outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov. If your material cannot be submitted using http://www.regulations.gov, contact the person in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, visit http://

www.regulations.gov/privacyNotice.
Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at http://www.regulations.gov and can be viewed by following that Web site's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6 and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165. T07–0577 to read as follows:

§ 165.T07-0577 Safety Zone, Blue Angels Air Show; St. Johns River, Jacksonville, FL

(a) Regulated Area. The following area is a safety zone located on the St. Johns River in Jacksonville, FL. All waters of the St. Johns River encompassed within an imaginary line connecting the following points: Starting at Point 1 in position 30°13′41″ N.; 081°39′45″ W., thence due east to Point 2 in position 30°13″41″ N.; 081°38′35″ W., thence south to Point 3 in position 30°14′27″ N.; 081°38″35″ W., thence west to Point

4 in position 30°14′27″ N., 081°39′45″ W., thence following the shoreline north back to the point of origin. These coordinates are based on North American Datum 1983.

(b) Definition. The term "designated representative" means Coast Guard Patrol Commanders, including Coast Guard coxswains, petty officers, and other officers operating Coast Guard vessels, and Federal, state, and local officers designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Jacksonville in the enforcement of the regulated area.

(c) Regulations. (1) All persons and vessels are prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the regulated area unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Jacksonville or a designated representative.

(2) Persons and vessels desiring to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area may contact the Captain of the Port Jacksonville by telephone at (904) 714–7557, or a designated representative via VHF–FM radio on channel 16, to request authorization. If authorization is granted, all persons and vessels receiving such authorization must comply with the instructions of the COTP Jacksonville or a designated representative.

(3) The Coast Guard will provide notice of the regulated area through Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM channel 16 or by on-scene designated representatives.

(d) Enforcement Period. This rule will be enforced daily from 8 a.m. until 5 p.m. from November 3, 2017 through November 5, 2017.

Dated: July 27, 2017.

T.C. Wiemers,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Jacksonville.

[FR Doc. 2017–16177 Filed 7–31–17; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 4

RIN 2900-AP88

Schedule for Rating Disabilities; Musculoskeletal System and Muscle Injuries

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) proposes to revise the regulations that involve the Musculoskeletal System within the VA

Schedule for Rating Disabilities ("VASRD" or "Rating Schedule"). VA proposes to rename certain diagnostic codes, revise rating criteria, give new rating guidance, add new codes, and remove obsolete codes. These revisions would incorporate medical terminology more recent than the last comprehensive review, as well as simplify the rating process.

DATES: Comments must be received by VA on or before October 2, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted through www.Regulations.gov; by mail or handdelivery to Director, Regulations Management (00REG), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Ave. NW., Room 1068, Washington, DC 20420; or by fax to (202) 273-9026. (This is not a toll-free number.) Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to "RIN 2900-AP88—Schedule for Rating Disabilities; Musculoskeletal System and Muscle Injuries." Copies of comments received will be available for public inspection in the Office of Regulation Policy and Management, Room 1063B, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (except holidays). Please call (202) 461-4902 for an appointment. (This is not a toll-free number.) In addition, during the comment period, comments may be viewed online through the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) at www.Regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary Reynolds, M.D., Regulations Staff (211C), Compensation Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20420, (202) 461–9700. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Defense Authorization Act of 2004, secs. 1501-07, Public Law 108-136, 117 Stat. 1392, established the Veterans' Disability Benefits Commission (the "Commission"). Section 1502 of Public Law 108–136 mandated the Commission to study ways to improve the disability compensation system for military veterans. The Commission consulted with the Institute of Medicine (IOM) to review the medical aspects of current compensation policies. In 2007, the IOM released its report titled "A 21st Century System for Evaluating Veterans for Disability Benefits." (Michael McGeary et al. eds.2007).

The IOM report was notable in several respects. The IOM observed that, in part, the Rating Schedule was inadequate in areas because it contained obsolete information and did not

sufficiently integrate current and accepted diagnostic procedures. In addition, the IOM observed that the current body system organization of the Rating Schedule does not reflect current knowledge of the relationships between conditions and comorbidities.

Following the release of the IOM report, VA created a musculoskeletal system workgroup. The goals adopted by the workgroup were to: (1) Improve and update the process that VA uses to assign levels of disability after it grants service connection; (2) improve the fairness in adjudicating disability benefits for service-connected veterans; and (3) invite public participation. The workgroup was co-chaired by the Veterans Health Administration and Veterans Benefits Administration. The workgroup was comprised of subject matter experts from VA, the Department of Defense, and medical academia. The workgroup held a public forum in Washington, DC, during August 2010, where it discussed current regulations and possible revisions. The workgroup held a second public forum in Washington, DC, during June 2012, where it shared a draft proposal for comment.

