) and that operate in either of these two VTS areas. That action was not intended, and is the

subject of a separate rulemaking project.¹

Need for Correction

Although the Coast Guard highly encourages the use of AIS in U.S. navigable waters, it was not the Coast Guard’s intention, through this proposed rulemaking, to apply the AIS carriage requirements that are set forth in § 164.46 to vessels operating within VTS Louisville and Los Angeles/Long Beach waters. Currently, under existing Part 161, Note 1 to Table 161.12(c), the AIS broadcast and carriage requirements set forth in §§ 161.21 and 164.46 apply to each VTS location identified in Table 161.12(c) that are denoted with an MMSI number. Because the addition of VTS Louisville’s and Los Angeles/Long Beach MMSI numbers to Table 161.12(c) would inadvertently impose AIS carriage requirements to certain categories of vessels operating in these VTS areas, the Coast Guard is making a conforming correction to Note 1 to Table 161.12(c) by adding, at the end of Note 1, the words “except for Louisville and Los Angeles/Long Beach.”

The following correction is issued based on authority under 33 U.S.C. 1223, 1231; 46 U.S.C. 70114, 70119; Public Law 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

Correction

The proposed regulatory text of the notice of proposed rulemaking entitled “Vessel Traffic Service Updates, Including Establishment of Vessel Traffic Service Requirements for Port Arthur, TX and Expansion of VTS Special Operating Area in Puget Sound,” published September 10, 2012, is corrected as follows:

§ 161.12 [Corrected]

In proposed rule FR Doc. 2012–22164 published on September 10, 2012 (77 FR 55439), make the following correction: On page 55446, in the last sentence of Note 1 of Table 161.12(c), remove the period, and add, in its place, the words “except for Louisville and Los Angeles/Long Beach.”

Dated: October 9, 2012.

Kathryn A. Sinniger,

Chief, Office of Regulations and Administrative Law, U.S. Coast Guard.

[FR Doc. 2012–25239 Filed 10–17–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

¹ On December 16, 2008, the Coast Guard published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking entitled “Vessel Requirements for Notices of Arrival and Departure, and Automatic Identification System.” In this NPRM, the Coast Guard proposes to expand AIS applicability to all U.S. navigable waters. (73 FR 76295).

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

49 CFR Part 234

[Docket No. FRA–2011–0007, Notice No. 1]

RIN 2130–AC26

National Highway-Rail Crossing Inventory Reporting Requirements

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: FRA is proposing to require railroads to submit information to the U.S. DOT National Highway-Rail Crossing Inventory about highway-rail crossings and pathway crossings over which they operate. These amendments, which are required by the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (RSIA), would require railroads to submit information about previously unreported and new public and private highway-rail crossings and pathway crossings to the U.S. DOT National Highway-Rail Crossing Inventory and to periodically update the Inventory.

DATES: Written comments must be received by December 17, 2012. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent possible without incurring additional expense or delay. FRA anticipates being able to resolve this rulemaking without a public hearing. However, if FRA receives a specific request for a public hearing prior to November 19, 2012, a hearing will be scheduled and FRA will publish a supplemental notice in the **Federal Register** to inform interested parties of the date, time, and location of any such hearing.

FRA intends to hold a technical symposium during this comment period, in order to facilitate discussion on the technical implications associated with the electronic submission of data to the Crossing Inventory. The date and location of the technical symposium will be announced through issuance of a separate notice in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may submit comments identified by docket number FRA–2011–0007 by any of the following methods:

• *Fax:* 202–493–2251;

• *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590;