

J-94: J-94 extends between the Oakland, CA, VORTAC and the Boston, MA, VOR/DME, excluding the airspace within Canada. The route segment from the Flint, MI, VORTAC to the London, ON, Canada, VOR/DME is removed.

J-546: J-546 is removed.

J-551: J-551 is removed.

J-553: J-553 is removed.

V-84: V-84 extends between the Northbrook, IL, VOR/DME and the Syracuse, NY, VORTAC, excluding the airspace within Canada. The route segment between the Flint, MI, VORTAC and the London, ON, Canada, VOR/DME is removed.

V-216: V-216 extends between the Lamar, CO, VOR/DME and the Toronto, ON, Canada, VOR/DME, excluding the airspace within Canada. The route segment between the Janesville, WI, VOR/DME and the Toronto, ON, Canada, VOR/DME is removed.

V-320: V-320 extends between the Pellston, MI, VORTAC and the Toronto, ON, Canada, VOR/DME. The route segment between the Saginaw, MI, VOR/DME and the Toronto, ON, Canada, VOR/DME is removed.

The navigation aid radials cited in the jet route and VOR Federal airway descriptions are unchanged and stated relative to True north.

Jet routes are published in paragraph 2004 and VOR Federal airways are published in paragraph 6010(a), respectively, of FAA Order 7400.9X dated August 7, 2013, and effective September 15, 2013, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The jet routes and VOR Federal airways listed in this document will be subsequently published in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation: (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that only affects air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when

promulgated, does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

The FAA’s authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the

authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority.

This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of the airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it modifies the route structure as necessary to preserve the safe and efficient flow of air traffic within the NAS.

Environmental Review

The FAA has determined that this action qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1E, “Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures,” paragraph 311a. This airspace action is not expected to cause any potentially significant environmental impacts, and no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.9X, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 7, 2013 and effective September 15, 2013, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 2004 Jet Routes

* * * * *

J-16 [Amended]

From Battle Ground, WA; Pendleton, OR; Whitehall, MT; Billings, MT; Dupree, SD; Sioux Falls, SD; Mason City, IA; to Badger, WI. From London, ON, Canada; Buffalo, NY; Albany, NY; to Boston, MA; excluding the airspace within Canada.

* * * * *

J-94 [Amended]

From Oakland, CA; Manteca, CA; INT Manteca 047° and Mustang, NV, 208° radials; Mustang; Lovelock, NV; Battle Mountain, NV; Lucin, UT; Rock Springs, WY; Scottsbluff, NE; O’Neill, NE; Fort Dodge, IA; Dubuque, IA; Northbrook, IL; Pullman, MI; to Flint, MI. From London, ON, Canada; Buffalo, NY; Albany, NY; to Boston, MA; excluding the airspace within Canada.

* * * * *

J-546 [Removed]

* * * * *

J-551 [Removed]

* * * * *

J-553 [Removed]

* * * * *

Paragraph 6010 Domestic VOR Federal Airways

* * * * *

V-84 [Amended]

From Northbrook, IL; Pullman, MI; Lansing, MI; to Flint, MI. From London, ON, Canada; Buffalo, NY; Geneseo, NY; INT Geneseo 091° and Syracuse, NY, 240° radials; to Syracuse; excluding the airspace within Canada.

* * * * *

V-216 [Amended]

From Lamar, CO; Hill City, KS; Mankato, KS; Pawnee City, NE; Lamoni, IA; Ottumwa, IA; Iowa City, IA; INT Iowa City 062° and Janesville, WI, 240° radials; to Janesville.

* * * * *

V-320 [Amended]

From Pellston, MI; Traverse City, MI; Mount Pleasant, MI; to Saginaw, MI.

* * * * *

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 4, 2014.

Gary A. Norek,

Manager, Airspace Policy and Regulations Group.

[FR Doc. 2014–21577 Filed 9–10–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

24 CFR Parts 5, 943, and 982

[Docket No. FR–5778–C–02]

HUD Implementation of Fiscal Year 2014 Appropriations Provisions on Public Housing Agency Consortia, Biennial Inspections, Extremely Low-Income Definition, and Utility Allowances; Technical Correction

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of statutory changes; correction.

SUMMARY: On June, 25, 2014, HUD published a document implementing statutory changes made by the Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act, 2014 to certain programs administered by HUD's Office of Housing and HUD's Office of Public and Indian Housing. In the discussion of implementation of the new definition of "extremely low-income" applicable to multifamily projects administered by HUD's Office of Housing, the document referred to "contract administrators" and it should have referenced "owners." This document makes that correction.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 11, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Claire Brolin, Office of Multifamily Housing Programs, Office of Housing, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 6106, Washington, DC 20410 at 202-402-6634 (this is not a toll-free number). Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access either of these numbers through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at 800-877-8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the **Federal Register** of June 25, 2014, in FR Doc. 2014-14915, beginning on page 39540, the following corrections are made:

1. On page 35942, in the first column, correct the second paragraph under section "C. Extremely Low-Income" to read:

Beginning with the effective date of this notice, a PHA or owner shall meet its targeting requirements through a combination of ELI admissions prior to the effective date (using the prior definition) and ELI admissions after the effective date (using the new statutory definition). Neither a PHA nor an owner may skip over a family on the waiting list if that family meets the new definition of ELI as enacted by this section.

2. On page 35942, in the first column, correct the fifth paragraph under section "C. Extremely Low-Income" to read:

For the multifamily project-based section 8 programs, the owner must make available for occupancy by ELI families not less than 40 percent of the section 8-assisted dwelling units that become available for occupancy in any fiscal year.

1. On page 35942, in the second column, correct the seventh paragraph under section "C. Extremely Low-Income" to read:

In some communities, the extremely low-income and very low-income levels will be identical for some or all

household sizes, in which case PHAs or owners meet their ELI targeting requirements by serving VLI households, since those families meet the new definition of ELI. To reduce the work a PHA or owner must do to determine which standard it should be using, HUD's Office of Policy Development and Research has calculated the new income limits for extremely low-income families, taking the previous sentence into account, and has made the new area income limits available online at <http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/il/il14/index.html>.

Dated: September 4, 2014.

Carol J. Galante,

Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 2014-21637 Filed 9-10-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of the Attorney General

28 CFR Part 0

[OAG Docket No. 143; AG Order No. 3464-2014]

Office of the Pardon Attorney

AGENCY: Office of the Attorney General, Department of Justice.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule revises certain regulations of the Department of Justice (the "Department") that govern the Pardon Attorney. The rule conforms the regulations to current practice, under which the Pardon Attorney is subject to the direction of, and submits recommendations in clemency cases through, the Deputy Attorney General.

DATES: The rule is effective on September 11, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Leff, United States Department of Justice, Suite 11000, 1425 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20530, or by telephone at (202) 616-6070.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Present regulations of the Department of Justice provide that the Pardon Attorney is subject to the direction of the Associate Attorney General, and that the Pardon Attorney shall submit all recommendations in clemency cases through the Associate Attorney General, who in turn shall exercise such discretion and authority as is appropriate and necessary for the handling and transmittal of such recommendations to the President. *See* 28 CFR 0.35-0.36.

However, it has long been the internal practice of the Department for the Pardon Attorney to be subject to the direction of the Deputy Attorney General, and to submit clemency recommendations through the Deputy Attorney General for handling and transmittal, rather than through the Associate Attorney General.

This rule conforms Department regulations to current practice.

Administrative Procedure Act

This rule is a rule of agency organization, procedure, and practice and is therefore exempt from the usual requirements of prior notice and comment and a 30-day delay in effective date. *See* 5 U.S.C. 553(b). This rule is effective upon publication.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Attorney General, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), has reviewed this rule and by approving it certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it applies only to agency organization, procedure, and practice. Further, a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis was not required to be prepared for this rule because the Department was not required to publish a general notice of proposed rulemaking.

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563—Regulatory Review

This regulation has been drafted and reviewed in accordance with Executive Order 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review," section 1(b), Principles of Regulation, and in accordance with Executive Order 13563, "Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review," section 1(b), General Principles of Regulation.

The Department has determined that this rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review," and accordingly this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

Executive Order 13132—Federalism

This rule does not have federalism implications warranting the preparation of a Federalism Assessment under section 6 of Executive Order 13132 because it is a rule of agency organization, procedure, and practice.

Executive Order 12988—Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets the applicable standards set forth in sections 3(a) and