

accordance with the amended final results of this review. If the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.⁷ The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these amended final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirement will be effective July 19, 2016, for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after that date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act. For Anying, which had no reviewable transactions during the POR, the cash deposit rate will remain unchanged from the rate assigned in the most recently completed review of the company.

Notifications to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 35.1305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

This correction to the final results of administrative review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i)(2)(i) of the Act, and 19

CFR 351.224(e) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: February 6, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017-02789 Filed 2-9-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-904]

Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review and Notice of Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Court of International Trade (CIT or Court) sustained the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) second remand results pertaining to the sixth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain activated carbon from the People's Republic of China (PRC) covering the period of April 1, 2012, through March 31, 2013. The Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the final results of the administrative review, and that the Department is amending the final results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* February 6, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Palmer, AD/CVD Operations Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-9068.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 25, 2014, the Department issued *AR6 Final Results*.¹ The petitioners² and Carbon Activated Corporation (Carbon Activated), a U.S. importer of subject merchandise, challenged certain aspects of *AR6 Final Results*. The petitioners challenged the Department's final results regarding the

surrogate value (SV) used to value the mandatory respondents'³ anthracite coal. Carbon Activated challenged several aspects of the Department's final results as they pertained to Shanxi DMD Corporation (Shanxi DMD), which supplied Carbon Activated's imports of subject merchandise and was found to be part of the PRC-wide entity in *AR6 Final Results*. On January 20, 2016, the Court in *Calgon I* remanded the Department's *AR6 Final Results* and instructed the Department to reconsider its selection of the anthracite coal SV, and directed the Department to "assign Shanxi DMD the all-others rate."⁴

On May 25, 2016, the Department filed Remand I with the Court.⁵ Based on *Calgon I*, which had ordered the Department to "reconsider its selection of an SV for anthracite coal" in *AR6 Final Results*, and based on the Department's finding that there were multiple SVs of equal reliability for anthracite coal on the record, the Department determined to select the anthracite coal SV based on which secondary surrogate country was the most significant producer of comparable merchandise.⁶ As a result of relying on significant production of comparable merchandise in Remand I, the Department valued anthracite coal using contemporaneous SV data from Thailand.⁷ Accordingly, the margins for Cherishmet and Jacobi (the mandatory respondents) were revised to \$0.52/kilogram (kg) and to \$0.51/kg, respectively.⁸

Additionally, we recalculated the margin for those separate rate companies whose entries were subject to this litigation using the same method we used in *AR6 Final Results*.⁹ Thus, we calculated a weighted-average margin of \$0.51/kg based on the publicly ranged U.S. sales quantities of the mandatory respondents.¹⁰ The

³ The mandatory respondents are Jacobi Carbons AB (Jacobi) and Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (Cherishmet).

⁴ See *Calgon Carbon Corp. v. United States*, 145 F. Supp. 3d 1312, 1322-23, 1326-29 (CIT 2016) (*Calgon I*).

⁵ See *Calgon Carbon Corp. et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14-00326, Slip Op. 16-4, Final Results Of Redetermination Pursuant To Court Remand, dated May 25, 2016, (Remand I).

⁶ See Remand I at 15-17, 31-36.

⁷ *Id.* at 15-17, 31-35.

⁸ *Id.* at 49.

⁹ *Id.* at 50-51. Specifically, in *AR6 Final Results*, we calculated the separate rate by using the ranged total sales quantities reported by the mandatory respondents from the public versions of their submissions to calculate a weighted-average margin because we found that methodology is more appropriate than calculating a simple average of the mandatory respondents' margins. See *AR6 Final Results*, 79 FR at 70164.

¹⁰ See Remand I at 50-51.

⁷ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

¹ Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013, 79 FR 70163 (November 25, 2014) (*AR6 Final Results*) and accompanying Issues and Decisions Memorandum (IDM).

² Calgon Carbon Corporation and Cabot Norit Americas (collectively, the petitioners).

separate rate companies that received this revised rate in Remand I were: (1) Calgon Carbon (Tianjin) Co., Ltd. (Calgon Tianjin); (2) Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (Juqiang); (3) Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (Yunguang); (4) Jilin Bright Future Chemicals Company, Ltd. (Jilin Bright); (5) Ningxia Huahui Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (Huahui); (6) Ningxia Mineral and Chemical Limited (Ningxia Mineral); (7) Shanxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd. (Sincere); and (8) Tianjin Channel Filters Co., Ltd. (Tianjin Channel).¹¹ Finally, in Remand I, and under protest, the Department assigned Shanxi DMD the separate rate of \$0.51/kg, which the Department explained “will pertain to entries during the period of review that were exported from the PRC to the United States by Shanxi DMD and imported by Carbon Activated.”¹²

