

services, as well as on international trade and investment flows associated with digital products and services related to significant business-to-business technologies.

The Commission expects to deliver this second report to the USTR by October 29, 2018.

As requested by the USTR, the Commission's report on the third investigation, titled *Global Digital Trade 3: The Business-to-Consumer Market, Key Foreign Trade Restrictions, and U.S. Competitiveness*, will build on the first and second reports to:

- Provide qualitative, and to the extent possible, quantitative analysis of measures in key foreign markets (identified in the first report) that affect the ability of U.S. firms to develop and/or supply business-to-consumer digital products and services abroad; and
- Assess, using case studies or other qualitative and quantitative methods, the impact of these measures on the competitiveness of U.S. firms engaged in the sale of digital products and services, as well as on international trade and investment flows associated with digital products and services related to significant business-to-consumer technologies.

The Commission expects to deliver this third report to the USTR by March 29, 2019.

**Public Hearing, Written Submissions:** The Commission expects to hold a public hearing in the spring of 2018 in connection with the second and third investigations. The Commission will announce the time and place in a later notice.

The Commission will also provide opportunity for interested members of the public to file written submissions in connection with the second and third investigations. The Commission will announce the time and procedures relating to the filing of those written submissions in a later notice. The Commission will also identify in that notice any particular issues or subject areas that it would like members of the public to address in their written submissions or in hearing testimony.

**Portions of the Second and Third Reports to be Classified as National Security Information and be Subject to the Deliberative Process Privilege:** In his letter requesting the investigations, the USTR indicated that portions of the Commission's second and third reports containing the Commission's analysis of the impact of foreign barriers to digital trade on (1) U.S. imports and exports of digital products and services and (2) the competitiveness of U.S. companies will be classified on the basis that those portions concern economic matters

relating to national security that impact USTR negotiation and enforcement priorities. USTR also indicated that it intends to treat the Commission's second and third reports as interagency memoranda containing predecisional advice subject to the deliberative process privilege.

In his request letter, the USTR indicated that his office intends to make the Commission's first report in this series available to the public in its entirety.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 2, 2017.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017-09180 Filed 5-5-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1055]

### Certain Mirrors With Internal Illumination and Components Thereof; Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on March 8, 2017, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Electric Mirror, LLC of Everett, Washington and Kelvin 42 LLC of Pensacola, Florida. A supplement was filed on March 24, 2017, and an amended complaint was filed on April 21, 2017. The complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mirrors with internal illumination and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,853,414 ("the '414 patent") and U.S. Patent No. 7,559,668 ("the '668 patent"). The amended complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the

Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of the Secretary, Docket Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-1802.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2017).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 1, 2017, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain mirrors with internal illumination and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 4, 9, 14, and 18 of the '414 patent and claims 1-6, 8, and 14-16 of the '668 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Electric Mirror, LLC, 6101 Associated Boulevard Everett, WA 98203.  
Kelvin 42 LLC, 38 South Blue Angel Parkway #176, Pensacola, FL 32506.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Lumidesign Inc., 55 West Beaver Creek Road, Unit 34, Richmond Hill, Ontario L4B 1K5, Canada.

Majestic Mirrors & Frame, LLC, 7425 NW 79th Street, Miami, FL 33166.  
Project Light, LLC (d/b/a Project Light, Inc., Prospetto Light, LLC and/or Prospetto Lighting, LLC), 4976 Hudson Drive.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Dated: May 2, 2017.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017-09205 Filed 5-5-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-410 (Fourth Review)]

### Light-Walled Rectangular (LWR) Pipe and Tube From Taiwan; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on light-walled rectangular (LWR) pipe and tube from Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**DATES:** Effective April 10, 2017.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Drew Dushkes (202-205-3229), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.**—On April 10, 2017, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (82 FR 137, January 3, 2017) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**Staff report.**—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on June 23, 2017, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter,

<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

**Written submissions.**—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,<sup>2</sup> and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before June 28, 2017 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by June 28, 2017. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules with respect to filing were revised effective July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the Commission's Web site at [https://www.usitc.gov/secretary/documents/handbook\\_on\\_filing\\_procedures.pdf](https://www.usitc.gov/secretary/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Determination.**—The Commission has determined this review is extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

<sup>2</sup> The Commission has found the responses submitted by Allied Tube and Conduit; Atlas Tube; Bull Moose Tube Company; California Steel and Tube; Hannibal Industries, Inc.; Maruichi Industries Corporation; Searing Industries; and Western Tube & Conduit Corporation to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).