

other rural residents. 7 CFR Part 1942, Subpart G, is a Rural Business-Cooperative Service (RBS) regulation which covers the administration of this program including eligibility requirements and evaluation criteria to make funding selection decisions.

Need and Use of the Information: RBS will use this information to determine (1) Eligibility; (2) the specific purposes for which grant funds will be utilized; (3) time frames or dates by which actions surrounding the use of funds will be accomplished; (4) who will be carrying out the purposes for which the grant is made; (5) project priority; (6) applicants experience in administering a rural economic development program; (7) employment improvements; and (8) mitigation of economic distress of a community through the creation or salvation of jobs or emergency situations. If the information were not collected, RBS would not be able to determine the eligibility of applicant(s) for the authorized purposes. Collecting this information infrequently would have an adverse effect on the Agency's ability to administer the grant program.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for profit; not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 720.

Frequency of Responses: Record-keeping; reporting: Monthly, on occasion, quarterly.

Total Burden Hours: 28,692.

Charlene Parker,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013-13342 Filed 6-5-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XY-P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Sunshine Act Meetings

AGENCY: United States Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Notice.

DATE AND TIME: Friday, June 14, 2013; 9:30 a.m. e.s.t.

PLACE: 1331 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Suite 1150, Washington, DC 20425.

Meeting Agenda

I. Approval of Agenda

II. Program Planning

- Discussion and Vote on Ranking of Concept Paper Topics for the 2014 Statutory Enforcement Report

IV. Management and Operations

- Consideration of Changes to Business Meeting Calendar for August 2013
- Consideration of Commission Letter

supporting Equal Opportunity in the U.S. Armed Forces for Sikh Americans

- Status Update on Agency Reorganization
- Staff Director's report
- Chief of Regional Programs report

V. Approval of State Advisory

Committee Appointment Slates

- Kentucky
- New York

VI. Adjourn Meeting

CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER

INFORMATION: Lenore Ostrowsky, Acting Chief, Public Affairs Unit (202) 376-8591.

Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact Pamela Dunston at (202) 376-8105 or at signlanguage@usccr.gov at least seven business days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

Dated: June 3, 2013.

Tina Louise Martin,

Director of Management/Human Resources.

[FR Doc. 2013-13500 Filed 6-4-13; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-954]

Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 6, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry Huang, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4047.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 4, 2012, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") covering the period September 1, 2011, through August 31, 2012.¹ The

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 77 FR 53863, 53864 (September 4, 2012).

Department received a timely request for review of Yingkou Bayuquan Refractories Co., Ltd. ("Yingkou Bayuquan") from Vesuvius USA Corporation ("Vesuvius"), a U.S. importer of magnesia carbon bricks from the PRC.² Fengchi Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City ("Fengchi") and its producer Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City also timely requested a review of Fengchi.³ On October 31, 2012, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from the PRC with respect to Fengchi and Yingkou Bayuquan.⁴ On December 21, 2012, Fengchi and Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City timely withdrew their request for review of Fengchi.⁵ On January 7, 2013, Vesuvius timely withdrew its request for review of Yingkou Bayuquan.⁶

Rescission

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Both parties timely submitted withdrawal requests within the 90-day period (*i.e.*, before January 29, 2013). Because we received no other requests for review of Fengchi, Yingkou Bayuquan or any other company subject to the order, we are rescinding this administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from the PRC in full, consistent with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Fengchi and Yingkou Bayuquan shall be assessed

² See Letter to the Department from Vesuvius, "Magnesia Carbon Bricks from China, Case No. A-570-954: Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated October 1, 2012.

³ See Letter to the Department from Fengchi, "Magnesia Carbon Bricks from China, Case No. A-570-954: Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated October 1, 2012.

⁴ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 77 FR 65858 (October 31, 2012).

⁵ See Letter to the Department from Fengchi, "Magnesia Carbon Bricks from China, Case No. A-570-954: Withdrawal of Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated December 21, 2012.

⁶ See Letter to the Department from Vesuvius, "Magnesia Carbon Bricks from China, Case No. A-570-954: Withdrawal of Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated January 7, 2013.

antidumping duties at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period September 1, 2011, through August 31, 2012, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers of their responsibility, under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2), to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 28, 2013.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2013-13432 Filed 6-5-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-851]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 6, 2013.

SUMMARY: On March 12, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China (PRC) covering the period February 1, 2011, through January 31, 2012.¹ This review covers the following three companies: Blue Field (Sichuan) Food Industrial Co., Ltd. (Blue Field); Dujiangyan Xingda Foodstuffs Co., Ltd. (Xingda); and Zhejiang Iceman Group (Iceman Group). We provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments. The Final Results are unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*. The final weighted-average dumping margins for this review are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney, or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2475 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 12, 2013, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of the instant review.² By virtue of their failure to respond to our antidumping questionnaire, Xingda and Iceman Group failed to establish that they are separate from the PRC-wide entity.³ Consequently, the Department

examined the PRC-wide entity, which included Xingda and Iceman Group, among other companies, for the *Preliminary Results* and assigned a preliminary weighted-average dumping margin of 308.33 percent.⁴

We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.⁵ We received no comments from interested parties.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain preserved mushrooms, whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The certain preserved mushrooms covered under this order are the species *Agaricus bisporus* and *Agaricus bitorquis*. "Certain Preserved Mushrooms" refers to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heated in containers including, but not limited to, cans or glass jars in a suitable liquid medium, including, but not limited to, water, brine, butter or butter sauce. Certain preserved mushrooms may be imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. Included within the scope of this order are "brined" mushrooms, which are presalted and packed in a heavy salt solution to provisionally preserve them for further processing.⁶

Excluded from the scope of this order are the following: (1) All other species of mushroom, including straw mushrooms; (2) all fresh and chilled mushrooms, including "refrigerated" or "quick blanched mushrooms;" (3) dried mushrooms; (4) frozen mushrooms; and (5) "marinated," "acidified," or "pickled" mushrooms, which are prepared or preserved by means of vinegar or acetic acid, but may contain oil or other additives.

The merchandise subject to this order is classifiable under subheadings: 2003.10.0127, 2003.10.0131, 2003.10.0137, 2003.10.0143, 2003.10.0147, 2003.10.0153, and 0711.51.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS).

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ See *Preliminary Results*, 78 FR at 15685.

⁶ On June 19, 2000, the Department affirmed that "marinated," "acidified," or "pickled" mushrooms containing less than 0.5 percent acetic acid are within the scope of the antidumping duty order. See Recommendation Memorandum-Final Ruling of Request by Tak Fat, *et al.* for Exclusion of Certain Marinated, Acidified Mushrooms from the Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China," dated June 19, 2000. On February 9, 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit upheld this decision. See *Tak Fat v. United States*, 396 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2005).

¹ See *Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012*, 78 FR 15683 (March 12, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*).

² *Id.*

³ See March 4, 2013 "Memorandum for the Preliminary Results in the Administrative Review: Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China" (Preliminary Decision Memorandum) at 8-11.