time for initiation pursuant to sections 702(c)(1)(B) or 732(c)(1)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671a(c)(1)(B) or 1673a(c)(1)(B)), the Commission must reach a preliminary determination in antidumping and countervailing duty investigations in 45 days, or in this case by Monday, December 29, 2014. The Commission's views must be transmitted to Commerce within five business days thereafter, or by Tuesday, January 6, 2015.

For further information concerning the conduct of these investigations and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* Wednesday, November 12, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Sherman (202-205-3289), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—These investigations are being instituted in response to a petition filed on Wednesday, November 12, 2014, by Cornerstone Chemical Company, Waggaman, Louisiana.

Participation in the investigation and public service list.—Persons (other than petitioners) wishing to participate in the investigations as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in sections 201.11 and 207.10 of the Commission's rules, not later than seven days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Industrial users and (if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level) representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping duty and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to these investigations

upon the expiration of the period for filing entries of appearance.

Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and BPI service list.—Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in these investigations available to authorized applicants representing interested parties (as defined in 19 U.S.C. § 1677(9)) who are parties to the investigations under the APO issued in the investigations, provided that the application is made not later than seven days after the publication of this notice in the Federal **Register**. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Conference.—The Commission's Director of Investigations has scheduled a conference in connection with these investigations for 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, December 3, 2014, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC. Requests to appear at the conference should be emailed to William.bishop@ usitc.gov and Sharon.bellamv@usitc.gov (DO NOT FILE ON EDIS) on or before Monday, December 1, 2014. Parties in support of the imposition of countervailing and antidumping duties in these investigations and parties in opposition to the imposition of such duties will each be collectively allocated one hour within which to make an oral presentation at the conference. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the conference.

Written submissions.—As provided in sections 201.8 and 207.15 of the Commission's rules, any person may submit to the Commission on or before Monday, December 8, 2014, a written brief containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigations. Parties may file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the conference no later than three days before the conference. If briefs or written testimony contain BPI, they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. Please consult the Commission's rules, as amended, 76 Fed. Reg. 61937 (Oct. 6, 2011) and the Commission's Handbook on Filing Procedures, 76 Fed. Reg. 62092 (Oct. 6, 2011), available on the Commission's Web site at http://edis.usitc.gov.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document

filed by a party to the investigations must be served on all other parties to the investigations (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.12 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: November 12, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2014–27227 Filed 11–17–14; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1125-0003]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection; eComments Requested; Fee Waiver Request

AGENCY: Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register Volume 79, Number 178, page 55015, on September 15, 2014, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until December 18, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Jeff Rosenblum, General Counsel, USDOJ-EOIR-OGC, Suite 2600, 5107 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, Virginia 20530; telephone: (703) 305-0470, or the DOJ Desk Officer at 202-395-5806. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice

Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530 or sent to OIRA_submissions@ omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- —Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.
- (2) Title of the Form/Collection: Fee Waiver Request.
- (3) Agency form number: EOIR–26A (OMB #1125–0003).
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: An individual submitting an appeal or motion to the Board of Immigration Appeals. Other: Attorneys or representatives representing an alien in immigration proceedings before EOIR. Abstract: The information on the fee waiver request form is used by the Board of Immigration Appeals to determine whether the requisite fee for a motion or appeal will be waived due to an individual's financial situation.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: It is estimated that 8,614 respondents will complete each form within approximately 1 hour.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 8,614 total annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 13, 2014.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2014–27250 Filed 11–17–14; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–30–P**

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Richard D. Vitalis, D.O.; Decision and Order

On August 12, 2013, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Richard D. Vitalis, D.O. (Applicant), of Debary, Florida. GX 1. The Show Cause Order proposed the denial of Applicant's application for a DEA Certificate of Registration on the ground that his continued "registration would be inconsistent with the public interest." *Id.* at 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 823(f)).

The Show Cause Order made numerous allegations against Applicant. First, it stated that on October 1, 2008, the Florida Department of Health (DOH) entered an emergency suspension of Applicant's medical license on the basis of his history of alcohol dependency and his failure to comply with DOH orders requiring the monitoring of his medical practice. Id. The Order then specifically alleged that after reinstatement of his Florida medical license on March 26, 2009, Applicant materially falsified three applications for a DEA registration when he falsely answered "no" on each application to the liability question which asks: "Has the applicant ever surrendered (for cause) or had a state professional license or controlled substances registration revoked, suspended, denied, restricted or place on probation?" Id. at 2-3 (citing 21 U.S.C. 843(a)(4)(A)). The Order alleged that Applicant submitted these applications on October 5, 2009; May 22, 2012; and January 7, 2013. Id.

The Show Cause Order also alleged that on October 6, 2009, Applicant became registered as a practitioner to handle schedule II controlled substances under DEA registration number FV1682269, at the registered address of 230 Caddie Court, Debary,

Florida. The Order then alleged that between July 2010 and June 3, 2011, Applicant "issued and/or authorized prescriptions for controlled substances in Schedules 2N, 3, 3N, 4 and 5, for which [he] did not have the authority to handle, in violation of 21 U.S.C. 822(b)." *Id.* at 3. The Show Cause Order also alleged that on June 3, 2011, Applicant's registration was modified to add all schedules. *Id.*

Next, the Show Cause Order alleged that between July 7, 2011 and March 22, 2012, three law enforcement officers made six undercover visits to Applicant at All Family Medical (hereinafter, AFM), a state-registered pain management clinic. *Id.* The Order then alleged that at the conclusion of each visit, Applicant prescribed Schedule II and IV controlled substances, including oxycodone and Xanax, to the undercover officers, for other than a legitimate medical purpose and outside the usual course of professional practice in violation of applicable federal, state and local law. Id. at 3-4 (citing 21 CFR 1306.04(a)).

The Show Cause Order further alleged that a medical expert reviewed the undercover visits and determined that Applicant prescribed unnecessary and excessive doses of controlled substances to the undercover officers, in deviation from the standard of care in pain medicine. Id. at 4-5. The Order alleged that the Expert further found that Applicant failed to comply with Florida's standards for the use of controlled substances for the treatment of pain, and that the prescriptions were issued for other than a legitimate medical purpose and outside the usual scope of professional practice. Id. at 5 (citing Fla. Stat. § 456.44; Fla. Admin. Code r.64B15-14.005; 21 CFR 1306.04(a)).

Finally, the Show Cause Order alleged that on January 1, 2012, D.V., a 34-year old male died as a result of an accidental overdose of controlled substances. Id. The Order then alleged that on December 27, 2011, Applicant issued prescriptions to D.V. for 180 tablets of oxycodone 30mg, 120 tablets of oxycodone 15mg, 40 tablets Percocet 10/325 mg, 60 tablets of alprazolam 2mg, and 90 tablets of Motrin 800mg, and that the prescriptions "were for other than a legitimate medical purpose and outside the usual scope of professional practice." Id. (citing 21 CFR 1306.04(a)).

The Show Cause Order, which also notified Applicant of his right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement in lieu of a hearing, the procedure for electing either option, and the consequence of failing to elect