

North Topping and 1226 Topping Drive in Kansas City; *Site 2* (64.3 acres total)—surface/underground warehouse complex located at 8300 NE Underground Drive and at 3600 Great Midwest Drive in Kansas City; *Site 3* (9,667 acres total)—within the 10,000-acre Kansas City International Airport facility; *Site 4* (416 acres)—Carefree Industrial Park, 1600 North Missouri Highway 291, Sugar Creek; *Site 5* (1,000 acres)—CARMAR Underground Business Park/CARMAR Industrial Park, No. 1 Civil War Road, Carthage; *Site 7* (1,567 acres)—Richards-Gebaur Memorial Airport/Industrial Park, 1540 Maxwell, Kansas City; *Site 8* (26 acres)—Chillicothe Industrial Park located at Ryan Road and Brunswick in Chillicothe; *Site 9* (10 acres)—warehouse located at 3800 South 48th Terrace, St. Joseph; *Site 10* (72.31 acres)—warehouse located at 8201 East 23rd Street, Kansas City; *Site 11* (22 acres)—warehouse located at 13500 15th Street, Grandview; *Site 13* (36.57 acres, expires 10/31/2014)—7501 NW 106th Terrace, Kansas City; *Site 14* (68 acres)—within the 330-acre Air World Center Business Park, located at Interstate 29 and 112th Street, Kansas City; *Site 15* (161 acres)—city-owned Harley Davidson site, 11401 North Congress Avenue, Kansas City; *Site 16* (155 acres)—Congress Corporate Center Industrial Park, located at the northwest corner of 112th Street and North Congress, Kansas City; *Site 17* (27 acres total)—within the Grandview Industrial Park at 13700 South US 71 Highway and at 5610 East 139th Street in Grandview; and, *Site 18* (1 acre)—10201 North Everton in Kansas City.

The grantee's proposed service area under the ASF would be Andrew, Bates, Buchanan, Caldwell, Carroll, Cass, Chariton, Clay, Clinton, Cooper, Daviess, DeKalb, Henry, Howard, Pettis, Johnson, Lafayette, Livingston, Jackson, Platte, Ray and Saline Counties, Missouri, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies' needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within and adjacent to the Kansas City Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone to include existing Sites 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 14, 16 and 17 as "magnet" sites and Sites 9, 10, 11, 13 and 15 as "usage-driven" sites. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the "sunset" time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 3 be so exempted. The applicant is also requesting to

remove existing Sites 5 and 18 from the zone. No subzones/usage-driven sites are being requested at this time. The application would have no impact on FTZ 15's previously authorized subzones.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Camille Evans of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the FTZ Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is January 13, 2014. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to January 27, 2014.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Camille Evans at Camille.Evans@trade.gov or (202) 482-2350.

Dated: November 5, 2013.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-27001 Filed 11-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-823-808]

Suspension Agreement on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Ukraine; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 1, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of an administrative review of the suspension agreement on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Ukraine covering Metinvest Holding LLC (Metinvest) and its affiliated companies Azovstal Iron & Steel Works (Azovstal) and Ilyich Iron and Steel Works (Ilyich). *See Suspension*

Agreement on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Ukraine; Administrative Review, 78 FR 46570 (August 1, 2013) and accompanying Decision Memorandum (*Preliminary Results*). The period of review (POR) is November 1, 2011 through October 31, 2012. We received no comments from interested parties. For these final results, we have made no changes to our preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 12, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Judith Wey Rudman or Anne D'Alauro, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-0192 or (202) 482-4830, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 29, 2008, the Department signed an agreement under section 734(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), with Ukrainian steel producers/exporters, including Azovstal and Ilyich, suspending the antidumping duty investigation on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate (CTL plate) from Ukraine. *See Suspension of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Ukraine*, 73 FR 57602 (October 3, 2008) (Agreement). On August 1, 2013, the Department published its preliminary results of the administrative review of the Agreement for CTL plate from Ukraine produced and sold by Metinvest and its affiliated companies, Azovstal and Ilyich (collectively, the companies). *See Preliminary Results*. In its preliminary results, the Department determined that information submitted by the companies indicated that, during the POR, the companies adhered to the terms of the Agreement and that the Agreement is functioning as intended. We invited interested parties to comment on our preliminary results. No interested parties submitted comments.

Scope of Review

The products covered by the Agreement are CTL plate from Ukraine. This merchandise is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) under item numbers 7208.40.3030, 7208.40.3060, 7208.51.0030, 7208.51.0045, 7208.51.0060, 7208.52.0000, 7208.53.0000, 7208.90.0000, 7210.70.3000, 7210.90.9000, 7211.13.0000, 7211.14.0030, 7211.14.0045, 7211.90.0000,

7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the Agreement is dispositive. For a full description of the scope of this Agreement, see Appendix A of the Agreement.

Final Results of Review

We have made no changes to the preliminary results. Our review of the information submitted by Metinvest Holding and its affiliated companies, Azovstal and Ilyich, indicates that the companies have adhered to the terms of the Agreement and that the Agreement is functioning as intended. See *Preliminary Results*.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 4, 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2013-27013 Filed 11-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-821-801]

Solid Urea From the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance (formerly Import Administration), International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 1, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on solid urea from the Russian Federation (Russia). For the final results, we continue to find that MCC EuroChem

has not sold subject merchandise at less than normal value.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 12, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bryan Hansen or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance (E&C), International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3683, and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 1, 2013, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on solid urea from Russia.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments.

The Department conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is solid urea, a high-nitrogen content fertilizer which is produced by reacting ammonia with carbon dioxide. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States (HTSUS) item number 3102.10.00.00. Previously such merchandise was classified under item number 480.3000 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i)(3) of the Act, during August 2013, the Department conducted a verification of the sales information reported by MCC EuroChem in Russia.²

Final Results of the Review

The Department made no changes to its calculations announced in the *Preliminary Results*. As a result of our review, we determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent exists for MCC EuroChem for the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012.

¹ See *Solid Urea From the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012*, 78 FR 46571 (August 1, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See Memorandum to the File entitled "Solid Urea from the Russian Federation: Verification Report for MCC EuroChem's Sales" dated August 30, 2013.

Assessment Rates

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212 and the *Final Modification*,³ the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate entries for MCC EuroChem without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003.⁴ This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by MCC EuroChem for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of solid urea from Russia entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for MCC EuroChem will be 0.00 percent, the weighted average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the manufacturer of the merchandise for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 64.93 percent, the all-others rate established in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation.⁵ The rate established in

³ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification*).

⁴ For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

⁵ See *Urea From the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 52 FR 19557 (May 26, 1987). Also note that following the break-up of the Soviet Union, the antidumping duty order on solid urea

Continued