

In addition, the Filing Titles for the Part 284 program have been modified to be more descriptive.

For more information, contact Aaron Kahn, Office of Energy Market Regulation at (202) 502-8339 or send an email to [FEROnline@ferc.gov](mailto:FEROnline@ferc.gov).

Dated: November 26, 2013.

**Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,**  
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-28921 Filed 12-3-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 14540-000; Project No. 14539-000]

#### Western Minnesota Municipal Power Agency; Lock+ Hydro Friends Fund III, LLC; Notice Announcing Filing Priority for Preliminary Permit Applications

On November 25, 2013, the Commission held a drawing to determine priority between competing preliminary permit applications with identical filing times. In the event that the Commission concludes that neither of the applicants' plans is better adapted than the other to develop, conserve, and utilize in the public interest the water resources of the region at issue, the priority established by this drawing will serve as the tiebreaker. Based on the drawing, the order of priority is as follows:

1. Western Minnesota Municipal Power Agency Project No. 14540-000
2. Lock+ Hydro Friends Fund III, LLC Project No. 14539-000

Dated: November 26, 2013.

**Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,**  
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-28926 Filed 12-3-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-1017; FRL-9902-63]

### Product Cancellation Order for Certain Pesticide Registrations; Correction

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** EPA issued a notice in the **Federal Register** of September 20, 2013, concerning the product cancellation of several pesticide products, which were previously published in the **Federal**

**Register** of September 18, 2013 and are subject to the provisions set forth in that notice. This document corrects the inclusion of these referenced registrations for product cancellation in the September 20, 2013 cancellation order notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John W. Pates, Jr., Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 308-8195; email address: [pates.john@epa.gov](mailto:pates.john@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. General Information

###### A. Does this action apply to me?

The Agency included in the September 20, 2013 cancellation order notice a list of those who may be potentially affected by this action.

###### B. How can I get copies of this document and other related information?

The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-1017, is available at <http://www.regulations.gov> or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305-5805. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information about the docket available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

##### II. What does this correction do?

EPA issued a notice in the **Federal Register** of September 20, 2013 (78 FR 57850) (FRL-9396-3), concerning the product cancellation of several pesticide products, including the following McLaughlin Gormley King Co.'s product registrations: D-trans Allethrin 90% Concentrate (EPA Reg. No. 001021-01060), D-trans Intermediate 1868 (EPA Reg. No. 001021-01128), Evercide Intermediate 2416 (EPA Reg. No. 001021-01550), Evercide Intermediate 2491 (EPA Reg. No. 001021-01575), Evercide Residual Pressurized Spray 2523 (EPA Reg. No. 001021-01594), and Evercide Residual Pressurized Spray 2581 (EPA Reg. No. 001021-01607). This document corrects the inclusion of these referenced registrations for

product cancellation in the September 20, 2013 cancellation order notice.

These products were previously published in the **Federal Register** of September 18, 2013, under FR Doc. 2013-22718 (78 FR 57388) (FRL-9395-2) and are subject to the provisions set forth in that notice.

FR Doc. 2013-22847 published in the **Federal Register** of September 20, 2013 (78 FR 57850) (FRL-9396-3) is corrected as follows:

1. On page 57850, Table 1, first column (EPA Registration No.), remove the entries: 001021-01060, 001021-01128, 001021-01550, 001021-01575, 001021-01594, and 001021-01607.

2. On page 57851, Table 2, first column (EPA Company No.), remove the entry: 1021.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: November 22, 2013.

**Richard P. Keigwin, Jr.,**  
Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division,  
Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2013-28987 Filed 12-3-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9903-79-Region 3]

### Adequacy Status of the Submitted Redesignation Requests and Maintenance Plans for the Charleston, West Virginia 1997 and 2006 Fine Particulate Matter National Ambient Air Quality Standards Nonattainment Area for Transportation Conformity Purposes

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that EPA has made insignificance findings through the transportation conformity adequacy process, under the Clean Air Act (CAA), for directly emitted fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) in the Charleston, West Virginia 1997 and 2006 PM<sub>2.5</sub> national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) nonattainment area. West Virginia submitted the insignificance findings with the redesignation requests and maintenance plans submittal on December 6, 2012. As a result of EPA's findings, the Charleston, West Virginia nonattainment area is no longer required to perform a regional emissions analysis for directly emitted PM<sub>2.5</sub>, or

NO<sub>x</sub>, as part of future PM<sub>2.5</sub> conformity determinations for the 1997 and 2006 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS.

**DATES:** Effective on December 19, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Gregory Becoat, Environmental Scientist, Office of Air Program Planning (3AP30), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, (215) 814-2036; [becoat.gregory@epa.gov](mailto:becoat.gregory@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that EPA has already made. EPA Region III sent a letter to the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection on October 29, 2013 stating that EPA has made insignificance findings, through the adequacy process, for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> for the Charleston, WV 1997 and 2006 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS nonattainment area, as the State had requested in its redesignation requests and maintenance plans submittal. Receipt of the submittal was announced on EPA's transportation conformity Web site. No comments were received. The findings letter is available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the CAA. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which EPA determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). EPA described the process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in a July 1, 2004 preamble starting at 69 FR 40038 and used the information in these resources in making this adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if EPA finds a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

The finding and the response to comments are available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

[www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm](http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm).

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: November 14, 2013.

**W.C. Early,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 2013-28967 Filed 12-3-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**[FRL-9903-81-Region-3]**

**Adequacy Status of the West Virginia Portion of the Steubenville-Weirton, WV-OH Nonattainment Area Submitted for the 1997 Fine Particulate Matter Standard Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have made insignificance findings through the transportation conformity adequacy process, under the Clean Air Act (CAA), for directly emitted fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) in the West Virginia portion of the Steubenville-Weirton, WV-OH 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) nonattainment area. West Virginia submitted the insignificance findings with the redesignation request and maintenance plan submittal on April 13, 2012. As a result of EPA's findings, the West Virginia portion of the Steubenville-Weirton, WV-OH nonattainment area is no longer required to perform a regional emissions analysis for directly emitted PM<sub>2.5</sub>, or NO<sub>x</sub>, as part of future PM<sub>2.5</sub> conformity determinations for the 1997 annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality standard.

**DATES:** Effective on December 19, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Gregory Becoat, Environmental Scientist, Office of Air Quality Planning, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, (215) 814-2036; [becoat.gregory@epa.gov](mailto:becoat.gregory@epa.gov)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that EPA has already made. EPA Region III sent a letter to the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection on September 25, 2013 stating that EPA has made insignificance findings, through the adequacy process, for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> for the West Virginia Portion of the

Steubenville-Weirton, WV-OH 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS nonattainment area, as the State had requested in its redesignation and maintenance plan submittal. Receipt of the submittal was announced on EPA's transportation conformity Web site. No comments were received. The findings letter is available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the CAA. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). EPA described the process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in a July 1, 2004 preamble starting at 69 FR 40038 and used the information in these resources in making this adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if EPA finds a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

The finding and the response to comments are available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: November 15, 2013.

**W.C. Early,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 2013-28981 Filed 12-3-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**