

C. Conduct of Donors

Thus far, this Supplemental Bulletin has focused on the conduct of Independent Charity PAPs. Similarly, when we have issued favorable advisory opinions regarding Independent Charity PAPs, the focus has been on the charities that requested the opinions—not the donors.¹¹ In requesting an opinion, a charity certifies to actions it will take to ensure the independence of the PAP from the donors. The charity is not in a position to certify as to the actions of the donors with parties outside the arrangement. For example, an advisory opinion issued to an independent charity regarding the PAP it operates typically states that the charity has certified that it will provide donors only with reports including data such as the aggregate number of applicants for assistance, the aggregate number of patients qualifying for assistance, and the aggregate amount disbursed from the fund during that reporting period. Thus, the charity would not give a donor any information that would enable a donor to correlate the amount or frequency of its donations with the number of aid recipients who use its products or services or the volume of those products supported by the PAP. The procedures described in these certifications are a critical safeguard and a material fact upon which we have relied in issuing favorable advisory opinions regarding Independent Charity PAPs. These opinions do not address actions by donors to correlate their funding of PAPs with support for their own products. Such actions may be indicative of a donor's intent to channel its financial support to copayments of its own products, which would implicate the anti-kickback statute.

IV. Conclusion

OIG continues to believe that properly structured, Independent Charity PAPs provide a valuable resource to financially needy patients. We also believe that Independent Charity PAPs raise serious risks of fraud, waste, and abuse if they are not sufficiently independent from donors. This Supplemental Bulletin reiterates and amplifies our guidance, based on practices and trends we have seen in the industry. We recognize that some charitable organizations with PAPs have received favorable advisory opinions

that may include features that are discouraged in this Supplemental Bulletin. We are writing to all Independent Charity PAPs that have received favorable opinions to explain how we intend to work with them to ensure that approved arrangements are consistent with our guidance. We anticipate that some opinions will need to be modified. We will post any such modifications on our Web site with the original opinions, consistent with our current practice. Favorable advisory opinions will continue to protect the arrangements described in the opinions until we issue any final notice of modification or termination to the requestors of those opinions. It is our intent that there be no disruption of patient care during this process. Should donors or PAPs continue to have questions about the structure of a particular organization or transaction, the OIG Advisory Opinion process remains available. Information about the process may be found at: <http://oig.hhs.gov/faqs/advisory-opinions-faq.asp>.

Dated: May 16, 2014.

Daniel R. Levinson,
Inspector General.

[FR Doc. 2014–11769 Filed 5–29–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4152–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

[Docket No. DHS–2013–0065]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for Review; Information Collection Request for the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Science and Technology, National Capital Region Secure Delivery Technology Program

AGENCY: Science and Technology Directorate, DHS.

ACTION: 30-Day notice and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Science & Technology Directorate (S&T) invites the general public to comment on data collection forms for the National Capital Region (NCR) Secure Delivery Technology program. This is a new Paper Reduction Act collection without an OMB control number. Secure Delivery Technology is responsible for improving the efficiency and effectiveness of deliveries to General Services Administration (GSA) facilities in the NCR.

Information collected by Federal Protective Service (FPS) personnel to ensure secured deliveries in the NCR

includes the delivery driver's name and license number. The information collected is used by FPS personnel to verify the identity of the driver at the delivery central screening facility and final destination locations, along with providing an auditable trail for post-delivery analysis should an event occur that requires forensics.

DHS invites interested persons to comment on the "National Capital Region Secure Delivery Technology Driver Log" form and instructions (hereinafter "Forms Package") for the S&T NCR Secure Delivery Technology. Interested persons may receive a copy of the Forms Package by contacting the DHS S&T PRA Coordinator. This notice and request for comments is required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until June 30, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments, identified by docket number DHS–2013–0065, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Please follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- **Email:** Jonathan.Mcentee@hq.dhs.gov. Please include docket number DHS–2013–0065 in the subject line of the message.

- **Mail:** Science and Technology Directorate, ATTN: National Capital Region Secure Delivery Technology Program, 245 Murray Drive, Mail Stop 0202, Washington, DC 20528.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan Mcintee, Jonathan.Mcentee@hq.dhs.gov, 202–254–6139. (Not a toll free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department is committed to improving its information collection and urges all interested parties to suggest how these materials can further reduce burden while seeking necessary information under the Paper Reduction Act.

