determination of Plan adequacy. Section 3405(e) of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (Title 34 Pub. L. 102-575), requires the Secretary of the Interior to establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices that shall "develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project contractors, including those plans required by Section 210 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982." Also, according to Section 3405(e)(1), these criteria must be developed "with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices." These criteria state that all parties (Contractors) that contract with Reclamation for water supplies (municipal and industrial contracts over 2,000 acre-feet and agricultural contracts over 2,000 irrigable acres) must prepare a Plan that contains the following information:

- 1. Description of the District;
- 2. Inventory of Water Resources;
- 3. Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Agricultural Contractors;
 - 4. BMPs for Urban Contractors;
 - 5. Plan Implementation;
 - 6. Exemption Process;
 - 7. Regional Criteria; and
 - 8. Five-Year Revisions.

Reclamation evaluates Plans based on these criteria. A copy of these Plans will be available for review at Reclamation's Mid-Pacific Regional Office, 2800 Cottage Way, MP–410, Sacramento, California 95825. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. If you wish to review a copy of these Plans, please contact Ms. Anderson.

Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Richard J. Woodley,

Regional Resources Manager, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation.

[FR Doc. 2015–03950 Filed 2–26–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332-90-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–458 and 731– TA–1154 (Review)]

Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks From China: Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the existing antidumping and countervailing duty orders on certain kitchen appliance shelving and racks from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to a U.S. industry producing refrigeration shelving and a U.S. industry producing oven racks within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on August 1, 2014 (79 FR 44862) and determined on November 4, 2014 that it would conduct expedited reviews (79 FR 69525, November 21, 2014).

The Commission completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on February 24, 2015. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4520 (February 2015), entitled Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–458 and 731–TA–1154 (Review).

Issued: February 24, 2015. By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015–04114 Filed 2–26–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-924]

Certain Light Reflectors and Components, Packaging, and Related Advertising Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review Initial Determinations Granting Motions To Terminate the Investigation as to the Remaining Respondents; Termination of the Investigation in Its Entirety

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review: (1) An initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 17) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") on January 22, 2015, granting a motion to terminate the investigation as to respondents Sinowell (Shanghai) Co. Ltd. and Sinohydro Ltd. (collectively, "Sinowell"), based on a settlement agreement; and (2) an ID (Order No. 18) issued by the ALJ on January 27, 2015, granting a motion to terminate the investigation as to the remaining respondents based on withdrawal of the amended complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on August 12, 2014, based on a complaint filed on June 20, 2014, amended on July 11, 2014, and supplemented on July 18, 2014, on behalf of Sunlight Supply, Inc. of Vancouver, Washington and IP Holdings, LLC of Vancouver, Washington (collectively, "Sunlight"). 79 FR 47156 (Aug. 12, 2014). The amended complaint alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the sale for importation, importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain light reflectors and components, packaging, and related advertising thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,641,367; D634,469; D644,185; D545,485; and by reason of infringement of U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 3,871,765; and

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

3,262,059. The amended complaint also alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The amended complaint further alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, or in the sale of, certain light reflectors and components, packaging, and related advertising thereof by reason of false advertising, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States. The Commission's notice of investigation named numerous respondents including Sinowell. See 79 FR 47156-57. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was named as a party to the investigation. Id. at 47157.

On December 16, 2014, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 12) granting a motion to terminate the investigation as to respondents The Hydro Source II, Inc.; Bizright, LLC; and Silversun, Inc., based upon settlement agreements.

On December 16, 2014, Sunlight moved to terminate the investigation as to Sinowell based upon a settlement agreement between Sunlight and Sinowell. That same day, Sunlight also moved to terminate the investigation as to the remaining respondents Groco Enterprises, LLČ; Good Nature Garden Supply; Aqua Serene, Inc.; Aurora Innovations, Inc.; Big Daddy Garden Supply, Inc.; Insun, LLC; Lumz'N Blooms, Ltd. Corp; ParluxAmerica LLP; and Zimbali Group, Inc., based on withdrawal of the amended complaint as to these respondents. Sunlight asserted that there are no agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the parties concerning the subject matter of this investigation, other than the confidential settlement agreement between Sunlight and Sinowell. Sunlight also asserted that granting the motions is in the public interest and will conserve the resources of the Commission. The Commission's Investigative Attorney filed responses in support of the motions.

On January 22, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 17), granting the motion to terminate the investigation as to Sinowell. The ALJ found that the settlement agreement appears to resolve the dispute between Sunlight and Sinowell, and that granting the motion would not adversely affect the public interest factors. No petitions for review were filed.

On January 27, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 18), granting the motion to terminate the investigation as to the remaining respondents. The ALJ found that no extraordinary circumstances exist that would prevent the requested termination of the remaining respondents from the investigation. The ALJ also found that the parties have complied with the requirements of Rule 210.21(a). No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the two subject IDs.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission. Issued: February 23, 2015.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015–04089 Filed 2–26–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1110—NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Approval of an Existing Collection in Use Without an OMB Control Number; FBI Expungement Form (FD-1114)

AGENCY: Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until April 28, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If

you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Rachel K. Hurst, Management and Program Analyst, FBI, CJIS, Biometric Services Section, Customer Support Unit, Module E–1, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306 (facsimile: 304–625–5392).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information

are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- —Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Approval of collection in use without an OMB control number.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* FBI Expungement Form.
 - (3) Agency form number: FD-1114.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: This form is utilized by criminal justice and affiliated judicial agencies to request appropriate removal of criminal history information from an individual's record.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: It is estimated that 152,430 respondents are authorized to complete the form which would require approximately 10 minutes.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 89,591 total annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.