The workgroup met periodically during and after the public forums to continue its revision efforts. The regulation-drafting phase, which began in April 2012, continues through the publication of this proposed rule. With this rulemaking, VA proposes to remove obsolete diagnostic codes, modernize the names of selected diagnostic codes, revise descriptions and criteria, and add new diagnostic codes.

The Focus of This Revision

Consistent with the IOM's recommendations, the proposed amendments rename conditions to reflect current medicine, remove obsolete conditions, clarify ambiguities in existing rating criteria, and add conditions that previously did not have diagnostic codes. However, VA experienced greater difficulty revising existing rating criteria in many areas. After significant time and research, since an earnings loss study had not been conducted in time to be considered during the workgroup and rule-drafting phases, VA concluded there was only a narrow set of circumstances where the medical literature clearly supported the proposed changes in the absence of earnings loss information.

As such, VA modified the approach recommended by the IOM for this body system. Only peer-reviewed articles where at least one measureable proxy for reduced earnings capacity was studied were deemed acceptable to justify a reduction in the level or duration of ratings for specific conditions (e.g., time to return to work, activity limitations related to work, and/or participation restriction(s) from work-related tasks). Therefore, at this time, VA proposes changes to only two codes (diagnostic codes 5054 and 5055) where the criteria changes would result in such a reduction.

I. Proposed Changes to § 4.71a

A. Nomenclature Changes to Existing Diagnostic Codes: 5003, 5012–15, 5023, 5024 and 5242

In its review of the musculoskeletal body system, VA identified a number of diagnostic codes (DCs) with terms that are outdated or unclear. As such, it proposes to retitle these DCs to reflect current medical practice and nomenclature. There are no proposed substantive changes to the rating criteria for these eight DCs.

VA proposes to retitle DC 5003, currently "Arthritis, degenerative (hypertrophic or osteoarthritis)" as "Degenerative arthritis, other than post-traumatic." No other language or criteria changes are proposed for this diagnostic code.

Current DCs 5012 and 5015 refer to "Bones, new growths of, malignant" and "Bones, new growths of, benign," respectively. VA proposes to replace the term "new growths of" in these DCs with the current medical term, "neoplasm." See S. Terry Canale and James H. Beaty, Campbell's Operative Orthopedics 859-86 (benign) and 909-45 (malignant) (12th ed. 2013). DC 5012 would be titled "Bones, neoplasm, malignant, primary or secondary" to indicate that both primary and secondary neoplasms are rated under this DC to ensure consistent and accurate evaluation. Non-substantive revisions to the language in the note under DC 5012 are also proposed; specifically, VA proposes to add the term "prescribed" to the phrase "therapeutic procedure" to ensure that readers understand VA will only consider medically-directed therapy when rating DC 5012.

VA proposes to rename DC 5013, which refers to "Osteoporosis, with joint manifestations," as "Osteoporosis, residuals of." VA proposes a similar revision to current DC 5014 by renaming "Osteomalacia" as "Osteomalacia, residuals of." Both osteoporosis and osteomalacia, in and of themselves, do not have any disabling characteristics. See Kelley's Textbook of Rheumatology 1730–1750 (Gary S. Firestein and Ralph C. Budd et al. eds.,10th ed. 2017). Rather, it is the residuals of these

conditions that VA evaluates. Thus, adding the reference "residuals of" provides more accurate instruction and information to rating personnel.

Current DC 5023 refers to "Myositis ossificans." VA proposes to update this DC to reflect the latest medical terminology and rename DC 5023 as "Heterotopic ossification." See Essentials of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation: Musculoskeletal Disorders, Pain and Rehabilitation, 691-95 (Walter R. Frontera and Julie K. Silver et al. eds., 2d ed. 2008). Additionally, VA proposes to revise DC 5024, currently named, "Tenosynovitis," to "Tenosynovitis, tendinitis, tendinosis, or tendinopathy." These newly-added conditions are commonly seen in the veteran population and represent similar forms of disability. See Kelley's Textbook of Rheumatology, supra at 587-604. This update would assist rating personnel in more quickly identifying the appropriate DC. Non-substantive revisions to the criteria of DC 5024 are also proposed.

Finally, VA proposes to retitle DC 5242, "Degenerative arthritis of the spine" as "Degenerative arthritis, degenerative disc disease other than intervertebral disc syndrome." This change gives rating personnel clear guidance whenever they encounter a diagnostic imaging report that references degenerative disc disease without mention of intervertebral disc syndrome (also known as disc herniation). A non-substantive revision to the citation accompanying DC 5242 is also proposed.

