password PPS@Dec20. The agenda for the meeting includes the following topics:

- —Update on NASA Planetary Protection Activities
- —Potential Technology Investments to Support Planetary Protection Requirements

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Attendees will be requested to sign a register and to comply with NASA security requirements, including the presentation of a valid picture ID to Security before access to NASA Headquarters. Foreign nationals attending this meeting will be required to provide a copy of their passport and visa in addition to providing the following information no less than 10 working days prior to the meeting: Full name; gender; date/place of birth; citizenship; visa information (number, type, expiration date); passport information (number, country, expiration date); employer/affiliation information (name of institution, address, country, telephone); title/ position of attendee; and home address to Marian Norris via email at mnorris@nasa.gov or by fax at (202) 358-1377. U.S. citizens and green card holders are requested to submit their name and affiliation 3 working days prior to the meeting to Marian Norris.

Susan M. Burch,

Acting Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–29119 Filed 11–30–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act; Notice of Agency Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m., Thursday, December 6, 2012.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street (All visitors must use Diagonal Road Entrance), Alexandria, VA 22314–3428.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Alternatives to the Use of Credit Ratings.
- 2. NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Fidelity Bond and Insurance Coverage for Federal Credit Unions.
- 3. Request from Focus Federal Credit Union to Convert to a Community Charter.

- 4. Request from The Atlantic Federal Credit Union to Convert to a Community Charter.
- 5. Temporary Corporate Credit Union Stabilization Fund Budget.

RECESS: 11:15 a.m.

TIME AND DATE: 11:30 a.m., Thursday, December 6, 2012.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–3428.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. Creditor Claim Appeal. Closed pursuant to exemptions (4) and (6).
- 2. Termination of Investment Pilot Programs. Closed pursuant to exemption (8).
- 3. Personnel. Closed pursuant to exemptions (2) and (6).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Rupp, Secretary of the Board, Telephone: 703–518–6304.

Mary Rupp,

Board Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–29267 Filed 11–29–12; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2013-21; Order No. 1555]

New International Mail Contract

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to enter into an additional Global Reseller Expedited Package Services 3 contract. This document invites public comments on the request and addresses several related procedural steps.

DATES: Comments are due: December 6, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Introduction
II. Contents of Filing
III. Commission Action
IV. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

Notice of filing. On November 26, 2012, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it is entering into an additional Global Expedited Package Services (GEPS) 3 contract (Contract). The Notice was filed in accordance with 39 CFR 3015.5. Notice at 1. The Postal Service seeks to have the instant Contract included within GEPS 3 product on grounds of functional equivalence to a previously approved baseline agreement. *Id.* at 2.

Background. Customers for GEPS contracts are small- or medium-sized businesses that mail products directly to foreign destinations using Express Mail International, Priority Mail International, or both. Id. at 4. The Commission added GEPS 1 to the competitive product list, based on Governors' Decision No. 08-7, by operation of Order No. 86. Id. at 1. It later approved the addition of GEPS 3 contracts to the competitive product list as a result of Docket Nos. MC2010-28 and CP2010-71.2 The Commission designated the contract filed in Docket No. CP2010-71 as the baseline agreement for purposes of establishing the functional equivalency of other agreements proposed for inclusion within the GEPS 3 product. Id. at 1-2.

II. Contents of Filing

The filing includes a Notice, along with the following attachments:

- Attachment 1–a redacted copy of the instant contract;
- Attachment 2—a redacted copy of the certification required under 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2):
- Attachment 3–a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 08–7; and
- Attachment 4–an application for non-public treatment of material filed under seal.

The material filed under seal consists of unredacted copies of the contract and supporting financial documents. *Id.* at 2. The Postal Service filed redacted versions of the sealed financial documents in public Excel spreadsheets.

Functional equivalency. The Postal Service asserts that the instant Contract and the baseline contract are functionally equivalent because they share similar cost and market

¹Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 3 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, November 26, 2012 (Notice).

