

the Exchange offering a service similar to those offered by the CHX and NYSE.<sup>16</sup> Thus, the Exchange believes this proposed rule change is necessary to permit fair competition among national securities exchanges. In addition, the proposed rule change is designed to provide Members with an alternative means to access other market centers if they chose or in the event of a market disruption where other alternative connection methods become unavailable. Therefore, the Exchange does not believe the proposed rule change will have any effect on competition.

*(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others*

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Because the proposed rule change does not (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.<sup>17</sup>

A proposed rule change filed pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6) under the Act normally does not become operative for 30 days after the date of its filing. However, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. Waiver of the 30-day operative delay would permit the Exchange to provide Members with an alternative means to access other market centers, particularly in the event of a market disruption. In addition, the Exchange

represents that BATS Connect does not provide any advantage to subscribers for connecting to the Exchange's affiliates as compared to other methods of connectivity available to subscribers.<sup>18</sup> Based on the foregoing, the Commission believes the waiver of the operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest.<sup>19</sup> The Commission hereby grants the waiver and designates the proposal operative upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-BYX-2015-26 on the subject line.

*Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BYX-2015-26. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written

communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BYX-2015-26 and should be submitted on or before July 1, 2015.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>20</sup>

**Robert W. Errett,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2015-14135 Filed 6-9-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34-75106; File No. SR-C2-2015-014]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Solicitation Auction Mechanism**

June 4, 2015.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on May 27, 2015, C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated (the "Exchange" or "C2") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

<sup>16</sup> See NYSE's SFTI Americas Product and Service List available at <http://www.nyxdata.com/docs/connectivity>. See *supra* note 15.

<sup>17</sup> In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) requires the Exchange to give the Commission written notice of the Exchange's intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

<sup>18</sup> See *supra* note 8.

<sup>19</sup> For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has also considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>20</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

## I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rules 6.52 relating to the Solicitation Auction Mechanism ("SAM"). The text of the proposed rule change is provided below. (additions are *italicized*; deletions are [bracketed])

\* \* \* \* \*

### C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated Rules

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Rule 6.52. Solicitation Auction Mechanism

A Participant that represents agency orders may electronically execute orders it represents as agent ("Agency Order") against solicited orders provided it submits the Agency Order for electronic execution into the solicitation auction mechanism (the "Auction") pursuant to this Rule.

(a) Auction Eligibility Requirements. A Participant (the "Initiating Participant") may initiate an Auction provided all of the following are met:

(1) The Agency Order is in a class designated as eligible for Auctions as determined by the Exchange and within the designated Auction order eligibility size parameters as such size parameters are determined by the Exchange (however, the eligible order size may not be less than 500 standard option contracts or 5,000 mini-option contracts);

(2) Each order entered into the Auction shall be designated as all-or-none and *must be stopped with a solicited order priced at or within the NBBO as of the time of the initiation of the Auction (i.e. the time that the Agency Order is received in the System (the "initial auction NBBO")*; and

(3) The minimum price increment for an Initiating Participant's single price submission shall be determined by the Exchange on a series basis and may not be smaller than one cent.

(b) Auction Process. The Auction shall proceed as follows:

(1) Auction Period and Requests for Responses.

(A) To initiate the Auction, the Initiating Participant must mark the Agency Order for Auction processing, and specify a single price at which it seeks to cross the Agency Order with a solicited order *priced at or within the initial auction NBBO*.

(B) When the Exchange receives a properly designated Agency Order for Auction processing, a Request for Responses message indicating the price, *side*, and *size at which it seeks to cross*

*the Agency Order with a solicited order* will be sent to all Participants that have elected to receive such messages.

(C)–(G) No change.

(2) Auction Conclusion and Order Allocation. The Auction shall conclude at the sooner of subparagraphs (b)(2)(A) through (E) of Rule 6.51. At the conclusion of the Auction, the Agency Order will be automatically executed in full or cancelled and allocated subject to the following:

(A) The Agency Order will be executed against the solicited order at the proposed execution price, provided that:

(i) The execution price must be equal to or better than the *initial auction NBBO*. If the execution would take place outside the *initial auction NBBO*, the Agency Order and solicited order will be cancelled;

(ii)–(iii) No change.