B. Substantive Revisions to Existing Diagnostic Codes: 5002, 5009–5011, 5051–5056, 5120, 5160, 5170, 5201, 5202, 5255, 5257, 5262, and 5271

In addition to modernizing the names of certain DCs, VA also proposes substantive (*i.e.*, not related to nomenclature) revisions to a number of existing DCs, to include some instances of changes in the evaluation criteria.

1. Diagnostic Code 5002

The first substantive revision proposed for § 4.71a involves DC 5002, "Arthritis rheumatoid (atrophic) As an active process." VA proposes to retitle this code as "Multi-joint arthritis (except post-traumatic and gout), 2 or more joints, as an active process." VA proposes this change to include a greater number of systemic arthritis processes that cause multisystem effects besides rheumatoid arthritis. The title would employ the phrase "multi-joint" rather than "polyarthritis" because polyarthritis requires 4 or more joints to

be involved. VA would provide, in Note (1), a non-exhaustive list of conditions rated under this code (rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, spondyloarthropathies, etc.). See Kelley's Textbook of Rheumatology, supra at 615–616. VA would also remove the language currently in DC 5002 regarding chronic residuals and, in Note (2), provide a directive to rate chronic residuals under DC 5003. VA proposes this change because the current language used for chronic residuals in DC 5002 is very similar to DC 5003 and its removal would simplify the schedule. Finally, VA would redesignate the code's current note as Note (3) and add a prohibition that prevents combining ratings from active process with DC 5003, instead directing rating personnel to assign the higher evaluation.

2. Diagnostic Code 5009

VA proposes that diagnostic code 5009, currently titled "Arthritis, other types (specify)," be retitled as "Other specified forms of arthropathy (excluding gout)." VA proposes this change to capture other disease processes that cause joint injury, but are not necessarily captured within the rating schedule. The current language accompanying DC 5009, concerning how to rate diagnostic codes 5004-5009, would be redesignated as Note (2) and would be revised to give guidance on how to rate both acute phase and chronic residuals. A new Note (1) would provide a non-exhaustive list of conditions that should be rated under this diagnostic code. No other changes are proposed for this code.

3. Diagnostic Code 5010

Diagnostic code 5010 currently states: "Arthritis, due to trauma, substantiated by X-ray findings: Rate as arthritis, degenerative." VA proposes to change the title and criteria to "Post-traumatic arthritis: Rate as limitation of motion, dislocation, or other specified instability under the affected joint. If there are 2 or more joints affected, each rating shall be combined in accordance with § 4.25.' VA proposes the title change to distinguish between joint conditions arising from traumatic causes and joint conditions resulting from systemic processes. This distinction is important, as the natural history (and ultimately the severity of disability) differs between joint conditions stemming from trauma as opposed to joint conditions related to systemic processes.

VA proposes the change in criteria to provide a more accurate approach to rating joint injuries resulting from trauma. The trauma process is a different event for each affected joint, as opposed to a condition such as rheumatoid arthritis, where the same systemic process can affect more than one joint in the same manner. VA also proposes the directive to combine ratings for separate joints affected by traumatic injury in accordance with § 4.25 so there will be no misunderstanding for rating personnel when encountering this situation. It is important to note that, as a result of these changes, DC 5010 would no longer rate joints affected by trauma-related arthritis under the criteria of DC 5003.

4. Diagnostic Code 5011

The next proposed substantive revision to § 4.71a is DC 5011, currently named "Bones, caisson disease of." VA proposes to first revise the title of this DC to "Decompression illness" to ensure use of the most modern terminology. See Richard D. Vann et al., "Decompression Illness," 377 Lancet 153-64 (2010). VA also proposes to revise the rating criteria for DC 5011, which currently direct rating personnel to "Rate as arthritis, cord involvement, or deafness, depending on the severity of disabling manifestations." The proposed changes would provide more detailed instructions on how to rate manifestations associated with decompression illness that are outside of the musculoskeletal system (i.e., not arthritic). It is well established among medical experts that the most common residual manifestations from decompression illness involve the vestibule-cochlear system (e.g., hearing impairment, dizziness, vertigo), respiratory system (e.g., obstructive lung disease, pulmonary blebs) or neurologic system (e.g., peripheral neuropathy, stroke, paralysis). As such, VA proposes to direct rating personnel to consider evaluations within the auditory system for vestibular residuals, the respiratory system for pulmonary barotrauma residuals, and the neurologic system for cerebrovascular accident residuals. Id.