² See Docket Nos. MC2010–28 and CP2010–71, Order No. 503, Order Approving Global Expedited Package Services 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, July 29, 2010.

characteristics. *Id.* at 3. It notes that the pricing formula and classification established in the Governors' Decision No. 08–7 ensure that each GEPS contract meets the criteria of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and related regulations. *Id.* The Postal Service further asserts that the functional terms of the two contracts are the same and the benefits are comparable. *Id.*

The Postal Service states that prices may differ, depending on when an agreement is signed, due to updated costing information. *Id.* at 4. It also identifies other differences in contractual terms, but asserts that the differences do not affect either the fundamental service being offered or the fundamental structure of the contract.³ *Id.* at 4–6.

Term. The term of the agreement is one calendar year (from the effective date), unless terminated sooner pursuant to contractual provisions. *Id.* Attachment 1 at 7. The effective date is tied to receipt of regulatory approval, but no later than 30 days after such approval. *Id.*

III. Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013–21 for consideration of matters raised in the Notice. Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's contract is consistent with the requirements of 39 CFR 3015.5 and the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632 and 3633. Comments are due no later than December 6, 2012. The public portions of the Postal Service's filing can be accessed via the Commission's Web site at http://www.prc.gov. Information on how to obtain access to nonpublic material appears at 39 CFR 3007.40.

The Commission appoints James F. Callow to represent the interest of the general public (Public Representative) in this case.

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

- 1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013–21 for consideration of matters raised in the Postal Service's Notice.
- 2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission designates James F. Callow to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.
- 3. Comments are due no later than December 6, 2012.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–29066 Filed 11–30–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. R2013-1; Order No.1556]

Standard Mail Pricing

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning Standard Mail pricing and related matters. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: December 4, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. Summary of Proposed Changes to Standard Mail Rates
- III. Nonprofit Discounts
- IV. Mail Classification Schedule Changes (MCS)
- V. Administrative Actions

I. Background

In Order No. 1541, the Commission determined provisionally that, pursuant to 39 CFR 3010.13(j), the planned adjustments do not violate the price cap in 39 U.S.C. 3622(d); are consistent with, or justified by an exception to, the workshare discount limitations in 39 U.S.C. 3622(e); and establish prices that satisfy 39 U.S.C. 3626.¹ In addition, however, the Commission found that the planned Standard Mail Flats rates failed to satisfy the applicable directives set forth in the FY 2010 Annual Compliance Determination (ACD),

which were further clarified and reaffirmed in Order No. 1427 and Order No. 1472.² Order No. 1541 at 2. Accordingly, the Commission remanded all Standard Mail rates to allow the Postal Service to modify its planned rates to comply with the FY 2010 ACD and applicable statutory standards. In addition, the Commission requested the Postal Service to respond to certain other rate matters, each of which is discussed below.

Pursuant to Order No. 1541, on November 26, 2012, the Postal Service filed revised prices for Standard Mail Flats.³ It also addressed the miscellaneous rate matters discussed in Order No. 1541.

II. Summary of Proposed Changes to Standard Mail Rates

The revised prices for Standard Mail Flats reflect an above-average increase of 2.617 percent. No other Standard Mail prices were changed. Response at 3.

The following table presents the Postal Service's planned percentage price changes for Standard Mail products.

STANDARD MAIL PRICE CHANGES

Standard mail product	Percent change (%)
Letters	2.610 2.617 3.081 2.059
and Parcels	2.092 2.907
Overall	2.569

To achieve the above-average price increase for the Standard Mail Flats product, the Postal Service made two principal changes to its initially filed planned Standard Mail Flats price adjustments. First, it reduced the prebarcoding discount between automation and non-automation Flats from 7.5 to 5.5 cents. Second, the Postal Service used the correct avoided cost of 4.6 cents for automation 3-Digit Flats. Id. at 3-4. As a result of these changes, some rate cells would receive a price decrease, so a number of minor mechanical adjustments were also made to mitigate the deviation from the desired overall price increase. Id. at 4.

³ The list includes, among other things, deletion of an article that appears in the baseline contract, the addition of articles, and related renumbering of articles. See id. at 4–6.

¹ Order No. 1541, Order on Price Adjustments for Market Dominant Products and Related Mail Classification Changes, November 16, 2012 (Order No. 1541).

² Docket No. ACR2010, Order on Remand, August 9, 2012 (Order No. 1427); Docket No. ACR2010–R, Notice and Order Confirming Termination of Stay, September 21, 2012 (Order No. 1472).

³United States Postal Service Response to Order No. 1541, November 26, 2012 (Response).