

Conley (IN), Charles E. Dailey (AL), Kenneth D. Denny (WA), Kenneth D. Ferguson (WA), Adam M. Hogue (MS), Allen D. LaFave (ND), Greg P. Mason (NY), Thomas D. Miller (MT), Douglas A. Mulligan (KY), David G. Peters (PA), Robert J. Rispoli, Jr. (NY), Mike P. Senn (MN), James H. Suttles (AL), Steven L. Tallaksen (MO), Douglas M. Tiller, Sr. (VA), Gregory F. Wendt (NE), and Michael J. Wickstrom (MI) from the ITDM requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(3), subject to the conditions listed under "Conditions and Requirements" above.

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315 each exemption will be valid for two years unless revoked earlier by FMCSA. The exemption will be revoked if the following occurs: (1) The person fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the 1/exemption; (2) the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained before it was granted; or (3) continuation of the exemption would not be consistent with the goals and objectives of 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315. If the exemption is still effective at the end of the 2-year period, the person may apply to FMCSA for a renewal under procedures in effect at that time.

Issued on: October 25, 2013.

Larry W. Minor,

Associate Administrator for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2013-26087 Filed 10-31-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

Limitation on Claims Against Proposed Public Transportation Projects

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration (FTA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces final environmental actions taken by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for a project in Honolulu, HI. The purpose of this notice is to announce publicly the environmental decisions by FTA on the subject project and to activate the limitation on any claims that may challenge these final environmental actions.

DATES: By this notice, FTA is advising the public of final agency actions subject to Section 139(l) of Title 23, United States Code (U.S.C.). A claim seeking judicial review of the FTA actions announced herein for the listed public transportation project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before March 31, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy-Ellen Zusman, Assistant Chief Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, (312) 353-2577 or Terence Plaskon, Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Human and Natural Environment, (202) 366-0442. FTA is located at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours are from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that FTA has taken final agency actions by issuing certain approvals for the public transportation project listed below. The actions on the project, as well as the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the documentation issued in connection with the project to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and in other documents in the FTA administrative record for the project. Interested parties may contact either the project sponsor or the relevant FTA Regional Office for more information on the project. Contact information for FTA's Regional Offices may be found at <http://www.fta.dot.gov>.

This notice applies to all FTA decisions on the listed project as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including, but not limited to, NEPA [42 U.S.C. 4321-4375] and Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 [49 U.S.C. 303]. This notice does not alter or extend the limitation period for challenges of project decisions subject to previous notices for the Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project published in the **Federal Register**. The project and actions that are the subject of this notice are:

Project name and location: Honolulu Rail Transit Project, City and County of Honolulu, O'ahu, HI. *Project sponsor:* Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation (HART). *Project description:* The Honolulu Rail Transit Project (Project) is a 20-mile grade-separated, fixed-guideway rail transit project that extends from Kapolei to Ala Moana Center, via the Honolulu waterfront. In June 2010, the FTA and City and County of Honolulu (City) prepared and distributed a Final Environmental Impact Statement/Section 4(f) Evaluation [EIS/4(f)] for the Project, which was then called the Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project. The Final EIS/4(f) identified environmental impacts and mitigations for the Project, including the use of properties protected under Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act. In January 2011, the

FTA issued a Record of Decision (ROD) for the Project. Subsequently, the FEIS and ROD were challenged in federal court. On November 1, 2012, the Court issued a Judgment and Partial Injunction Order (Judgment) of the United States District Court for the District of Hawai'i (Court) in *HonoluluTraffic.com et al. v. Federal Transit Administration et al.*, 2012 WL 5386595 (D. Haw. 2012). Pursuant to that decision, the FTA prepared additional analysis for the Project. The FTA prepared a draft version and final version Supplemental EIS/4(f). The Supplemental EIS/4(f) was limited in its scope. Thus, the FTA issued the Final Supplemental EIS/Section 4(f) concurrently with an Amended ROD per Public Law 112-141, 126 Stat. 405, Section 1319(b). This notice only applies to the discrete actions taken by the FTA at this time. Nothing in this notice affects the FTA's previous decisions, or notice thereof, for this project. *Final agency actions:* Section 4(f) determination and Amended Record of Decision, dated September 30, 2013. *Supporting documentation:* Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement/Section 4(f) Evaluation, dated September 30, 2013.

Lucy Garliauskas,

Associate Administrator for Planning and Environment.

[FR Doc. 2013-25972 Filed 10-31-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2013-0103, Notice 1]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1992 Jeep Wrangler Multi-Purpose Vehicles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that nonconforming 1992 Jeep Wrangler Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS), are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that were certified

by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (1992 Jeep Wrangler Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles) and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is December 2, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket and notice numbers above and be submitted by any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- **Mail:** Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590-0001.
- **Hand Delivery or Courier:** West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
- **Fax:** 202-493-2251

Instructions: Comments must be written in the English language, and be no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that your comments were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78).