5. Diagnostic Codes 5051-5056

Since the last revision to the musculoskeletal system schedule, the medical community has been employing a new treatment approach, joint resurfacing, for selected joints (particularly the hip and knee). There are important similarities between joint resurfacing and prosthetic joint replacement. Joint resurfacing takes about the same time to perform and the recovery/rehabilitation periods are similar to comparable prosthetic joint replacement. This means that the impact on earnings capacity caused by the convalescence and rehabilitation

from joint resurfacing is comparable to prosthetic joint replacement. However, there are significant differences with joint resurfacing, including: (1) Joint resurfacing preserves more of the original anatomy; and, (2) in most cases, joint resurfacing restores more of the original joint function than the prosthetic joint replacement. Therefore, less residual disability typically results from joint resurfacing as compared to prosthetic joint replacement. Currently, VA does not compensate for the disability associated with joint resurfacing, despite the similar impact on earnings capacity as prosthetic joint

To rectify this disparity, VA proposes to incorporate joint resurfacing within DCs 5054 and 5055 (hip and knee replacement, respectively), since more research assessing convalescence, rehabilitation, and functional recovery concerns these two joints. The DC titles would be revised to incorporate resurfacing, and the 100 percent evaluation for prosthetic hip and knee replacement would also apply to resurfacing these two joints. However, after the 100 percent evaluation period ends, further evaluation would assess the limitation of motion DCs for the hip and knee, rather than the prosthetic joint replacement of either the hip or knee, because, as previously stated, there is less of an expectation of residual disability with joint resurfacing. A note would be added to DCs 5054 and 5055 directing rating personnel, at the conclusion of the 100 percent evaluation period, to evaluate hip joint resurfacing claims under DCs 5250-5255 and knee joint resurfacing claims under DCs 5256-5262.

VA currently evaluates total joint replacements by assigning a 100 percent evaluation for 1 year following implantation of a prosthesis. After 1 year, VA assigns a minimum evaluation, with higher evaluations for complications or residuals such as weakness, pain, and limitation of motion. The evaluations assigned under these DCs are intended to encompass all musculoskeletal residuals under § 4.71a. Separate evaluations may be assigned for residuals such as scars or neurological deficits pursuant to § 4.14.

VA proposes two modifications in this regard. First, a note prior to DCs 5051 to 5056 would clarify that separate evaluations may not be assigned under § 4.71a for the joint that was resurfaced or replaced by a prosthesis unless otherwise directed. This note is intended to clarify current practice and ensure consistent application of these DCs among rating personnel.

In addition, for DCs 5054 and 5055, VA proposes to reduce the 100 percent evaluation period from 1 year to 4 months. Current medical practice for these conditions has recovery timelines that in most cases permit return to work well short of 1 year. In a review of studies looking at factors affecting return to work, the average time for return to work was between 1.1 and 13.9 weeks for hip arthroplasty and between 8.0 and 12.0 weeks for knee arthroplasty. See Claire Tilbury et al., "Return to work after total hip and knee arthroplasty: a systematic review," 53 Rheumatology 512-525 (2014).

6. Diagnostic Code 5120

VA currently evaluates amputations of the arm that involve disarticulation under DC 5120 as 90 percent disabling regardless of dominant arm involvement At the outset, VA proposes to revise the name of this DC to "Complete amputation, upper extremity," as this is a more accurate description of the amputation level and site.

Second, VA proposes to create two levels of disability under DC 5120 for rating purposes. One level would be titled "Disarticulation (involving complete removal of the humerus only)" and would provide a 90 percent compensation level for either major or minor extremity involvement; this level would be consistent with the current compensation level under DC 5120. However, the second level, to be titled "Forequarter amputation (involving complete removal of the humerus along with any portion of the scapula, clavicle, and/or ribs)," would provide for 100 percent compensation for either dominant or non-dominant extremity involvement. See Canale, supra at 659-71. Although both levels represent complete amputation of the upper extremity, VA believes a higher level of compensation is warranted for forequarter amputation because it is a more extensive amputation than disarticulation and results in a more significant occupational impact.

7. Diagnostic Code 5160

For reasons similar to those discussed immediately above, VA proposes two revisions of DC 5160, which pertains to amputation of the thigh at the level of disarticulation with loss of extrinsic pelvic girdle muscles. First, VA proposes to retitle this DC to "Complete amputation, lower extremity" to more accurately describe the amputation level and site.

