Dated: August 1, 2013.

James R. Park,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 2013-18987 Filed 8-5-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

#### **FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**

# Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 et seq.) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The applications will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than August 30,

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Gerald C. Tsai, Director, Applications and Enforcement) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105–1579:

1. People's Utah Bancorp, American Fork, Utah; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Lewiston Bancorp, and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Lewiston State Bank, both in Lewiston, Utah.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, July 31, 2013.

### Michael J. Lewandowski,

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 2013–18857 Filed 8–5–13; 8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Office of the Secretary

#### **Findings of Research Misconduct**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, HHS. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Office of Research Integrity (ORI) has taken final action in the following case:

Pratima Karnik, Ph.D., Case Western Reserve University: Based on the admission of the Respondent, ORI found that Dr. Pratima Karnik, Assistant Professor, Department of Dermatology, Case Western Reserve University (CWRU), engaged in research misconduct in research submitted to the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (NIAMS), National Institutes of Health (NIH), in grant application R01 AR062378.

ORI found that the Respondent engaged in research misconduct by plagiarizing significant portions from research grant application R21 AR061881 that she had reviewed for NIAMS, NIH, and inserting that text into her submitted grant application R01 AR062378–01. Respondent also plagiarized significant portions of text from the following scientific articles and one U.S. patent application available on the Internet:

- BMC Med Genomics 4:8, 2011
- J Am Col. Cardiol 52:117-123, 2008
- Nature 457:910-914, 2009
- J Autoimmun 29:310-318, 2007
- U.S. Patent Application No. 20090047269 (published Feb. 19, 2009)
  - Toxicol Pathol 35:952–957, 2007
  - BMC Med Genomics 1:10, 2008
- Open Systems Biology Journal 1:1–
  2008
- Endocrinology 146:4189–4191,
  2005.

Dr. Karnik has entered into a Voluntary Settlement Agreement and has voluntarily agreed for a period of two (2) years, beginning on July 22,

(1) To have her research supervised; Respondent agreed that prior to the submission of an application for U.S. Public Health Service (PHS) support for a research project on which her participation is proposed and prior to her participation in any capacity on PHS-supported research, Respondent shall ensure that a plan for supervision of her duties is submitted to ORI for

approval; the supervision plan must be

designed to ensure the scientific

integrity of her research contribution; she agreed that she shall not participate in any PHS-supported research until such a supervision plan is submitted to and approved by ORI; Respondent agreed to maintain responsibility for compliance with the agreed upon supervision plan;

(2) That any institution employing her shall submit in conjunction with each application for PHS funds, or report, manuscript, or abstract involving PHS-supported research in which Respondent is involved, a certification to ORI that the content is free of plagiarized material, data provided by Respondent are based on actual experiments or are otherwise legitimately derived, and that the data, procedures, and methodology are accurately reported in the application, report, manuscript, or abstract; and

(3) To exclude herself voluntarily from serving in any advisory capacity to PHS including, but not limited to, service on any PHS advisory committee, board, and/or peer review committee, or as a consultant.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Director, Office of Research Integrity, 1101 Wootton Parkway, Suite 750, Rockville, MD 20852, (240) 453–8200.

#### David E. Wright,

Director, Office of Research Integrity. [FR Doc. 2013–18979 Filed 8–5–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4150–31–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

[30 Day-13-13IF]

# Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call (404) 639–7570 or send an email to omb@cdc.gov. Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 395–5806. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

# **Proposed Project**

Pilot Project to Evaluate the Use of Exposure Control Plans for Bloodborne Pathogens in Private Dental Practices –New- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that healthcare workers sustain nearly 600,000 percutaneous injuries annually involving contaminated sharps. In response to both the continued concern over such exposures and the technological developments which can increase employee protection, Congress passed the Needlestick Safety and Prevention Act directing the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) to revise the Bloodborne Pathogens (BBP) Standard to establish requirements that employers identify and make use of effective and safer medical devices. That revision was published on Jan. 18, 2001, and became effective April 18, 2001.

The revision to OSHA's BBP Standard added new requirements for employers, including additions to the exposure control plan and maintenance of a sharps injury log. OSHA has determined that compliance with these standards significantly reduces the risk that workers will contract a bloodborne disease in the course of their work. However, exposure control plans for bloodborne pathogens, policies, and standards for healthcare workers are based primarily on hospital data.

Approximately one-half of the 11 million healthcare workers in the U.S. are employed in non-hospital settings, including physician offices, home healthcare agencies, correctional facilities, and dental offices and clinics. Little information is known about the risk management practices in these non-hospital settings. In a small study, the National Institute for Occupational

Safety and Health (NIOSH) found that although seven of the eight correctional healthcare facilities visited had written exposure control plans, only two were reviewed and updated annually as required by the OSHA BBP Standard. One reason postulated for noncompliance was that hospital-based standards, policies, and programs may not be appropriate to non-hospital settings. It is important to identify effective methods for using exposure control plans in non-hospital settings and to verify whether the specificity and relevance of bloodborne pathogen training and educational materials for non-hospital facilities can positively impact compliance in dental settings. The purposes of this proposal are to insure that bloodborne pathogens exposure control plans are effectively implemented in private dental practices, an important segment of the nonhospital based healthcare system; and to understand how effective implementation strategies may be applied to other healthcare settings. The proposed work will draw on researchto-practice principles and will be assisted by a strong network of dental professional groups, trade associations, and government agencies. Specific objectives are to:

- (1) Inventory existing exposure control plans in private dental practices;
- (2) determine whether the exposure control plan or other resource is actively used to prevent occupational exposures;
- (3) determine available resources and barriers to use such as relevant educational materials, knowledge, costs, availability; and
- (4) develop strategies to overcome key barriers to compliance.

