

the lowest adjustment for any party in the companion CVD investigation.¹⁰

Pursuant to 777A(f) of the Act, we are also adjusting preliminary cash deposit rates for estimated domestic subsidy pass-through, where appropriate. We will adjust the Xingyu Group's by 0.91 percent, but we are not adjusting the rate for the FEIS Group because it failed to justify such an adjustment. We are adjusting the rates for each of the other separate rate companies by 1.83 percent. Finally, we are not adjusting the PRC-wide entity's rate for estimated domestic subsidy pass-through.¹¹

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

Section 735(a)(2) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination by the Department, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination by the Department, a request for such postponement is made by the petitioner. 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2) requires that requests by respondents for postponement of a final antidumping determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not more than six months in duration.

In a joint letter dated September 30, 2015, Xingyu, Xingye, Dragon, Hainan Yisheng Petrochemical Co., Ltd., Zhejiang Wankai New Materials Co., Ltd., and Shanghai Hengyi Polyester Fiber Co., Ltd. requested that, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination in this investigation, the Department postpone its final determination by 60 days (*i.e.*, to 135 days after publication of the preliminary determination) pursuant to section 735(a)(2)(A) and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), and agreed to extend the application of the provisional measures prescribed under section 733(d) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2), from a four-month period to a period not to exceed six months.¹² In a letter dated October 2, 2015, FEIS requested the same.¹³

In accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR

351.210(b)(2)(ii) and (e)(2), because (1) our preliminary determination is affirmative; (2) the requesting exporters account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are postponing the final determination and extending the provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not greater than six months. Accordingly, we will make our final determination no later than 135 days after the date of publication of this preliminary determination, pursuant to section 735(a)(2) of the Act.¹⁴

International Trade Commission (ITC) Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our affirmative preliminary determination of sales at LTFV. Because the preliminary determination in this proceeding is affirmative, section 735(b)(2) of the Act requires that the ITC make its final determination whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of PET resin from the PRC before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after our final determination. Because we are postponing the deadline for our final determination to 135 days from the date of publication of this preliminary determination, as discussed above, the ITC will make its final determination no later than 45 days after our final determination.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

Dated: October 6, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum:

- Summary
- Background
- Initiation
- Period of Investigation
- Postponement of Preliminary and Final Determinations
- Scope of the Investigation
- Scope Comments
- Product Characteristics
- Selection of Respondents
- Discussion of the Methodology
 - Non-Market Economy Country
 - Surrogate Country and Surrogate Value Comments
 - Separate Rates
 - Margin for the Separate Rate Companies
 - Combination Rates
 - The PRC-wide Entity

¹⁴ See also 19 CFR 351.210(e).

Application of Facts Available and Adverse Inferences
 Affiliation/Single Entity
 Date of Sale
 Fair Value Comparisons
 Export Price
 Value-Added Tax
 Normal Value
 Factor Valuation Methodology
 Comparisons to Normal Value
 Currency Conversion
 Verification
 Adjustments for Countervailable Subsidies
 International Trade Commission Notification
 Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2015-26264 Filed 10-14-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-978]

Certain High Pressure Steel Cylinders From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain high pressure steel cylinders (HPSC) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for the period of review (POR) January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014, based on the timely withdrawal of the request for review.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 15, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Kennedy, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-7883.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 1, 2015, the Department published the notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the order on HPSC from PRC for the period of review January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014.¹ On June 15, 2015, Norris Cylinder Company (Norris) requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of Beijing Tianhai Industry Co., Ltd. (BTIC).² On

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 80 FR 31017 (June 1, 2015).

² See Letter from Norris, "High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China

¹⁰ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² See letter to the Secretary dated September 30, 2015.

¹³ See letter to the Secretary dated October 2, 2015.

June 30, 2015, BTIC requested an administrative review of its POR sales.³ Pursuant to the requests and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), the Department published a notice initiating an administrative review of BTIC.⁴ On September 9, 2015, both Norris and BTIC timely withdrew their requests for an administrative review of BTIC.⁵

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party or parties that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation of the requested review. As noted above, both Norris and BTIC withdrew their requests, and they did so within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation. No other parties requested an administrative review of the order. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review in its entirety.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries of HPSC from PRC. CVDs shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated CVDs required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice of rescission of administrative review.

Notifications

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in

Request for Administrative Review,” dated June 15, 2015.

³ See Letter from BTIC, “Request for the Third Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China, C-570-978 (POR:01/01/14-12/31/14),” dated June 30, 2015.

⁴ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 45947 (August 3, 2015).

⁵ See Letter from Norris, “Withdrawal of Request for an Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China,” dated September 9, 2015; Letter from BTIC, “Withdrawal of Review Request in the Third Administrative Review of Countervailing Duty Order on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China,” dated September 9, 2015.

accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: October 8, 2015.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2015-26281 Filed 10-14-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-836]

Glycine From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 15, 2015.

SUMMARY: On April 8, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People’s Republic of China (PRC).¹ We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information we received, we made changes to the margin calculation for Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co., Ltd. (Baoding Mantong) for these final results. The final antidumping duty margin for Baoding Mantong for this review is listed in the “Final Results of Review” section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dena Crossland or Angelica Townshend, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3362 or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

¹ See *Glycine from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Intent to Rescind, In Part; 2013–2014*, 80 FR 18814 (April 8, 2015) (*Preliminary Results*).

Background

On April 8, 2015, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. A summary of the events that occurred since the Department published the *Preliminary Results* may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum accompanying this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the memorandum are identical in content.

Final Partial Rescission of Review

In our *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily rescinded the review with respect to Evonik.³ For the *Final Results*, we are continuing to rescind the administrative review with respect to Evonik.⁴

Period of Review

The period of review (POR) is March 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this antidumping duty order is glycine, which is a free-flowing crystalline material, like salt or sugar. Glycine is currently classified under subheading 2922.49.4020 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.⁵

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review

² See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations entitled “Glycine from the People’s Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014” dated October 5, 2015 (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See *Preliminary Results*.

⁴ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 6.

⁵ For a full description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.