VA also proposes to create two levels of criteria for rating purposes. One would be titled "Disarticulation (involving complete removal of the

femur and intrinsic pelvic musculature only)" and would provide a 90 percent rating that is consistent with the current rating under DC 5160. The second level, titled "Trans-pelvic amputation (involving complete removal of the femur and intrinsic pelvic musculature along with any portion of the pelvic bones)," would provide for a 100 percent rating. See Canale, supra at 651-58. VA believes that a higher level of compensation is warranted for transpelvic amputation because it is a more extensive amputation than disarticulation and results in a more significant occupational impact.

VA also proposes to insert a note under DC 5160 directing rating personnel to separately evaluate residuals involving other body systems, such as bowel or bladder impairment, under the appropriate diagnostic code.

8. Diagnostic Code 5170

Current DC 5170 refers to "Toes, all, amputation of, without metatarsal loss." VA proposes to add the phrase "or transmetatarsal, amputation of, with up to half of metatarsal loss" to include a residual of toe amputation that causes similar disability. See Canale, supra at 622–23. No change to the current level of compensation is proposed.

9. Diagnostic Code 5201

VA currently assigns ratings for limitation of motion of the arm at the shoulder where motion is limited to 25 degrees from the side, 45 degrees (midway between the side and shoulder level), or 90 degrees (at the shoulder level).

VA proposes to clarify the terminology used in these criteria by adding ranges of motion of the shoulder. Specifically, VA proposes to assign a 40 percent rating for a major joint, or 30 percent for a minor joint, where flexion and/or abduction is limited to 25 degrees from the side. VA also proposes to assign a 30 percent rating for a major joint, or 20 percent for a minor joint, where motion is limited to "midway between side and shoulder level," defined as flexion and/or abduction limited to 45 degrees or less. Finally, VA proposes to assign a 20 percent rating for a major or minor joint where motion is limited "at shoulder level," defined as flexion and/or abduction limited to 90 degrees or less.

These changes are not intended to alter the rating criteria. The proposed changes simply clarify the specific ranges of motion that qualify as limitations to ensure rating personnel consistently apply these criteria.

10. Diagnostic Code 5202

Currently, VA assigns a 20 percent rating for either shoulder joint when there are infrequent episodes of dislocation of the humerus at the scapulohumeral joint, with guarding of movement only at the shoulder level. VA proposes to define "the shoulder level" as flexion and/or abduction at 90 degrees. This change is not intended to alter the rating criteria. The proposed change simply clarifies the specific ranges of motion that qualify as limitations to ensure rating personnel consistently apply these criteria.

11. Diagnostic Code 5255

VA currently evaluates malunion of the femur by assigning a 30 percent rating for a "marked knee or hip disability," a 20 percent rating for a "moderate knee or hip disability," and a 10 percent rating for a "slight knee or hip disability." These criteria are subjective and the terminology is vague, resulting in inconsistent ratings.

Therefore, VA proposes removing this terminology and replacing it with an instruction to rate malunion of the femur as a knee or hip disability, whichever is predominant, under existing DCs that contain objective criteria. Specifically, this condition may be rated under DCs 5256 (Knee, ankylosis of), 5257 (Knee, other impairment of), 5260 (Leg, limitation of flexion of), 5261 (Leg, limitation of extension of), 5250 (Hip, ankylosis of), 5251 (Thigh, limitation of extension of), 5252 (Thigh, limitation of flexion of), 5253 (Thigh, impairment of), or 5254 (Hip, flail joint). This change would ensure that rating personnel consistently evaluate this disability based on objective criteria.

12. Diagnostic Code 5257

VA currently assigns ratings for recurrent subluxation or lateral instability of the knee based on whether the condition is slight (10 percent), moderate (20 percent), or severe (30 percent). These criteria are subjective and the terminology is vague, resulting in VA assigning inconsistent ratings.

When the condition involves patellar instability of the knee (due to recurrent patellar subluxation or patellar dislocation), one can determine the severity of functional impairment in large part by 1) the presence, or absence of, anatomic abnormalities (e.g., direct damage to patellofemoral ligament complex, "flake" fractures, or abnormalities affecting the patella and/or femoral trochlea); and 2) whether conservative treatment prevents recurrent instability. See Alexis C.

Colvin and Robin V. West, "Current Concepts Review: Patellar Instability," J. Bone & Joint Surgery—Am. Volume 90: 2751–62 (2008).