. . . Interpretations and Policies:

.01–.03 No change.

\* \* \* \* \*

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange's Web site (<http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx>), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

#### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to make changes to its existing SAM auction rules in Rule 6.52. The Exchange believes that the proposed amendments would ensure greater consistency between the Exchange's SAM auction and order protection rules<sup>3</sup> and provide

<sup>3</sup> See Section E of C2 Rules Chapter 6 relating to Intermarket Linkage ("Intermarket Linkage Rule") (providing that the rules contained in Section E of CBOE Chapter IV relating to the Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan shall apply to C2).

additional clarity in the Rules regarding the Exchange's SAM Auction procedures.

Rule 6.52 permits Participants to electronically execute all-or-none ("AON") orders for 500 or more standard options contracts or 5,000 or more mini-options contracts that they represent as agent ("Agency Order") against solicited orders provided the Participant submits the Agency Order for electronic execution into SAM for auction (the "Auction") pursuant to Rule 6.52.<sup>4</sup> Under Rules 6.52(a)(2) and (b)(1)(A), each order entered into SAM shall be designated AON by the Initiating Participant with the Agency Order marked for auction processing with a specific single price at which the Initiating Participant seeks to cross the Agency Order with the solicited order. Pursuant to Rule 6.52(b)(2)(A)(i), the Agency Order will be executed against the solicited order at the proposed execution price, provided that, among other things, the execution price must be equal to or better than the C2 best bid or offer ("BBO"). If the execution would take place outside the BBO, the Agency Order and solicited order will be cancelled.<sup>5</sup>

Although Participants are subject to the Exchange's order protection rules and thus, prevented from trading through the displayed national best bid and offer ("NBBO"), including within the context of SAM auctions,<sup>6</sup> current Rule 6.52 does not specifically require Initiating Participants to stop Agency Orders at or within the NBBO or expressly prohibit Agency Orders from being executed against solicited orders at prices outside the NBBO.<sup>7</sup> In addition, current Rule 6.52 does not specify whether the Agency Order may be executed against a solicited order priced at or within the BBO as of the time that the Agency Order is received in the System,<sup>8</sup> as of the time of the beginning of the auction (*i.e.* the time when requests for responses ("RFRs")

<sup>4</sup> SAM functionality is currently inactive on the Exchange.

<sup>5</sup> See Rule 6.52(b)(2)(A)(i).

<sup>6</sup> See section E of C2 Rules Chapter 6 relating to Intermarket Linkage ("Intermarket Linkage Rule") (providing that the rules contained in section E of CBOE Chapter IV relating to the Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan shall apply to C2).

<sup>7</sup> Notably, the Exchange's other auction rules expressly provide that Initiating Participants must stop Agency Orders at or within the NBBO and prohibit Agency Orders from being executed against solicited orders at prices outside the NBBO. See Rule 6.51(b) (Automated Improvement Mechanism ("AIM")).

<sup>8</sup> See Rule 1.1 (System) (defining the term "System" to mean "the automated trading system used by the Exchange for the trading of options contracts").

are sent), or as of the time of execution.<sup>9</sup> Accordingly, the Exchange is proposing to make several clarifying amendments to Rule 6.52 to require that Agency Orders be stopped and executed at or within the NBBO and to define when the NBBO will be looked at for purposes of order protection during the SAM auction process.<sup>10</sup>

Specifically, the Exchange is proposing to amend Rules 6.52(a)(2), 6.52(b)(1)(A), and 6.52(b)(2)(A)(i) to provide that Agency Orders submitted into SAM must be stopped with a solicited order priced at or within the national best bid or offer (“NBBO”) as of the time of the initiation of the Auction (*i.e.* the time that the Agency Order is received for SAM auction processing in the System) (the “initial auction NBBO”) and that Agency Orders that are submitted for electronic execution into SAM must be executed at a price at or better than the initial auction NBBO.<sup>11</sup> Agency Orders paired against solicited orders priced outside of the NBBO that are submitted for electronic execution into SAM would be rejected by the System and cancelled by the Exchange.