On November 18, 2016, the Court in *Calgon II* sustained the Department’s assignment of a separate rate to Shanxi DMD, but again remanded to the Department its SV selection for anthracite coal.¹³ Although the Court in *Calgon II* held that the Department’s “finding that the Thai SV is reliable {,} is reasonable and supported by substantial evidence,”¹⁴ the Court nonetheless found that the Department’s determination to select significant production over import volumes as the methodology for selecting the anthracite coal SV was not supported by substantial evidence. As a result, the Court remanded the matter and ordered the Department “to reconsider its selection of an SV for anthracite coal, . . . by either further explaining its selection methodology and basing that explanation on the record evidence or by choosing its other selection methodology based on import volume.”¹⁵

On January 3, 2017, the Department filed Remand II with the Court.¹⁶ The Department relied on the quantity of imports of anthracite coal to select a SV among the potential SV sources for that input that are equally reliable. As a result, the Department revised its SV choice and relied on a SV from South Africa to value the mandatory respondents’ anthracite coal factor of production.¹⁷ Consequently, Cherishmet’s¹⁸ and Jacobi’s¹⁹ final margins were revised to \$0.28/kg and \$0.18/kg, respectively.²⁰ The separate rate was revised to \$0.22/kg for: (1) Calgon Tianjin; (2) Juqiang; (3) Yunguang; (4) Jilin Bright; (5) Huahui; (6) Ningxia Mineral; (7) Sincere; and (8) Tianjin Channel.²¹ The Department used the same methodology for calculating the separate rate that was

¹⁶ See *Calgon Carbon Corp. et al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14–00326, Slip Op. 16–107, Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, dated December 29, 2016 (Remand II).

¹⁷ *Id.* at 5–6.

¹⁸ In the first administrative review, the Department found that Beijing Pacific Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd., Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd., and Ningxia Guanghua Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. should be treated as a single entity pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f), and, because there were no changes to the facts which supported that decision, we continued to find these companies to be part of a single entity in subsequent reviews. Because there have been no changes to the facts that supported that decision in *AR6 Final Results*, we continued to treat the companies as a single entity in Remand II as well, as we did in Remand I. See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Extension of Time Limits for the Final Results*, 74 FR 21317, 21319 (May 7, 2009), unchanged in *First Administrative Review of Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 57995, 57998 (November 10, 2009).

¹⁹ In the third administrative review, the Department found that Jacobi, Tianjin Jacobi International Trading Co. Ltd., and Jacobi Carbons Industry (Tianjin) should be treated as a single entity pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f), and, because there were no changes to the facts which supported that decision, we continued to find these companies part of a single entity in the fourth and fifth administrative reviews. Because there have been no changes to the facts that supported that decision in *AR6 Final Results*, we continued to treat the companies as a single entity in Remand II as well, as we did in Remand I. See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 67142, 67145 n.25 (October 31, 2011); see also *Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: 2010–2011; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 67337, 67338 n.22 (November 9, 2012).

²⁰ See Remand II at 6–7.

²¹ See Remand II at 8.

used in *AR6 Final Results* and Remand I, discussed above. Finally, because the Court held in *Calgon II* that “any resulting changes to the value of the separate rate should be reflected in the rate ultimately assigned to Shanxi DMD,”²² the Department assigned Shanxi DMD the revised separate rate of \$0.22/kg, “which will only pertain to entries during the period of review that were exported from the People’s Republic of China (‘PRC’) to the United States by Shanxi DMD and imported by Carbon Activated.”²³ On January 27, 2017, the Court sustained Remand II in *Calgon III*.²⁴

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,²⁵ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,²⁶ the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not “in harmony” with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The Court’s January 27, 2017, judgment in *Calgon III* constitutes a final decision of the Court that is not in harmony with the Department’s *AR6 Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirement of *Timken*. Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise at issue pending expiration of the period to appeal or, if appealed, a final and conclusive court decision.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision, the Department amends *AR6 Final Results* with respect to the companies identified below. Based on Remand II, as affirmed by the Court in *Calgon III*, the revised weighted-average dumping margins for the companies listed below during the period April 1, 2012, through March 31, 2013, are as follows:

²² See *Calgon II* at 8–9.

²³ See Remand II at 8–9.

²⁴ See *Calgon Carbon Corp. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14–00326, Slip Op. 17–6 (CIT January 27, 2017) (*Calgon III*).

²⁵ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337, 341 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

²⁶ See *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² *Id.* at 17–20, 49–50, 51. The Department also explained that, although the Court ordered the Department to assign Shanxi DMD the “all-others rate,” the Department assigned Shanxi DMD the separate rate because “the Department understands the Court as ordering the assignment of the separate rate to Shanxi DMD.” *Id.* at 19–20.