Instability or laxity of the knee that involves other stabilizing structures of the knee such as the collateral ligaments (medial or lateral) or the cruciate ligaments (anterior or posterior) are given a "grade" depending upon the amount of translation, in millimeters, of the joint (e.g., a grade 1 injury of the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) is represented by 0 to 5 millimeters (mm) of translation). T. K. Kakarlapudi et al., "Knee instability: isolated and complex," 34 Br. J. Sports Med. 395-400 (2000). Resulting functional impairment depends upon the grade of the injury and whether surgical intervention is required. Id. The higher the number grade is, the more severe the injury; that is, grade 1 would represent the least severe injury, grade 2 would be a more severe injury, and grade 3 would be the most severe injury.

Therefore, VA proposes replacing the current subjective terms with the following objective criteria: a 30 percent rating would be assigned for persistent grade 3 instability despite operative intervention and for which ambulation requires both bracing and an assistive device (e.g., cane(s), crutch(es), or a walker), as prescribed by a physician; or, in the case of patellar instability, persistent instability despite surgical repair (whether after the primary subluxation/dislocation event or due to recurrent instability). A 20 percent would be assigned for persistent grade 3 instability without operative intervention, but when ambulation requires both bracing and an assistive device (e.g., cane(s), crutch(es), or a walker), as prescribed by a physician; or, in the case of patellar instability, recurrent instability persists due to one or more documented underlying anatomic abnormalities, without surgical repair. A 10 percent evaluation would be assigned for persistent grade 1, 2, or 3 instability which requires an ambulation assistive device or bracing, as prescribed by a physician; or, in the case of patellar instability, recurrent instability persists without documented underlying anatomic abnormalities, without surgical repair. These criteria would take into account both the grade of the injury, as well as functional impairment resulting from the injury.

VA also proposes a note defining the grading of instability. Note (1) would specify that grade 1 instability requires 0–5 mm of joint translation, while grade 2 requires translation of 6–10 mm, and grade 3 requires joint translation equal to or greater than 11 mm. These levels

of instability or laxity are based upon modern medical practice. See Campbell's Operative Orthopedics, supra at 2157.

VA proposes a second note to clarify what constitutes surgical repair of patellar instability. Note (2) would specify that any operative procedure which does not involve actual anatomical structural repair would not qualify as surgical repair for the purposes of compensation. This note is specifically designed to exclude procedures that are not designed to repair instability or subluxation, such as joint aspiration, arthroscopy to remove loose bodies, and so forth.

In addition, DC 5257 currently refers to "lateral instability." Under current practice, any instability or laxity of the knee is evaluated under this code. Therefore, VA proposes to remove the term "lateral," so that this code also encompasses other specified forms of instability and/or laxity.

13. Diagnostic Code 5262

VA currently rates malunion of the tibia and fibula by assigning a 30 percent rating for a "marked knee or ankle disability," a 20 percent rating for a "moderate knee or ankle disability," and a 10 percent rating for a "slight knee or ankle disability." These criteria are subjective and the terminology is vague. This results in rating personnel assigning inconsistent ratings under these criteria.

Therefore, VA proposes removing this terminology and replacing it with an instruction to rate malunion of the tibia or fibula as a knee or ankle disability, whichever is predominant, under existing DCs that contain objective criteria. Specifically, this condition may be evaluated under DCs 5256 (Knee, ankylosis of), 5257 (Knee, other impairment of), 5260 (Leg, limitation of flexion of), 5261 (Leg, limitation of extension of), 5270 (Ankle, ankylosis of), or 5271 (Ankle, limited motion of). This change would ensure that rating personnel consistently assign evaluations based on objective criteria.

Another condition commonly claimed for disability compensation is medial tibial stress syndrome (MTSS), also known as "shin splints." It is a benign but painful condition that is typically diagnosed simply by history and physical examination, though imaging studies such as plain radiographs, bone scans, or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can be used in borderline cases, as well as to diagnose other conditions. The vast majority of cases respond to conservative therapy, such as rest, shock-absorbing insoles, and electrowave shock therapy. The rare

persistent cases that do not respond to conservative treatment can be treated with surgical intervention. To that end, VA proposes to modify the criteria for DC 5262 to account for MTSS as well as associated conditions. See M. Reshef and D. Guelich, "Medial Tibial Stress Syndrome," 31 Clinical Sports Med. 273–90 (2012).

14. Diagnostic Code 5271

VA currently assigns ratings for limited motion of the ankle depending upon whether the limitation is moderate (10 percent) or marked (20 percent). These criteria are subjective and the terminology is vague, resulting in inconsistent evaluations.

Therefore, VA proposes to define marked limitation of motion as less than 5 degrees dorsiflexion or less than 10 degrees plantar flexion. VA also proposes to define moderate limitation of motion as less than 15 degrees dorsiflexion or less than 30 degrees plantar flexion. As VA currently uses these standards to define marked and moderate, this change is intended as a clarification of current policy and would ensure consistent application of these criteria among rating personnel.