DHS is particularly interested in comments that:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- (3) Suggest ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- (4) Suggest ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information

¹¹ An advisory opinion has no application to, and cannot be relied upon by, any individual or entity other than the requestor of the opinion. Thus, a donor is not protected by an advisory opinion issued only to the entity to which it donates. See section 1128D(b)(4)(A) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 1320a–7d(b)(4)(A)); 42 CFR 1008.53.

on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* This is a new collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Science and Technology, National Capital Region Secure Delivery Technology program.

(3) *Agency Form Number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Homeland Security sponsoring the collection:* [No form name]; Department of Homeland Security, Science & Technology Directorate, Borders and Maritime Security Division.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Drivers of deliveries to GSA facilities in the NCR are required to provide their names and driver's license to FPS personnel to bind the individual driver to the package being delivered, and any other data associated with the delivery for security purposes.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:*

a. *Estimate of the total number of respondents:* FPS contracts approximately ten (10) Protective Security Officers who are monitored by one (1) FTE to capture the driver and delivery information.

b. *An estimate of the time for an average respondent to respond:* FPS personnel spend approximately five (5) minutes per delivery to capture the requisite information.

c. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There is no burden on the public for the information capture—FPS personnel capture the data in parallel with other FPS personnel screening the delivery truck. It is estimated six-thousand (6000) staff hours will be saved by automating the management of the information being captured.

Dated: April 29, 2014.

Rick Stevens,

Chief Information Officer for Science and Technology.

[FR Doc. 2014-12576 Filed 5-29-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-9F-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

[Docket No. DHS-2012-0043]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for Review; Information Collection Extension Request for the Support Anti-Terrorism by Fostering Effective Technologies (SAFETY) Act Program

AGENCY: Science and Technology Directorate, DHS.

ACTION: 30-Day notice and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is soliciting public comment on the following forms: (1) Registration as a Seller of an Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10010); (2) Request for a Pre-Application Consultation (DHS Form 10009); (3) Notice of License of Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10003); (4) Notice of Modification of Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10002); (5) Application for Transfer of SAFETY Act Designation and Certification (DHS Form 10001); (6) Application for Renewal of SAFETY Act Protections of a Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10057); (7) Application for SAFETY Act Developmental Testing and Evaluation Designation (DHS Form 10006); (8) Application for SAFETY Act Designation (DHS Form 10008); (9) Application for SAFETY Act Certification (DHS Form 10007); (10) SAFETY Act Block Designation Application (DHS Form 10005); and (11) SAFETY Act Block Certification Application (DHS Form 10004).

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until June 30, 2014.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number DHS-2012-0043, by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Please follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Email:* olena.shockley@hq.dhs.gov. Please include docket number DHS-2012-0043 in the subject line of the message.
- *Mail:* Science and Technology Directorate, ATTN: SAFETY Act, 245 Murray Lane SW., Mail Stop 0202, Washington, DC 20528.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: olena.shockley@hq.dhs.gov (202) 254-6174 (Not a toll free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: DHS S&T provides a secure Web site, accessible through www.SAFETYAct.gov, through

which the public can learn about the program, submit applications for SAFETY Act protections, submit questions to the Office of SAFETY Act Implementation (OSAI), and provide feedback. The data collection forms have standardized the collection of information that is both necessary and essential for the DHS OSAI.

The SAFETY Act program promotes the development and use of anti-terrorism technologies that will enhance the protection of the nation and provides risk management and litigation management protections for sellers of Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (QATT) and others in the supply and distribution chain. The Department of Homeland Security Science & Technology Directorate (DHS S&T) currently has approval to collect information for the implementation of the SAFETY Act program until April 30, 2014. With this notice, DHS S&T seeks approval to renew this information collection for continued use after this date. The SAFETY Act program requires the collection of this information in order to evaluate and qualify Anti-Terrorism Technologies, based on the economic and technical criteria contained in the Regulations Implementing the Support Anti-Terrorism by Fostering Effective Technologies Act (the Final Rule), for protection in accordance with the Act, and therefore encourage the development and deployment of new and innovative anti-terrorism products and services. The Support Anti-Terrorism by Fostering Effective Technologies (SAFETY) Act (6 U.S.C. 441) was enacted as part of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Public Law 107-296 establishing this requirement. This notice and request for comments is required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

DHS S&T currently has approval to collect information utilizing the Registration of a Seller as an Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10010), Request for a Pre-Application Consultation (DHS Form 10009), Notice of License of Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10003), Notice of Modification of Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10002), Application for Transfer of SAFETY Act Designation and Certification (DHS Form 10001), Application for Renewal of SAFETY Act Protections of a Qualified Anti-Terrorism Technology (DHS Form 10057), Application for SAFETY Act Developmental Testing and Evaluation Designation (DHS Form 10006), Application for SAFETY Act