How to Read Comments submitted to the Docket: You may read the comments received by Docket Management at the address and times given above. You may also view the documents from the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets. The docket ID number and title of this notice are shown at the heading of this document notice. Please note that even after the comment closing date, we will continue to file relevant information in the

Docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, we recommend that you periodically search the Docket for new material.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George Stevens, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-5308).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. § 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable FMVSS.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

US Specs, of Havre De Grace, MD (Registered Importer R-03-321) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming 1992 Jeep Wrangler Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which US Specs believes are substantially similar are 1992 Jeep Wrangler Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles that were manufactured for sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable FMVSS.

The petitioner claims that it compared non-U.S. certified 1992 Jeep Wrangler Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most FMVSS.

US Specs submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 1992 Jeep Wrangler Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles, as originally manufactured, conform to

many FMVSS in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards. Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 1992 Jeep Wrangler Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 *Transmission Shift Lever Sequence*, 103 *Starter Interlock*, 103 *Windshield Defrosting and Defogging Systems*, 104 *Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems*, 105 *Hydraulic and Electric Brake Systems*, 106 *Brake Hoses*, 107 *Reflecting Surfaces*, 113 *Hood Latch System*, 116 *Motor Vehicle Brake Fluids*, 124 *Accelerator Control Systems*, 201 *Occupant Protection in Interior Impact*, 202 *Head Restraints*, 204 *Steering Control Rearward Displacement*, 205 *Glazing Materials*, 207 *Seating Systems*, 210 *Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages*, 211 *Wheel Nuts, Wheels Disks, and Hub Caps*, 212 *Windshield Mounting*, 216 *Roof Crush Resistance*, 219 *Windshield Zone Intrusion*, 301 *Fuel System Integrity*, and 302 *Flammability of Interior Materials*.

The petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 Controls and Displays: Modification or replacement of the speedometer to read in miles per hour (MPH) if the vehicle is not already so equipped and replacement of the ECE warning symbol on the brake failure indicator light with the word "BRAKE" to comply with this standard.

Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment: Replacement of the headlamps, side marker lights, turn signal/park lights, front and rear reflex reflectors, and stop lamps with U.S.-model components if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 111 Rearview Mirrors: Replacement of the passenger side rearview mirror with a U.S.-model component or inscription of the required warning statement on the face of that mirror.

Standard No. 114 Theft Protection and Rollaway Prevention: Installation or reprogramming of the warning buzzer to meet this standard if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 118 Power Operated Window, Partition, and Roof Panel Systems: Installation of the window systems to ensure they meet the requirements of this standard and rewiring there systems to meet the standard if necessary.

Standard No. 119 New Pneumatic Tires for Vehicles Other Than Passenger

Cars: Inspection of vehicle and installation of conforming tires if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 120 *Tire Selection for Vehicles Other Than Passenger Vehicles:* Installation of an information placard containing manufacturer specifications for seating capacity and loading, and tire specifications if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 206 *Door Locks and Door Retention Components:* Inspection of door locks and retention components and installation of conforming parts from U.S. Vehicle if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 208 *Occupant Crash Protection:* Installation of seat belt lamp and audible warning buzzer if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 209 *Seat Belt Assemblies:* Inspection of seat belts and installation of U.S. model parts if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

The petitioner states that the VIN plate must also be installed on the left front corner of the dashboard to meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above addresses both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), (a)(1)(B), and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.7; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

Issued on: October 29, 2013.

Claude H. Harris,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2013-26101 Filed 10-31-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2013-0104; Notice 1]

Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 2011-2012 BMW S1000RR Motorcycles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a

petition for a decision that 2011-2012 BMW S1000RR Motorcycles that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS) are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is December 2, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket and notice numbers above and be submitted by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590-0001
- *Hand Delivery or Courier:* West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
- *Fax:* 202-493-2251.

Instructions: Comments must be written in the English language, and be no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that your comments were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78).

How to Read Comments Submitted to the Docket: You may read the comments received by Docket Management at the address and times given above. You may also view the documents from the

Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets. The docket ID number and title of this notice are shown at the heading of this document notice. Please note that even after the comment closing date, we will continue to file relevant information in the Docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, we recommend that you periodically search the Docket for new material.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George Stevens, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-5308).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable FMVSS.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

J.K. Technologies, LLC. ("J.K."), of Baltimore, Maryland (Registered Importer RI-90-006) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether non-U.S. certified 2011-2012 BMW S1000RR motorcycles are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles that J.K. believes are substantially similar are 2011-2012 BMW S1000RR motorcycles that were manufactured for sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable FMVSS.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared non-U.S. certified 2011-2012 BMW S1000RR motorcycles to their U.S. certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most FMVSS.