C. Proposed New Diagnostic Codes

1. Diagnostic Code 5244

The current Rating Schedule does not provide instructions for rating complete traumatic paralysis, *i.e.*, paraplegia or quadriplegia; however, this disability is not uncommon in the veteran population. As such, VA proposes the addition of DC 5244, "Traumatic paralysis, complete."

The proposed criteria for DC 5244 would direct personnel to rate paraplegia, or functional loss of the lower limbs and trunk, under DC 5110. DC 5110 applies to loss of use of both feet and provides for a 100 percent disability rating with entitlement to special monthly compensation. Proposed DC 5244 would also provide instructions for rating quadriplegia, or paralysis of all four limbs (i.e., the entire body below the neck). Specifically, VA proposes to rate quadriplegia under both DC 5109, loss of use of both hands, and DC 5110, loss of use of both feet, and combine. In practice, a veteran with service-connected quadriplegia would be entitled to two 100 percent ratings, which combine under 38 CFR 4.25 to a total evaluation of 100 percent. The veteran would also be entitled to special monthly compensation.

2. Diagnostic Code 5285

VA currently evaluates foot injuries not specifically listed in § 4.71a under

DC 5284 as "Foot injuries, other." Plantar fasciitis, a foot disability seen in the veteran population, is generally rated under this DC. However, unlike other unlisted foot injuries and conditions, which can often result in a variety of signs and symptoms with varying degrees of disability, plantar fasciitis, and its functional effects, are very well defined. See Sports Medicine and Arthroscopic Surgery of the Foot and Ankle 83-93 (Amol Saxena ed., 2013). Plantar fasciitis, also known as "jogger's heel," is generally characterized by heel pain due to inflammation. Craig C. Young et al., "Plantar fasciitis," Medscape Reference (Feb. 4, 2014), http:// emedicine.medscape.com/article/86143overview (last visited April 15, 2014). However, even at its most severe, this condition involves an otherwise structurally intact foot.

There are a variety of both surgical and non-surgical treatments that may relieve the primary symptoms of plantar fasciitis. Conservative measures are always employed first, and frequently include icing, stretching, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) therapy, strapping and taping, and/or over-the-counter orthotics. Id. at http:// emedicine.medscape.com/article/86143treatment. Other nonsurgical treatments may include injections, physical therapy, and custom orthotics. Id. Studies have reported a resolution incidence of up to 90 percent with nonsurgical measures. Id. In severe cases, non-surgical measures fail and surgery is required.

Individuals who respond to treatment, whether surgical or non-surgical, have generally no more than slight functional limitation due to plantar fasciitis. Further, such limitation is more associated with the treatment(s) required to check the pain (e.g., limitation of physical activities (such as running), injections, icing, use of NSAIDS, surgical residuals, etc.) than with the actual disability itself. For individuals who do not respond to treatment, the resulting limitations may vary, but are generally more pronounced for those who have bilateral, rather than unilateral, plantar fasciitis.

Given the foregoing, VA proposes to create a new DC, namely DC 5285, "Plantar fasciitis," to rate this condition. VA intends to evaluate this disability based on a combination of extent (one foot or both feet) and response to treatment (responsive or nonresponsive). For individuals whose plantar fasciitis does not respond to both surgical and non-surgical treatment, VA proposes to award 30 percent disability rating if both feet are

affected and a 20 percent disability rating if one foot is affected. For an individual whose plantar fasciitis (either unilateral or bilateral) is responsive to treatment (either nonsurgical or surgical), VA proposes a 10 percent disability rating.

Finally, consistent with other foot injuries and disabilities, VA intends to include a note with DC 5285 that would instruct rating personnel to assign a 40 percent rating in cases where there is actual loss of use of the foot. In cases where a veteran's bilateral plantar fasciitis has not improved following surgery and there is actual loss of use of one foot, this would result in a 40 percent evaluation for that foot and a 20 percent evaluation for the other foot that was not responsive to treatment, but did not result in loss of use.

D. Removal of Existing Diagnostic Codes

VA proposes to remove three obsolete codes from § 4.71a. The first two, DC 5018 and DC 5020, refer to "Hydrarthrosis, intermittent" and "Synovitis," respectively. Both hydrarthrosis and synovitis are signs found on physical examination. The disability from a specific condition that causes either hydrarthrosis or synovitis (e.g., rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, or pseudogout) is captured within current evaluation criteria for the specific disabling condition. See Kelley's Textbook of Rheumatology, supra at 588. Given that VA's disability compensation system is designed to compensate for disabilities, it is not appropriate to list either sign as its own DC.