The Exchange believes that requiring SAM orders to be stopped and executed at a price equal to, or better than, the NBBO as of the time of receipt of the Agency Order in the OHS is consistent with the Exchange’s Intermarket Linkage Rule. As proposed, the range of permissible crossing prices and executions would be defined based on a snapshot of the market at the time when the Agency Order is received.<sup>12</sup> This proposed rule change would thus, make clear that although the NBBO may update during the SAM auction response time (currently SAM auctions last one second),<sup>13</sup> the initial auction NBBO would be considered the NBBO for SAM auction execution purposes. Accordingly, a SAM order execution outside of the NBBO would not violate the order protection rules if the execution price were within the NBBO that existed when the Agency Order was received in the System. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule changes would promote consistency within the Rules and across the Exchange’s various

auction procedures.<sup>14</sup> The Exchange also believes that the proposed rule changes would further the interests of investors and market participants by helping to ensure best executions and protection of bids and offers across multiple exchanges.

The following example demonstrates how the Exchange’s proposal would provide order protection within the context of the SAM auction rules. Assume that the NBBO for a particular option is \$1.00–\$1.20 with quotes on both sides for 100 contracts each. The C2 BBO is \$0.95–\$1.25. The minimum increment in the class is \$0.01. An Initiating Participant submits an Agency Order to buy 500 contracts against a solicited order to sell 500 contracts into SAM priced at \$1.21. An RFR is transmitted to Participants that have elected to receive auction messages without any response. In this case, under current Rule 6.52(b)(2)(A), the Agency Order would be executable against the solicited order because the execution price of \$1.21 improves the C2 best offer price of \$1.25. Such execution, however, would be in violation of the Exchange’s order protection rules because the Agency Order would have been executed outside of the NBBO of \$1.00–\$1.20. The Exchange proposes to remedy this inconsistency in the Rules by changing references to the BBO to NBBO and defining the term “initial auction NBBO” to mean priced at or within the NBBO as of the time of the initiation of the Auction (*i.e.*, the time that the Agency Order is received in the System). Under the Exchange’s proposal, the Agency Order would be rejected by the System and cancelled by the Exchange because, at the time when the Agency Order to buy 500 contracts priced at \$1.21 was received in the System, the solicited order would have been outside of the NBBO of \$1.00–\$1.20.

The Exchange’s proposal would not, however, change the priority of public customer orders resting in the book. Assume again that the NBBO for a particular option is \$1.00–\$1.20 with quotes on both sides for 100 contracts each. Assume this time, however, that there is also a public customer order to sell 50 contracts resting in the book at \$1.20. The C2 BBO is \$0.95–\$1.20. An Initiating Participant submits an Agency Order to buy 500 contracts against a solicited order to sell 500 contracts into SAM priced at \$1.20. An RFR is

transmitted to Participants that have elected to receive auction messages with a single response to sell 150 contracts also at \$1.20. In this case, under both current Rule 6.52(b)(2)(A) and the Exchange’s proposed rule change, both the Agency Order and solicited order would be cancelled because there is a public customer order resting in the book on the opposite side of the Agency Order at the proposed price without sufficient size (considering all resting orders (*i.e.* 50), electronic quotes (*i.e.* 100), and responses (*i.e.* 150) (50 + 100 + 150 = 300)).<sup>15</sup>

The Exchange also proposes to amend Rules 6.52(b)(1)(B) to further make clear that upon receiving a properly designated Agency Order for SAM Auction processing, the Exchange’s RFR message would indicate the price, side, and size of the Agency Order that the Initiating Participant is seeking to cross. Rule 6.52(b)(1)(B) currently provides that the Exchange will send an RFR message to all Participants that have elected to receive such messages, indicating the price and size of the Agency Order that the Initiating Participant is seeking to cross, but does not currently specify that the RFR will also indicate the side (*i.e.* buy *v.* sell) of the Agency Order that the Initiating Participant is seeking to cross.<sup>16</sup> In order to add additional clarity to the Rules and in an effort to minimize confusion among market participants, the Exchange proposes to add the “side” indication requirement to the SAM auction rules. The Exchange believes that the proposed changes will provide additional clarity regarding the Exchange’s SAM auction processes and reduce the potential for confusion in the Rules.

