

# Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 79, No. 102

Wednesday, May 28, 2014

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

## BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION

### 12 CFR Part 1016

[Docket No. CFPB-2014-0010]

RIN 3170-AA39

### Amendment to the Annual Privacy Notice Requirement Under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (Regulation P)

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On May 13, 2014, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau) published in the **Federal Register** a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposing an amendment to the annual privacy notice requirement set forth in subpart A of Regulation P (Annual Privacy Notice Proposal). The Annual Privacy Notice Proposal allowed a 30-day comment period that will end on June 12, 2014. To allow interested persons additional time to consider and submit their responses, the Bureau has determined that an extension of the comment period until July 14, 2014, is appropriate.

**DATES:** The comment period for the Annual Privacy Notice Proposal published May 13, 2014, at 79 FR 27214, is extended. Responses must now be received on or before July 14, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CFPB-2014-0010 or RIN 3170-AA39, by any of the following methods:

- *Electronic:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Monica Jackson, Office of the Executive Secretary, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 1700 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20552.

- *Hand Delivery/Courier:* Monica Jackson, Office of the Executive Secretary, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 1275 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20002.

*Instructions:* All submissions should include the agency name and docket number or Regulatory Information Number (RIN) for this rulemaking. Because paper mail in the Washington, DC area and at the Bureau is subject to delay, commenters are encouraged to submit comments electronically. In general, all comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>. In addition, comments will be available for public inspection and copying at 1275 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20002, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern Time. You can make an appointment to inspect the documents by telephoning (202) 435-7275.

All comments, including attachments and other supporting materials, will become part of the public record and subject to public disclosure. Sensitive personal information, such as account numbers or social security numbers, should not be included. Comments generally will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general inquiries, submission process questions, or any additional information, please contact Monica Jackson, Office of the Executive Secretary, 202-435-7275.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On May 6, 2014, the Bureau issued the Annual Privacy Notice Proposal. The Annual Privacy Notice Proposal was then published in the **Federal Register** on May 13, 2014. The Annual Privacy Notice Proposal seeks comment, data and information from the public about a proposed amendment to the annual privacy notice requirement set forth in subpart A of Regulation P, 12 CFR Part 1016. The amendment would create an alternative delivery method for this annual privacy disclosure, which financial institutions would be able to use under certain conditions.

The comment period on the Annual Privacy Notice Proposal was to close on June 12, 2014.

The Bureau has received a coordinated request from banking and financial service provider trade associations asking that the Bureau extend the Annual Privacy Notice Proposal comment period from 30 to 90 days. The request indicated that additional time would enable interested parties to more thoroughly evaluate and

respond to the specific issues raised in the proposal.

The Bureau balances interested parties' desire to have additional time to consider the issues raised in the Annual Privacy Notice Proposal, gather data, and prepare their responses, with the need to proceed expeditiously to consider comments and determine whether to issue a final rule. As noted in the proposal, the Bureau anticipates that making the proposed alternative delivery mechanism available could benefit both industry and consumers. Moreover, the proposed rule is relatively brief and concerns a single alternative delivery method for a single notice. It also concerns a topic on which the Bureau has previously sought and received two rounds of public comment.<sup>1</sup> The Bureau believes in light of these factors that a 60-day extension of the comment period, resulting in a 90-day comment period, is not necessary or appropriate. However, the Bureau believes that a 30-day extension is appropriate. The comment period will therefore close on July 14, 2014.

Dated: May 20, 2014.

**Richard Cordray,**  
Director, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

[FR Doc. 2014-12148 Filed 5-27-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-AM-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2013-0541; Directorate Identifier 2011-NM-097-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

### Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

<sup>1</sup> 76 FR 75825 (Dec. 5, 2011). The Streamlining Inherited Regulations project provided for an initial comment period and another period for replies to those comments. Although the request for an extension of the present comment period points out that the annual privacy notice amendment proposal has several specifics that were not included in the earlier streamlining project request for comments, the Bureau believes that those two rounds of comments provided robust and helpful input and afforded interested parties a meaningful chance to participate regarding the topic of the proposed rule.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that would supersede certain existing airworthiness directives (ADs) for The Boeing Company Model 757–200, –200PF, and –200CB series airplanes. The NPRM proposed to require a determination of the type of trailing edge wedges of the leading edge slats, repetitive inspections on certain trailing edge wedges for areas of skin-to-core disbonding, and corrective actions if necessary; and proposed to revise the applicability of the existing ADs to include additional airplanes. The NPRM also provided an optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections. Since we issued the NPRM, we have determined that the manufacturer's service information is inadequate to accomplish the actions necessary to address the unsafe condition. Once the manufacturer has issued new service information to address the unsafe condition, we may issue new rulemaking action that positively addresses the unsafe condition identified in the NPRM. Accordingly, the NPRM is withdrawn.

