Docket No. ER14–591, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–781, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1174, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1194, Southwestern Public Service Company

Docket No. ER14–1196, Southwestern Public Service Company

Docket No. ER14–1197, Southwestern Public Service Company

Docket No. ER14–1198, Southwestern Public Service Company

Docket No. ER14–1200, Southwestern Public Service Company

Docket No. ER14–1201, Southwestern Public Service Company

Docket No. ER14–1406, Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1407, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1415, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1416, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1423, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1430, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1530, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1534, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1535, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1580, Southwest Power Pool. Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1587, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1592, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1628, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1700, Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Docket No. ER14–1713, Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.

These meetings are open to the public.

For more information, contact Patrick Clarey, Office of Energy Market Regulation, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission at (317) 249–5937 or patrick.clarey@ferc.gov.

Dated: April 22, 2014.

#### Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–09666 Filed 4–28–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL14-40-000]

# Morongo Transmission LLC; Notice of Petition For Declaratory Order

Take notice that on April 17, 2014, Morongo Transmission LLC (Morongo Transmission), pursuant to section 207(a)(2) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.207(a)(2), filed a petition for declaratory order requesting that the Commission approve a rate methodology for Morongo Transmission in connection with its participation in the West of Devers Upgrade Project being developed by South California Edison Company (SCE), as more fully described in the petition.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. On or before the comment date, it is not necessary to serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <a href="http://www.ferc.gov">http://www.ferc.gov</a>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s).

For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov*, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on May 19, 2014. Dated: April 22, 2014.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-09663 Filed 4-28-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9910-10-Region 3]

Adequacy Status of the Submitted Maintenance Plan for the District of Columbia Portion of the Metropolitan Washington, DC, (DC–MD–VA) 1997 Fine Particulate National Ambient Air Quality Standard Nonattainment Area for Transportation Conformity Purposes

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

14, 2014.

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is notifying the public that EPA has found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) in the District of Columbia portion of the Metropolitan Washington, DC, (DC-MD-VA) 1997 Fine Particulate (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) Nonattainment Area (hereafter, the Washington Area) Maintenance Plan, submitted as a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision by District of Columbia Department of the Environment (DDOE), are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. **DATES:** This finding is effective on May

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregory Becoat, Physical Scientist, Office of Air Program Planning (3AP30), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, (215) 814– 2036; becoat.gregory@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that EPA has already made. EPA Region III sent a letter to DDOE on March 25, 2014, stating that EPA has found that the MVEBs in the Washington Area's Maintenance Plan for budget years 2017 and 2025, submitted on June 3, 2013 by DDOE, are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. As a result of EPA's finding, the District of Columbia must use the 2017 and 2025 Tier 1 MVEBs shown in Table 1 from the Washington Area's Maintenance Plan for future conformity determinations for the 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. The Tier 2 MVEBS shown in Table 2 adds a twenty percent (20%) transportation buffer to the mobile

emissions inventory projections for  $PM_{2.5}$  and nitrogen oxides  $(NO_X)$  in 2017 and 2025. The Tier 2 MVEBs will become effective if it is determined that technical uncertainties primarily due to model changes and to vehicle fleet turnover, which may affect future motor vehicle emissions inventories, lead to

motor vehicle emissions estimates above the Tier 1 MVEBs. The determination will be made through the interagency consultation process and fully documented within the first conformity analysis that uses the Tier 2 MVEBs. Receipt of the submittal was announced on EPA's transportation conformity Web site. No comments were received. The findings letter is available at EPA's conformity Web site: http:// www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/ transconf/adequacy.htm. The adequate direct particulate matter (PM) and NO<sub>X</sub> MVEBs for Tier 1 and Tier 2 are provided in Table 1 and Table 2.

TABLE 1—TIER 1 ON-ROAD MVEBS CONTAINED IN THE WASHINGTON AREA MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR THE 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS

Year	Motor vehicle emissions budget for PM <sub>2.5</sub> on-road emissions (tons per year)	Mobile vehicle emissions budget for NO <sub>X</sub> on-road emissions (tons per year)
2017	1,787	41,709
2025	1,350	27,400

TABLE 2—TIER 2 ON-ROAD MVEBS CONTAINED IN THE WASHINGTON AREA MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR THE 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS

Year	Motor vehicle emissions budget for PM <sub>2.5</sub> on-road emissions (tons per year)	Mobile vehicle emissions budget for NO <sub>X</sub> on-road emissions (tons per year)
2017	2,144	50,051
2025	1,586	32,880

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to SIPs and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's MVEBs are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). EPA described the process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in a July 1, 2004 preamble starting at 69 FR 40038 and used the information in these resources in making this adequacy determination. The District of Columbia did not provide emission budgets for sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), or ammonia for the Washington Area's Maintenance Plan because it concluded that emissions of these precursors from motor vehicles are not significant contributors to the area's PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality problem. The transportation conformity rule provision at 40 CFR 93.102(b)(2)(v) indicates that conformity does not apply for these precursors, due to the lack of motor vehicle emissions budgets for these

precursors and state's conclusion that motor vehicle emissions of  $SO_2$ , VOCs, and ammonia do not contribute significantly to the area's  $PM_{2.5}$  nonattainment problem. This provision of the transportation conformity rule predates and was not disturbed by the January 4, 2013 decision in the litigation on the  $PM_{2.5}$  implementation rule.

EPA has preliminarily concluded that the District's decision to not include budgets for  $SO_2$ , VOCs, and ammonia is consistent with the requirements of the transportation conformity rule. That decision does not affect EPA's adequacy finding for the submitted direct PM and  $NO_X$  MVEBs for the Washington Area's Maintenance Plan.

Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if EPA finds the budgets for the Washington Area's Maintenance Plan adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved. The finding and the response to comments are available at EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: April 11, 2014.

### W. C. Early, Acting

 $Regional\ Administrator, Region\ III. \\ [FR\ Doc.\ 2014–09719\ Filed\ 4–28–14;\ 8:45\ am]$ 

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R04-OW-2013-0728]

#### Public Water System Supervision Program Revision for the State of North Carolina

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of tentative approval.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the State of North Carolina is revising its approved Public Water System Supervision Program. North Carolina has adopted the following rules: Long Term 1 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule, Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule, Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule, Lead and Copper Rule Short-Term Regulatory Revisions and Clarifications, and Ground Water Rule. The EPA has determined that North Carolina's rules are no less stringent than the corresponding federal regulations. Therefore, the EPA is tentatively approving this revision to the State of North Carolina's Public Water System Supervision Program. DATES: Any interested person may request a public hearing. A request for a public hearing must be submitted by May 29, 2014, to the Regional Administrator at the EPA Region 4 address shown below. The Regional Administrator may deny frivolous or