<sup>15</sup> See Rule 6.52(b)(2)(A). Note, however, that in this example, under both the current and proposed rules, had the resting order in the book to sell 50 contracts at \$1.20 been a Market-Maker quote or order rather than a public customer order, the Agency Order to buy 500 contracts would trade against the solicited order at \$1.20 because there would not have been a public customer order in the book on the opposite side of the Agency Order and there would have been insufficient size to execute the Agency Order at a price equal to, or better than, the initial auction NBBO. See Rules 6.52(b)(2)(A)(ii)–(iii).

<sup>16</sup> The Exchange’s other auction rules require the side of the Agency Order to be indicated in the RFR. See, *e.g.*, Rule 6.51(b)(1)(B), Automated Improvement Mechanism, which provides that the Initiating Participant must expressly disclose the side of the Agency Order that it seeks to cross. (“When the Exchange receives a properly designated Agency Order for Auction processing, a Request for Responses (“RFR”) detailing the side and size of the order will be sent to all Participants that have elected to receive RFRs.” Emphasis added.)

<sup>9</sup> SAM auction functionality is not active on C2.

<sup>10</sup> Any future activation of SAM will be announced via Regulatory Circular prior to activation.

<sup>11</sup> The Exchange believes that its proposal to consider the NBBO as of the time that the Agency Order is received in the System for purposes of the entire auction period (*i.e.* 1 second) is consistent with order protection principles.

<sup>12</sup> See *Id.*

<sup>13</sup> See Rule 6.52(b)(1)(C).

<sup>14</sup> The Exchange also notes that the proposed order protection rule changes are consistent with similar electronic price improvement auction rules of other exchanges. See, *e.g.*, BOX Rule 7270(b)(2)(i) (Block Trades).

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of section 6(b) of the Act.<sup>17</sup> Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the section 6(b)(5)<sup>18</sup> requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the section 6(b)(5)<sup>19</sup> requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

In particular, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes would ensure further consistency within the Exchange's Rules. The Exchange also believes that the proposed rule changes would further the objectives of the Act to protect investors by promoting the intermarket price protection goals of the Exchange's order protection rules and the Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan.<sup>20</sup> The Exchange believes its proposal would help ensure intermarket competition across all exchanges, aid in preventing intermarket trade-throughs, and facilitate compliance with best execution practices. The Exchange believes that these objectives are consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of section 11A of the Act. In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule changes will clarify the manner in which orders are submitted into the SAM auction process and reduce the potential for confusion

in the Rules. The Exchange believes that providing additional clarity to its Rules furthers the goal of promoting transparency in markets, which is in the best interests of market participants and the general public and consistent with the Act.

### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Rather, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule would bolster intermarket competition by promoting fair competition among individual markets, while at the same time assuring that market participants receive the benefits of markets that are linked together, through facilities and rules, in a unified system, which promotes interaction among the orders of buyers and sellers. The Exchange believes its proposal would help ensure intermarket competition across all exchanges, aid in preventing intermarket trade-throughs, and facilitate compliance with best execution practices. In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would help promote fair and orderly markets by helping to ensure compliance with the order protection rules. Thus, the Exchange does not believe the proposal creates any significant impact on competition.

### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

The Exchange neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

- (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;
- (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and
- (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act<sup>21</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)<sup>22</sup> thereunder.

