

should attend a prehearing conference to be held at 9:30 a.m. on June 18, 2012, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Oral testimony and written materials to be submitted at the public hearing are governed by sections 201.6(b)(2), 201.13(f), 207.24, and 207.66 of the Commission's rules. Parties must submit any request to present a portion of their hearing testimony *in camera* no later than 7 business days prior to the date of the hearing.

**Written submissions.** Each party to the review may submit a prehearing brief to the Commission. Prehearing briefs must conform with the provisions of section 207.65 of the Commission's rules; the deadline for filing is June 12, 2012. Parties may also file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the hearing, as provided in section 207.24 of the Commission's rules, and posthearing briefs, which must conform with the provisions of section 207.67 of the Commission's rules. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is June 29, 2012; witness testimony must be filed no later than three days before the hearing. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the review may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the subject of the review on or before June 29, 2012. On July 30, 2012, the Commission will make available to parties all information on which they have not had an opportunity to comment. Parties may submit final comments on this information on or before August 1, 2012, but such final comments must not contain new factual information and must otherwise comply with section 207.68 of the Commission's rules. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. Please be aware that the Commission's rules with respect to electronic filing have been amended. The amendments took effect on November 7, 2011. See 76 FR 61937 (Oct. 6, 2011) and the newly revised Commission's Handbook on E-Filing, available on the Commission's web site at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

Additional written submissions to the Commission, including requests pursuant to section 201.12 of the Commission's rules, shall not be accepted unless good cause is shown for accepting such submissions, or unless the submission is pursuant to a specific request by a Commissioner or Commission staff.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: February 2, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

**James R. Holbein,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2823 Filed 2-7-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-805]

### Certain Devices for Improving Uniformity Used in a Backlight Module and Components Thereof and Products Containing Same; Determination To Review and Modify Initial Determination To Amend Complaint

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 11) granting a motion by complainants Industrial Technology Research Institute of Hsinchu, Taiwan and ITRI International Inc. of San Jose, California (collectively "ITRI") to amend the complaint to add as respondents LG Display Co., Ltd. of Seoul, South Korea and LG Display America, Inc. of San Jose, California (collectively "LG Display"). On review, the Commission modifies the ID's grant of ITRI's motion to clarify that both the complaint and the notice of investigation are amended.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S.

International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on September 14, 2011, based on a complaint filed by ITRI. 76 FR 56796-97 (Sept. 14, 2011). The complaint alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain devices for improving uniformity used in a backlight module and components thereof and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,883,932. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents LG Corporation of Seoul, South Korea; LG Electronics, Inc. of Seoul, South Korea; and LG Electronics, U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (collectively "the LGE Respondents"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigation was named as a participating party.

On December 21, 2011, ITRI filed a motion for leave to amend the complaint to add LG Display as respondents in this investigation. On January 3, 2012, the LGE Respondents filed an opposition to the motion. Also on January 3, 2012, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion and characterizing it as a motion to amend the complaint and the notice of investigation.

On January 19, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting complainants' motion to amend the complaint pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.14(b)(1)). No petitions for review of this ID were filed.

The Commission has determined to review the ID, and on review, to modify the ID's grant of ITRI's motion to clarify that, while the ID grants only ITRI's motion to amend the complaint, because the LG Display respondents are added to the investigation, the notice of investigation must also be amended in addition to the complaint.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.44 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.44).

Issued: February 2, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

**James R. Holbein,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2824 Filed 2-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Public Availability of Department of Justice FY 2011 Service Contract Inventory

**AGENCY:** Justice Management Division, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of public availability of FY 2011 Service Contract inventories.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 743 of Division C of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111-117), the Department of Justice is publishing this notice to advise the public of the availability of the FY 2011 Service Contract inventory. This inventory provides information on service contract actions over \$25,000 that were made in FY 2011. The information is organized by function to show how contracted resources are distributed throughout the agency. The inventory has been developed in accordance with guidance issued on December 19, 2011 by the Office of Management and Budget's Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP). OFPP's guidance is available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/procurement/memo/service-contract-inventory-guidance.pdf>. The Department of Justice has posted its inventory and a summary of the inventory on the Department of Justice Senior Procurement Executive homepage at the following link: <http://www.justice.gov/jmd/pe/service-contract-inventory.html>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions regarding the service contract inventory should be directed to Dennis R. McCraw in the Justice Management Division, Management and Planning Staff, Procurement Policy and Review

Group at (202) 616-3754 or [dennis.mccraw@usdoj.gov](mailto:dennis.mccraw@usdoj.gov).

**Michael H. Allen,**

*Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Policy Management and Planning, US Department of Justice, Justice Management Division.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2793 Filed 2-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-80,291]

#### RR Donnelley & Sons, Inc., Premedia Services Division, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Kelly Services Seattle, WA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated December 14, 2011, a State Workforce Official requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of RR Donnelley & Sons, Inc., Premedia Services Division, Seattle, Washington (subject firm). The determination was issued on November 17, 2011. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on December 6, 2011 (76 FR 76186). The workers were engaged in activities related to the production of digital photography, printed proofs and digital files.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that the subject firm did not import digital photography, printed proofs and digital files (or like or directly competitive articles) in 2009, 2010, or January through June 2011. Surveys of the subject firm's major declining customers revealed no imports of digital photography, printed proofs and digital files (or like or directly competitive articles) during the relevant period.

The investigation also revealed that a shift in production by the subject firm did not contribute importantly to the separations at the subject firm, and that the subject firm is neither a Supplier nor a Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a).

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied new information regarding a possible shift to/acquisition from a foreign country by the subject

firm in the production of articles like or directly competitive with the digital photography, printed proofs and digital files produced by the subject workers.

The Department of Labor has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning worker group at the subject firm meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of January 2012.

**Del Min Amy Chen**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2889 Filed 2-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-80,511]

#### Specialty Bar Products Company,a Subsidiary of Doncasters, Inc., Blairsville, PA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated January 12, 2012, three workers requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Specialty Bar Products Company, a subsidiary of Doncasters, Inc., Blairsville, Pennsylvania (subject firm). The determination was issued on December 16, 2011. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on December 29, 2011 (76 FR 81989). The workers were engaged in activities related to the production of pins, bushings, and gun blanks.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that the subject firm did not shift the production of pins, bushings, gun blanks (or like or directly competitive articles) to a foreign country or acquire the production of such articles from a foreign country. The investigation also revealed that neither