**DATES:** As of May 28, 2014, the proposed rule, which was published in the **Federal Register** on July 2, 2013 (78 FR 39633), is withdrawn.

**ADDRESSES:** You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2013–0541; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD action, the NPRM (78 FR 39633, July 2, 2013), the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (telephone 800–647–5527) is the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nancy Marsh, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057–3356; phone: 425–917–6440; fax: 425–917–6590; email: [Nancy.Marsh@faa.gov](mailto:Nancy.Marsh@faa.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Discussion**

We proposed to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) with a notice of proposed

rulemaking (NPRM) for a new AD to supersede AD 90–23–06, Amendment 39–6794 (55 FR 46499, November 5, 1990; AD 91–22–51, Amendment 39–8129 (57 FR 781, January 9, 1992; and AD 2005–07–08, Amendment 39–14032 (70 FR 16403, March 31, 2005), for certain Model 757–200, –200PF, and –200CB series airplanes. The NPRM published in the **Federal Register** on July 2, 2013 (78 FR 39633). The NPRM proposed to require a determination of the type of trailing edge wedges of the leading edge slats, repetitive inspections on certain trailing edge wedges for areas of skin-to-core disbonding, and corrective actions if necessary; and proposed to revise the applicability of ADs 90–23–06, 91–22–51, and 2005–07–08 to include additional airplanes. The NPRM also provided an optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections. The NPRM was prompted by reports of slat disbonding on airplanes on which the terminating actions of ADs 90–23–06, 91–22–51, and 2005–07–08 were completed; and we have received reports of slats disbonding on airplanes outside of the applicability of ADs 90–23–06, 91–22–51, and 2005–07–08.

#### **Actions Since the NPRM (78 FR 39633, July 2, 2013) Was Issued**

Since we issued the NPRM (78 FR 39633, July 2, 2013), we have determined that the manufacturer's service information is inadequate to accomplish the actions necessary to address the unsafe condition.

#### **FAA's Conclusions**

We have determined that the unsafe condition identified in the NPRM (78 FR 39633, July 2, 2013) still exists. Once the manufacturer has issued new service information to address the unsafe condition, we may issue new rulemaking action that positively addresses the unsafe condition identified in the NPRM. Accordingly, the NPRM is withdrawn.

Withdrawal of the NPRM (78 FR 39633, July 2, 2013) does not preclude the FAA from issuing the related actions or commit the FAA to any course of action in the future.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

Since this action only withdraws the NPRM (78 FR 39633, July 2, 2013), it is neither a proposed nor a final rule and therefore is not covered under Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

#### **List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

#### **The Withdrawal**

Accordingly, we withdraw the NPRM, Docket No. FAA–2013–0541, Directorate Identifier 2011–NM–097–AD, which published in the **Federal Register** on July 2, 2013 (78 FR 39633).

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 16, 2014.

**Michael Kaszycki,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2014–12258 Filed 5–27–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### **14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. FAA–2014–0283; Directorate Identifier 2012–NM–183–AD]

**RIN 2120–AA64**

#### **Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to supersede Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2010–03–05, which applies to all The Boeing Company Model 747–200C and –200F series airplanes. AD 2010–03–05 currently requires, for section 41 upper deck floor beam upper chords, an inspection for cracks of certain fastener holes, and corrective action if necessary; and repetitive replacements of the upper chords, straps (or angles), and radius fillers of certain upper deck floor beams and, for any replacement that is done, inspections for cracks, and corrective actions if necessary. Since we issued AD 2010–03–05, we have determined that the upper deck floor beams are subject to widespread fatigue damage (WFD), the existing inspection program is not sufficient to maintain an acceptable level of safety, and section 42 upper deck floor beam upper chords are subject to the unsafe condition. This proposed AD would add post-replacement inspections for section 41 and reduce certain compliance times. This proposed AD would also require repetitive inspections of section 42 upper deck floor beam upper chords, repetitive replacements of the upper chords, post-replacement inspections,