party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

o. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents: All filings must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "PROTEST", "MOTION TO INTERVENE" "COMMENTS," "REPLY COMMENTS," "RECOMMENDATIONS," "TERMS AND CONDITIONS," or "FISHWAY PRESCRIPTIONS;" (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person protesting or intervening; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. All comments, recommendations, terms and conditions or prescriptions must set forth their evidentiary basis and otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.34(b). All comments, recommendations, terms and conditions or prescriptions should relate to project works which are the subject of the license amendment. Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. A copy of any protest or motion to intervene must be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application. If an intervener files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency. A copy of all other filings in reference to this application must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed in the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b) and

p. As provided for in 18 CFR 4.34(b)(5)(i), a license applicant must file, no later than 60 days following the date of issuance of this notice of acceptance and ready for environmental analysis: (1) A copy of the water quality certification; (2) a copy of the request for certification, including proof of the date on which the certifying agency received the request; or (3) evidence of waiver of water quality certification.

q. e-Filing: Motions to intervene, protests, comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, and fishway prescriptions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov under the "e Filing" link.

Dated: June 13, 2013.

#### Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–14737 Filed 6–19–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP13-497-000]

## Southern Union Company, d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy; Laclede Gas Company; Notice of Application

Take notice that on June 12, 2013, Southern Union Company, d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy (MGE Southern Union), 3420 Broadway, Kansas City, Missouri 64111, and Laclede Gas Company (Laclede), 720 Olive Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63101, jointly filed in Docket No. CP13-497-000 an application: (1) Requesting authorization for MGE Southern Union pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) to abandon by transfer to Laclede its limited jurisdiction certificate to transport gas on a no-fee exchange basis and (2) for Laclede pursuant to section 7(c) of the NGA to be issued a limited jurisdiction certificate for the purpose of transporting natural gas in the same manner as MGE Southern Union. In addition, Laclede requests: (1) a determination that the limited jurisdiction certificate will not affect the non-jurisdictional status of the remainder of its facilities and operations and (2) that the Commission waive the requirements of Part 154 of the Commission's Regulations for as long as no fee is charged by Laclede for the exchange.

Specifically, MGE Southern Union and Laclede are public utilities providing natural gas service in Missouri. Pursuant to its limited jurisdiction certificate issued on January 12, 1994, in Docket No. CP93-750-000, MGE Southern Union also provides transportation service on a no-fee exchange basis through its Missouri facilities to supply a few stranded retail customers of ONEOK, Inc. located in Kansas and Oklahoma. Southern Union Company and Laclede have entered into an agreement whereby Laclede will acquire the assets of MGE Southern Union utilized to provide such service to ONEOK's stranded customers. The requested authorizations will allow Laclede to continue such service in the same manner as MGE Southern Union. No construction of facilities is proposed. The applicants request that an order be

issued by July 31, 2013 granting the requested authorizations.

Any questions regarding the joint application should be directed to: Gearold L. Knowles, Attorney for Missouri Gas Energy, Schiff Hardin LLP, 901 K Street NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC 20001, by phone at (202) 778–6400, or by email at gknowles@schiffhardin.com; or Mark C. Darrell, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer, The Laclede Group, Inc., 720 Olive Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63101, by email at mdarrell@thelacledegroup.com.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit an original and 7 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 7 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426. This filing

is accessible on-line at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: July 1, 2013.

Dated: June 14, 2013.

### Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-14730 Filed 6-19-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

# Notice of Commission Staff Attendance

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) hereby gives notice that members of the Commission's staff may attend the following meeting related to the transmission planning activities of the Southern Company Services, Inc. and the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.:

## Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. and the Southeastern Regional Transmission Planning (SERTP) Process Order No. 1000 Interregional Coordination Workshop

June 21, 2013, 10:00 a.m.–12:00 p.m., Local Time

The above-referenced meeting will be via web conference.

The above-referenced meeting is open to stakeholders.

Further information may be found at: www.misoenergy.org.

The discussions at the meeting described above may address matters at issue in the following proceedings:

Docket No. ER13–908, Alabama Power Company et al.

Docket No. ER13–913, Ohio Valley Electric Corporation.

Docket No. ER13–897, Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company.

Docket No. ER13–1221, Mississippi Power Company.

Docket No. EL05–121, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. Docket No. EL10–52, Central Transmission, L.L.C. v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket No. ER09–1256, Potomac-Appalachian Transmission Highline, L.L.C.

Docket Nos. ER10–253 and EL10–14, Primary Power, L.L.C.

Docket Nos. ER11–2814 and ER11–2815, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. and American Transmission Systems, Inc.

Docket No. EL12–69, Primary Power LLC v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket No. ER12–91, *PJM* Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket No. ER12–92, *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., et al.* 

Docket No. ER12–1178, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket No. ER12–2399, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket No. ER12–2708, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket No. ER13–90, Public Service Electric and Gas Company and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket No. ER13–195, *Indicated PJM Transmission Owners*.

Docket No. ER13–198, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket No. ER13–1033, Linden VFT, LLC and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket Nos. ER13–1177, 1178 and 1179, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. and Eastern Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Docket No. ER11–1844, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER11–4081, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER12–480, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER13–708, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER13–186, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. and the MISO Transmission Owners.

Docket No. ER13–187, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. and the MISO Transmission Owners.

Docket No. ER13–89, MidAmerican Energy Company and Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER13–101, American
Transmission Company LLC and
the Midwest Independent
Transmission System Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER13–84, Cleco Power LLC. Docket No. ER13–95, Entergy Arkansas, Inc.

Docket No. ER13–80, *Tampa Electric Company*.

Docket No. ER13–86, Florida Power Corporation.

Docket No. ER13–104, Florida Power & Light Company.

Docket No. NJ13–2, Orlando Utilities Commission.

Docket Nos. ER13–366 and ER13–367, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER13–83, Duke Energy Carolinas LLC and Carolina Power & Light Company.

Docket No. ER13–88, *Alcoa Power Generating, Inc.* 

Docket No. ER13–107, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company.

For more information, contact Valerie Martin, Office of Energy Market Regulation, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission at (202) 502–6139 or Valerie.Martin@ferc.gov.

Dated: June 13, 2013.

### Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–14734 Filed 6–19–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP13-30-000]

## Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC; Notice of Availability of the Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Virginia Southside Expansion Project

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) for the Virginia Southside Expansion Project proposed by Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company (Transco) in the abovereferenced docket. Transco requests authorization to construct, modify, operate, and maintain a new natural gas pipeline and associated facilities in Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and North Carolina.

The EA assesses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the Virginia Southside Expansion Project in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The FERC staff concludes that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigating measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Norfolk, Virginia and Wilmington, North Carolina Districts participated as a cooperating agency in