The Organization for Safety, Asepsis and Prevention (OSAP) is a unique

group of dental educators and consultants, researchers, clinicians, industry representatives, and other interested persons with a collective mission to be the world's leading advocate for the safe and infection-free delivery of oral care. OSAP supports this commitment to dental workers and the public through quality education and information dissemination. OSAP's unique membership includes the variety of partners critical to gather the data on compliance with the OSHA bloodborne pathogens standard, to identify barriers and to develop strategies to overcome barriers to compliance.

OSAP will be conducting a web survey of private dental practices in the United States. Information collected will include: The use of existing exposure control plans; whether the plan or other resources actively used to prevent occupation exposure to bloodborne pathogens; availability of resources such as relevant education materials, and barriers to use such as knowledge, costs, and availability. OSAP is working with a publishing partner that has an email distribution list of 49,172 private practice dentists representing general dentists and specialists. This sampling frame represents nearly 30% of the total population of U.S. private practice dentists. The survey sample, totaling 40,575 dentists, will include general dentists, oral and maxillofacial surgeons, pediatric dentists and periodonists. The targeted number of completed questionnaires is estimated at about 20,287 (50% participation rate). The survey is estimated to take about 15 minutes for respondents to complete. There is no cost to respondents other than their time. The total estimated annualized burden hours are 5,072.

### ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Avgerage Burden per response (in hrs)
Private Practice Dentists	BBP Exposure Control Plan Survey	20,287	1	15/60

#### Ron A. Otten,

Director, Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the Associate Director for Science, Office of the Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2013-18909 Filed 8-5-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## **Food and Drug Administration**

[Docket No. FDA-2013-N-0879]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Procedures for the Safe and Sanitary Processing and Importing of Fish and Fishery Products

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or we) is announcing an opportunity for public comment on our proposed collection of certain information. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (the PRA), Federal Agencies must publish a notice in the Federal Register concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information, and allow 60 days for public comment. This notice invites comments on the information collection provisions of our regulations requiring reporting and recordkeeping for processors and importers of fish and fishery products.

**DATES:** Submit either electronic or written comments on the collection of information by October 7, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit electronic comments on the collection of information to http://www.regulations.gov. Submit written comments on the collection of information to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Domini Bean, Office of Information Management, Food and Drug Administration, 1350 Piccard Dr., PI50– 400T, Rockville, MD 20850, domini.bean@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), Federal Agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. "Collection of information" is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes Agency requests

or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)) requires Federal Agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of an existing collection of information, before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, we are publishing this notice of the proposed collection of information set forth in this document.

With respect to the following collection of information we invite comments on these topics: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of our functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of our estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

### Procedures for the Safe and Sanitary Processing and Importing of Fish and Fishery Products—21 CFR Part 123 (OMB Control Number 0910–0354)— Extension

FDA regulations in part 123 (21 CFR part 123) mandate the application of hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP) principles to the processing of seafood. HACCP is a preventive system of hazard control designed to help ensure the safety of foods. The regulations were issued under FDA's statutory authority to regulate food safety, including section 402(a)(1) and (a)(4) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 342(a)(1) and (a)(4)).

Certain provisions in part 123 require that processors and importers of seafood collect and record information. The HACCP records compiled and maintained by a seafood processor primarily consist of the periodic observations recorded at selected monitoring points during processing and packaging operations, as called for in a processor's HACCP plan (e.g., the values for processing times, temperatures, acidity, etc., as observed

at critical control points). The primary purpose of HACCP records is to permit a processor to verify that products have been produced within carefully established processing parameters (critical limits) that ensure that hazards have been avoided.

HACCP records are normally reviewed by appropriately trained employees at the end of a production lot or at the end of a day or week of production to verify that control limits have been maintained, or that appropriate corrective actions were taken if the critical limits were not maintained. Such verification activities are essential to ensure that the HACCP system is working as planned. A review of these records during the conduct of periodic plant inspections also permits FDA to determine whether the products have been consistently processed in conformance with appropriate HACCP food safety controls.

Section 123.12 requires that importers of seafood products take affirmative steps and maintain records that verify that the fish and fishery products they offer for import into the United States were processed in accordance with the HACCP and sanitation provisions set forth in part 123. These records are also to be made available for review by FDA as provided in § 123.12(c).

The time and costs of these recordkeeping activities will vary considerably among processors and importers of fish and fishery products, depending on the type and number of products involved, and on the nature of the equipment or instruments required to monitor critical control points. The burden estimate in table 1 of this document includes only those collections of information under the seafood HACCP regulations that are not already required under other statutes and regulations. The estimate also does not include collections of information that are a usual and customary part of businesses' normal activities. For example, the tagging and labeling of molluscan shellfish (21 CFR 1240.60) is a customary and usual practice among seafood processors. Consequently, the estimates in table 1 account only for information collection and recording requirements attributable to part 123.

Description of respondents: Respondents to this collection of information include processors and importers of seafood.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows: