

such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) either—

(A) the workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) a loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met:

1. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

2. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

3. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (i.e., conditions within the industry are adverse).

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

None.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

85,328, *Chromcraft Revington, Inc., Senatobia, Mississippi. May 21, 2013.*

85,409, *PolyOne Designed Structures & Solutions LLC, Cape Girardeau, Missouri. July, 2013.*

85,410, *B/E Aerospace, Inc., Lenexa, Kansas. July 1, 2013.*

85,424, *Berry Plastics Corporation, Kent, Washington. July 14, 2013.*

85,453, *Vital Signs, Inc., Totowa, New Jersey. July 29, 2013.*

85,458, *Arrow International Incorporated, Lumberton, New Jersey. July 31, 2013.*

85,461, *Wire Company Holdings, Inc., D.B.A New York New York, Hanover, Pennsylvania. October 17, 2014.*

85,461A, *Wire Company Holdings, Inc., D.B.A New York Wire, York, Pennsylvania. October 17, 2014.*

85,461B, *Wire Company Holdings, Inc., D.B.A New York Wire, York, Pennsylvania. October 17, 2014.*

85,480, *OEM Controls, Inc., Shelton, Connecticut. August 8, 2013.*

85,481, *Daimler Buses North America, Inc., Oriskany, New York, September 29, 2014.*

85,493, *STEMCO Crewson, Buffalo, New York. August 18, 2013.*

Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

None.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

Because the workers of the firm are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

85,287, *Quad/Graphics Marketing, LLC, Marengo, Iowa.*

85,508, *Electrodynamics, Inc., Rolling Meadows, Illinois.*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

85,425, *Intrepid Potash Inc., Carlsbad, New Mexico.*

85,433, *Wolff Fording and Company, Richmond, Virginia.*

85,470, *Elsevier, Inc., Maryland Heights, Missouri.*

85,491, *Citibank N.A., Jersey City, New Jersey.*

Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions for Worker Adjustment Assistance

After notice of the petitions was published in the **Federal Register** and on the Department's Web site, as required by Section 221 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions.

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued

because the petitioning groups of workers are covered by active certifications. Consequently, further investigation in these cases would serve no purpose since the petitioning group of workers cannot be covered by more than one certification at a time.

85,316, *Honeywell Process Solutions—Mercury Instruments LLC, Cincinnati, Ohio.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of *September 8, 2014 through September 12, 2014*. These determinations are available on the Web site www.doleta.gov/tradeact/taa/taa_search_form.cfm under the searchable listing of determinations or by calling the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance toll free at 888-365-6822.

Signed at Washington DC, this 18th day of September 2014.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-23486 Filed 10-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

National Big Data R and D Initiative; Framework, Priorities, and Strategic Plan

AGENCY: The National Coordination Office (NCO) for Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD).

ACTION: Request for Input (RFI).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wendy Wigen at 703-292-4873 or wigen@nitrd.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

DATES: To be considered, written comments must be received by November 14, 2014.

SUMMARY: This request encourages feedback from multiple big data stakeholders to inform the development of a framework, set of priorities, and ultimately a strategic plan for the National Big Data R&D Initiative. A number of areas of interest have been identified by agency representatives in the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) Big Data Senior Steering Group (BDSSG) as well as the many members of the big data R&D community that have participated in BDSSG events and workshops over the past several years. This RFI is a critical step in developing a cross-agency strategic plan that has broad community input and that can be

referenced by all Federal agencies as they move forward over the next five to ten years with their own big data R&D programs, policies, partnerships, and activities.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Overview: This RFI is issued under the National Big Data R&D Initiative. The NITRD BDSSG is inviting broad community input as it develops a National Big Data R&D Strategic Plan that can be referenced by participating agencies as they conceive and deploy their own big data programs, policies, and activities.

Background: The BDSSG was initially formed to identify big data R&D activities across the Federal Government, offer opportunities for agency coordination, and jointly develop strategies for a national initiative. The National Big Data R&D Initiative was launched in March 2012.

Since the launch, the BDSSG has held numerous meetings and workshops, including a major showcase event of dozens of partnerships that will help advance the frontiers of big data R&D across the country. Many participating federal agencies have already established new big data programs, policies and activities and plan to do more in the future. Currently, the BDSSG is drafting a framework and establishing a set of priority goals for a National Big Data R&D Strategic Plan.

Objective: The major goal of this RFI is to gather information from multiple sectors to inform the development of an effective National Big Data R&D Strategic Plan. After the submission period ends and the feedback is analyzed, a workshop will be held to further discuss and develop the input received.

What We Are Looking For: As a big data stakeholder, we would like to (1) understand your particular role and point of view in the big data innovation ecosystem, and (2) using the draft, *The National Big Data R&D Initiative: Vision and Areas of Interest*, as the basis, encourage you to provide comments and suggestions for how we might best develop an overarching, comprehensive framework to support national-scale big data science and engineering research and education, discoveries and innovation. Please include a description of the areas of critical investment (either within or across agencies), both currently and within a five to ten year horizon. Collectively, your comments could focus on one or more agencies, the set of NITRD agencies as a whole, or the national effort. Please keep in mind that the focus is on high level strategies for how agencies can leverage their

collective investments. It will not focus on individual agency plans and will not contain an implementation plan. We are interested in all points of view on the activities that can best support research, development, and innovation in Big Data. However, we are not interested in specific research proposals or vendor offerings. While the NITRD agencies would welcome input on policies that are directly relevant to big data R&D, those policies that are more appropriately determined by the Administration or Congress (or both) are not relevant to this exercise.

Who Can Participate: This RFI is open to all. We especially encourage public and private sector organizations (e.g., universities, government laboratories, companies, non-profits) with big data interests to submit their ideas. Participants must also be willing to have their ideas posted for discussion on a public Web site and included with possible attribution in the plan.

Submission Format: All responses must be no more than two (2) pages long (12 pt. font, 1" margins) and include:

- Who you are—name, credentials, organization
- Your contact information
- Your experience working with big data and your role in the big data innovation ecosystem
- Comments and suggestions based on reading the initial framework, *The National Big Data R&D Initiative: Vision and Priority Actions*, and guided by the following questions:
 - What are the gaps that are not addressed in the Visions and Priority Actions document?
 - From an interagency perspective, what do you think are the most high impact ideas at the frontiers of big data research and development?
 - What new research, education, and/or infrastructure investments do you think will be game-changing for the big data innovation ecosystem?
 - How can the federal government most effectively enable new partnerships, particularly those that cross sectors or domains?
- A short explanation of why you feel your contribution/ideas should be included in the strategic plan
- Examples, where appropriate

In accordance with FAR 15.202(3), responses to this notice are not offers and cannot be accepted by the Government to form a binding contract. Responders are solely responsible for all expenses associated with responding to this RFI, including any subsequent requests for proposals.

Submitted by the National Science Foundation for the National Coordination

Office (NCO) for Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) on September 26, 2014.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2014-23444 Filed 10-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2014-0212]

Oversight of Counterfeit, Fraudulent, and Suspect Items in the Nuclear Industry

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Draft regulatory issue summary; public meeting and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is seeking public comment on draft regulatory issue summary (RIS) 2014-XX. This draft RIS, if finalized, is intended to heighten awareness of existing NRC's regulations and reiterate how they apply to the nuclear industry stakeholders' oversight of counterfeit, fraudulent, and suspect items (CFSI) to all NRC's licensees and certificate holders, Agreement State radiation control program directors, state liaison officers, contractors and vendors.

DATES: Submit comments by November 3, 2014. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is able to assure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comment by any of the following methods (unless this document describes a different method for submitting comments on a specific subject):

- Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2014-0212. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-287-3422; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual(s) listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- Mail comments to: Cindy Bladey, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: 3WFN, 06-44M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

For additional direction on accessing information and submitting comments, see "Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments" in the