¹³ See *Calgon Carbon Corp. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 14–00326, Slip Op. 16–107 (CIT November 18, 2016) (*Calgon II*).

¹⁴ *Id.* at 23.

¹⁵ *Id.* at 24–32.

| Exporter | Weighted-average dumping margins (dollars per kilogram) ²⁷ |
|--|---|
| Jacobi Carbons AB | 0.18 |
| Ningxia Guanhua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd | 0.28 |
| Calgon Carbon (Tianjin) Co., Ltd | 0.22 |
| Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd | 0.22 |
| Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd | 0.22 |
| Jilin Bright Future Chemicals Company, Ltd | 0.22 |
| Ningxia Huahui Activated Carbon Co., Ltd | 0.22 |
| Ningxia Mineral and Chemical Limited | 0.22 |
| Shanxi DMD Corporation ²⁸ | 0.22 |
| Shanxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd | 0.22 |
| Tianjin Channel Filters Co., Ltd | 0.22 |

In the event that the CIT's rulings are not appealed or, if appealed, are upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise based on the revised dumping margins listed above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because there have been subsequent administrative reviews for the companies identified above, the cash deposit rates will remain the rates established in the most recently-completed *AR8 Final Results*, which are \$1.76/kg and \$0.02 for Jacobi and Juqiang, respectively, and \$1.36/kg for Calgon Tianjin, Cherishmet, Yunguang, Jilin Bright, Huahui, Ningxia Mineral, Sincere, Shanxi DMD, and Tianjin Channel.²⁹

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e)(1), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 6, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017-02791 Filed 2-9-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

²⁷ In the second administrative review, the Department determined that it would calculate per-unit assessment and cash deposit rates for all future reviews. See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 70208, 70211 (November 17, 2010); see also *AR6 Final Results*, 79 FR at 70165 n.29.

²⁸ As discussed above, this rate "will only pertain to entries during the period of review that were exported from the People's Republic of China ('PRC') to the United States by Shanxi DMD and imported by Carbon Activated." See *Remand II* at 8-9.

²⁹ See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2014-2015, 81 FR 62088, 62089 (September 8, 2016).

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Procurement List; Additions and Deletions

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Additions to and Deletions from the Procurement List.

SUMMARY: This action adds products and a service to the Procurement List that will be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities, and deletes products from the Procurement List previously furnished by such agencies.

DATES: *Effective Date:* 3/12/2017.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, 1401 S. Clark Street, Suite 715, Arlington, Virginia, 22202-4149.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy B. Jensen, Telephone: (703) 603-7740, Fax: (703) 603-0655, or email CMTEFedReg@AbilityOne.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Additions

On 11/28/2016 (81 FR 85538-85540) and 12/30/2016 (81 FR 96442-96443), the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notices of proposed additions to the Procurement List.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the products and services and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the products and service listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 8501-8506 and 41 CFR 51-2.4.

Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the products and service to the Government.

2. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the products and service to the Government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 8501-8506) in connection with the products and service proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

End of Certification

Accordingly, the following products and services are added to the Procurement List:

Products

NSN(s)—Product Name(s):

9905-00-NIB-0376—Flag, Marking, 2-1/2" x 3-1/2", 21" Staff, Fluorescent Orange
9905-00-NIB-0377—Flag, Marking, 2-1/2" x 3-1/2", 21" Staff, Fluorescent Pink
9905-00-NIB-0378—Flag, Marking, 2-1/2" x 3-1/2", 21" Staff, Orange
9905-00-NIB-0379—Flag, Marking, 2-1/2" x 3-1/2", 21" Staff, Red
9905-00-NIB-0380—Flag, Marking, 2-1/2" x 3-1/2", 21" Staff, Yellow
9905-00-NIB-0384—Flag, Marking, 2-1/2" x 3-1/2", 15" Staff, Yellow
9905-00-NIB-0386—Flag, Marking, 2-1/2" x 3-1/2", 15" Staff, Red
9905-00-NIB-0387—Flag, Marking, 2-1/2" x 3-1/2", 15" Staff, Orange
9905-00-NIB-0389—Flag, Marking, 4" x 5", 21" Staff, Fluorescent Orange
9905-00-NIB-0390—Flag, Marking, 4" x 5", 21" Staff, Fluorescent Pink
9905-00-NIB-0391—Flag, Marking, 4" x 5", 21" Staff, Orange
9905-00-NIB-0392—Flag, Marking, 4" x 5", 21" Staff, Red
9905-00-NIB-0393—Flag, Marking, 4" x 5", 21" Staff, Yellow