(DOC) strategic goal for better environment intelligence and translate into better programs by the DOC International Trade Administration in ocean observing industries in international trade.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit organizations, not-for-profit organizations.

Frequency: One time.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. This information collection request may be viewed at *reginfo.gov.* Follow the instructions to view Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to OIRA_Submission@ omb.eop.gov or fax to (202) 395–5806. Dated: December 19, 2014.

Glenna Mickelson,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer. [FR Doc. 2014–30180 Filed 12–23–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–JE–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration

Notice of Petitions by Firms for Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

AGENCY: Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice and opportunity for public comment.

Pursuant to Section 251 of the Trade Act 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2341 et seq.), the Economic Development Administration (EDA) has received petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance from the firms listed below. Accordingly, EDA has initiated investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each of these firms contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

LIST OF PETITIONS RECEIVED BY EDA FOR CERTIFICATION ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE

[12/5/2014 through 12/18/2014]

Firm name	Firm address	Date accepted for investigation	Product(s)
Altratek Plastics	105 Gay Street, Longmont, CO 80501.	12/18/2014	The firm manufactures plastic seals, pipes and tubes and housings produced for the automotive, water filtration, and transportation monitoring industries.

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Division, Room 71030, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA's regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

Dated: December 18, 2014.

Michael S. DeVillo,

Eligibility Examiner.

[FR Doc. 2014–30188 Filed 12–23–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-WH-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-580-877, C-489-823]

Welded Line Pipe From the Republic of Korea and the Republic of Turkey: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations in the Countervailing Duty Investigations

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor at (202) 482–4007 (Korea) or Elizabeth Eastwood (Turkey) at (202) 482–3874, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 5, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the countervailing duty (CVD) investigations of welded line pipe from the Republic of Korea and the Republic of Turkey.¹ Currently, the preliminary determinations are due no later than January 9, 2015.

Postponement of Due Date for the Preliminary Determinations

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a CVD investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, if the petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement, section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act allows the Department to postpone making the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which the administering authority initiated the investigation.

On December 11, 2014, the petitioners ² in these investigations timely requested that the deadline for the preliminary determination in each of these cases be postponed in accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), citing the extraordinarily complicated nature of the cases. Therefore, in accordance with section 703(c)(1)(A) of

¹ See Welded Line Pipe From the Republic of Korea and the Republic of Turkey: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigations, 79 FR 67419 (November 13, 2014).

² The petitioners are American Cast Iron Pipe Company, EnergexTube (a division of JMC Steel Group), Maverick Tube Corporation, Northwest Pipe Company, Stupp Corporation (a division of Stupp Bros., Inc.), Tex-Tube Company, TMK IPSCO, and Welspun Tubular LLC USA (*see* December 11, 2014, letters on the record of these investigations).

the Act, we are fully postponing the due date for the preliminary determinations to no later than 130 days after the day on which the investigations were initiated. However, as that date falls on a Sunday (*i.e.*, March 15, 2015), the deadline for completion of the preliminary determinations is now March 16, 2015, the next business day.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(l).

Dated: December 18, 2014.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014–30208 Filed 12–23–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-821-809]

Termination of the Suspension Agreement on Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From the Russian Federation, Rescission of 2013–2014 Administrative Review, and Issuance of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("Department") is terminating the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from the Russian Federation ("Agreement"), rescinding the 2013-2014 administrative review of the agreement, and issuing an antidumping duty ("AD") order on hotrolled steel products from the Russian Federation. The Department is directing the suspension of liquidation to begin on December 19, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sally C. Gannon or Judith Wey Rudman, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0162 or (202) 482–0192, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 15, 1998, the Department initiated an AD investigation on imports of hot-rolled steel from the Russian Federation. See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from Brazil,

Japan, and the Russian Federation, 63 FR 56607 (October 22, 1998). On November 25, 1998, the ITC published its preliminary determination that there was a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States was threatened with material injury by reason of imports of the subject merchandise from the Russian Federation. See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Products From Brazil, Japan, and Russia, 63 FR 65221 (November 25, 1998). On February 25, 1999, the Department published its preliminary determination that hot-rolled steel from the Russian Federation was being, or was likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value. See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From the Russian Federation. 64 FR 9312, February 25, 1999.

On July 12, 1999, the Department and the Ministry of Trade ("MOT") of the Russian Federation signed the Agreement, under section 734(l) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), which suspended the AD investigation on hot-rolled steel from the Russian Federation. See Suspension of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From the Russian Federation, 64 FR 38642 (July 19, 1999). The basis for this action was an agreement between the Department and the MOT accounting for substantially all imports of hot-rolled steel from the Russian Federation, wherein the MOT agreed to restrict exports of hot-rolled steel from all Russian producers/exporters to the United States and to ensure that such exports were sold at or above the agreed reference prices. The MOT was the predecessor to the Economy Ministry, which is now the relevant agency representing the Government of the Russian Federation for purposes of this Agreement.

Upon the request of the petitioners in this proceeding, the investigation was continued and the Department made an affirmative final determination of sales at less than fair value.¹ See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From the Russian Federation, 64 FR 38626 (July 19, 1999) (Final Determination). In its Final Determination, the Department calculated weighted-average dumping margins of 73.59 percent for Joint Stock Company ("JSC") Severstal, a respondent company in the investigation, and 184.56 percent as the Russia-wide rate. Likewise, the International Trade Commission ("ITC") continued its investigation and made an affirmative determination of material injury to an industry in the United States. *See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Products From Brazil and Russia*, 64 FR 46951 (August 27, 1999) (*Final ITC Determination*).

On August 26, 2011, at the request of Nucor Corporation, a domestic interested party, the Department initiated an administrative review of the Agreement for the period of review from July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 76 FR 53404 (August 26, 2011). On November 30, 2012, the Department and the Economy Ministry signed a revision to the Agreement that updated the reference prices and revised the mechanism for calculating the reference prices. On December 6, 2012, the Department published its final results of administrative review and the November 30, 2012, revision to the Agreement. See Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From the Russian Federation; 2010–2011; Final Results of Administrative Review and Revision of Agreement Suspending Antidumping Duty Investigation, 77 FR 72820 (December 6, 2012).

On July 10, 2014, domestic interested parties Nucor Corporation, ArcelorMittal USA LLC, United States Steel Corporation, Gallatin Steel Company, Steel Dynamics, Inc. (SDI), and SSAB N.A.D., Inc., filed a submission alleging that the revised Agreement had failed to achieve its statutory purpose of preventing the suppression or undercutting of price levels of domestic producers by imports of hot-rolled steel from the Russian Federation and that the Department should terminate the agreement and impose antidumping duties on imports of hot-rolled steel from the Russian Federation. On August 29, 2014, at the request of Russian Hot-Rolled Steel producers JSC Severstal and Novolipetsk Steel (NLMK), the Department initiated an administrative review of the Agreement for the period of July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative *Reviews*, 79 FR 51548 (August 29, 2014) ("2013–2014 Administrative Review").

On October 17, 2014, the Department issued a letter to the Economy Ministry

¹ The petitioners in the original investigation included the following: Bethlehem Steel Corp.; Ispat Inland Inc.; LTV Steel Company, Inc.; National Steel Corp.; U.S. Steel Group (a Unit of USX Corp.); California Steel Industries; Gallatin Steel Company; Geneva Steel; Gulf States Steel Inc.; Ipsco Steel Inc.; Steel Dynamics; Weirton Steel Corporation; and Independent Steelworkers Union.