<sup>21</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>22</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

## IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

### *Electronic comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-C2-2015-014 on the subject line.

### *Paper comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-C2-2015-014. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from

change, or such short time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

<sup>17</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>18</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>19</sup> *Id.*

<sup>20</sup> See generally File No. 4-546: Proposed Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan by BSE, CBOE, ISE, Nasdaq, NYSE Arca, NYSEALTR, and Phlx; File No. 4-546: Amendment No. 1 to Proposed Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan by CBOE (Nov. 21, 2008); see also Securities and Exchange Act Release No. 34-43086 (July 28, 2000), 65 FR 48023 (August 4, 2000) (Order Approving Options Intermarket Linkage Plan) (File No. 4-429).

submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-C2-2015-014 and should be submitted on or before July 1, 2015.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>23</sup>

**Robert W. Errett,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2015-14133 Filed 6-9-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-75104; File No. SR-ISE-2014-24]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; International Securities Exchange, LLC; Notice of Designation of Longer Period for Commission Action on Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove a Proposed Rule Change To Modify the Opening Process

June 4, 2015.

On November 19, 2014, International Securities Exchange, LLC (“Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) <sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to modify the manner in which the Exchange’s trading system opens trading at the beginning of the day and after trading halts and to codify certain existing functionality within the trading system regarding opening and reopening of options classes traded on the Exchange. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on December 10, 2014.<sup>3</sup> On January 23, 2015, the Commission extended the time period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change, to March 10, 2015.<sup>4</sup> On March 10, 2015, the Commission instituted proceedings under section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act <sup>5</sup> to determine whether to approve or

disapprove the proposed rule change.<sup>6</sup> On May 13, 2015, the Commission received a letter from the Exchange responding to the Order Instituting Proceedings.<sup>7</sup> The Commission received one other comment letter on the proposed rule change.<sup>8</sup>

Section 19(b)(2) of the Act provides that proceedings to determine whether to disapprove a proposed rule change must be concluded within 180 days of the date of publication of notice of the filing of the proposed rule change.<sup>9</sup> The time for conclusion of the proceedings may be extended for up to 60 days if the Commission determines that a longer period is appropriate and publishes the reasons for such determination.<sup>10</sup> The 180th day for this filing is June 8, 2015.

The Commission is extending the time period for Commission action on the proposed rule change. The Commission finds that it is appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider the comment letters and take action on the Exchange’s proposed rule change.

Accordingly, pursuant to section 19(b)(2)(B)(ii)(II) of the Act <sup>11</sup> and for the reasons stated above, the Commission designates August 7, 2015, as the date by which the Commission should either approve or disapprove the proposed rule change (File No. SR-ISE-2014-24).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>12</sup>

**Robert W. Errett,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2015-14131 Filed 6-9-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-75107; File No. SR-BATS-2015-40]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; BATS Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Adopt Rule 13.8 Describing a Communication and Routing Service Known as BATS Connect

June 4, 2015.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on May 27, 2015, BATS Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BATS”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act <sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder,<sup>4</sup> which renders it effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is proposing to adopt Rule 13.8 to describe a communication and routing service known as BATS Connect. The proposed rule change is based on an identical service offered by the Exchange’s affiliate, EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“EDGX”).<sup>5</sup>

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange’s Web site at [www.batstrading.com](http://www.batstrading.com), at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed

<sup>6</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 74465 (March 10, 2015), 80 FR 13660 (March 16, 2015) (“Order Instituting Proceedings”).

<sup>7</sup> See Letter to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Commission, from Mike Simon, Secretary and General Counsel, dated May 13, 2015 (“ISE Letter”).

<sup>8</sup> See Letter to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Commission, from Benjamin Londergan, Head of Options Trading and Technology, Convergenx Execution Solutions LLC, dated June 1, 2015.

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B)(ii)(I).

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B)(ii)(II).

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B)(ii)(III).

<sup>12</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(57).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

<sup>5</sup> See EDGX Rule 13.9. See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 73780 (December 8, 2014), 79 FR 73942 (December 12, 2014) (SR-EDGX-2014-28); and 74935 (May 12, 2015), 80 FR 28335 (May 18, 2015) (SR-EDGX-2015-19).

<sup>23</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 73736 (December 4, 2014), 79 FR 73354.

<sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 74126 (January 23, 2015), 80 FR 4953 (January 29, 2015).

<sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).