For similar reasons, VA proposes to remove DC 5022, "Periostitis." Current medical terminology refers to "periosteal reaction" in order to include all of the possible causes, such as bleeding, infection, or tumor. In contrast, "periostitis" refers to a nonspecific inflammatory process due to a number of diagnoses that could potentially result in service connection. Since an evaluation should be conducted under the primary diagnosis, rather than a radiographic finding such as periostitis, VA intends to remove DC 5022. See Radiologic-Pathologic Correlations from Head to Toe: Understanding the Manifestations of Disease 668 (Nicholas C. Gourtsoyiannis and Pablo R. Ros eds., 2005).

II. Proposed Changes to § 4.73

Section 4.73 provides VA's schedule for rating muscle injuries. Following its review of this body system, VA proposes the addition of two DCs for conditions that previously required analogous rating.

The first proposed code, DC 5330, would apply to residuals of rhabdomyolysis, in which muscle tissue breaks down rapidly. See Janice L. Zimmerman and Michael C. Shen, "Rhabdomyolysis," 144(3) CHEST 1058-65 (2013). Although VA proposes to rate this condition based on residual impairment to the affected muscle group(s), it believes that a specific DC is needed as there is no current instruction to rating personnel as to how to evaluate this condition. Furthermore, in addition to provide rating instructions to evaluate each affected muscle group, VA proposes to include a note directing rating personnel to separately evaluate any chronic renal complications that may be associated with this condition.

The second DC VA proposes to add to § 4.73 is DC 5331, "Compartment syndrome." Similar to DC 5330, VA proposes to rate compartment syndrome, a condition in which there is increased pressure within the muscles, according to the affected muscle group(s). See Canale, supra at 2311–21. The addition of this DC would provide clear instructions to rating personnel; it would also eliminate the need for analogous coding for a condition seen in

the veteran population. In addition, VA proposes to add a second note at the beginning of § 4.73 directing that rating personnel consider the objective criteria contained in § 4.56 when determining whether a muscle disability is slight, moderate, moderately severe, or severe under DCs 5301 to 5323. Although § 4.56 references these DCs, the levels of severity are not defined in § 4.73, nor does that section currently reference § 4.56. Therefore, VA proposes to add this note for a crossreference.

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). Executive Order 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review) emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, reducing costs, harmonizing rules, and promoting flexibility. Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review) defines a "significant regulatory action," which requires review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), as "any regulatory action that is likely to result in a rule that may:

(1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.'

VA has examined the economic, interagency, budgetary, legal, and policy implications of this proposed rule, and it has been determined not to be a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

VA's impact analysis can be found as a supporting document at http:// www.regulations.gov, usually within 48 hours after the rulemaking document is published. Additionally, a copy of the rulemaking and its impact analysis are available on VA's Web site at http:// www1.va.gov/orpm/, by following the link for "VA Regulations Published."

Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any one year. This proposed rule would have no such effect on State, local, and tribal governments, or on the private sector.

Paperwork Reduction Act

Although this document contains provisions constituting a collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), no new or proposed revised collections of information are associated with this proposed rule. The information collection requirements are currently approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and have been assigned OMB control numbers 2900-0747, 2900-0776, 2900-0778, and 2900-0802 through 2900-0813. While no modifications to these forms are made by this rulemaking, the total incremental cost to all respondents is estimated to be \$198,002,21 during the first year. See Regulatory Impact Analysis for a full explanation.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary hereby certifies that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601-612. This proposed rule would not affect any small entities. Only VA beneficiaries could be directly affected. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this proposed rule would be exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers and Titles

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program numbers and titles for this rule are 64.013, Veterans Prosthetic Appliances; 64.109, Veterans Compensation for Service-Connected Disability; and 64.110, Veterans Dependency and Indemnity Compensation for Service-Connected Death.

Signing Authority

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or designee, approved this document and authorized the undersigned to sign and submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs. Gina S. Farrisee, Deputy Chief of Staff, Department of Veterans Affairs, approved this document on June 20, 2017, for publication.

Dated: July 21, 2017.

Michael Shores,

Director, Regulation Policy & Management, Office of the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 4

Disability benefits, Pensions, Veterans.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, VA proposes to amend 38 CFR part 4 as follows:

PART 4—SCHEDULE FOR RATING DISABILITIES

Subpart B—Disability Ratings

■ 1. The authority citation for part 4, subpart B continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 1155, unless otherwise noted.

- 2. Amend § 4.71a as follows:
- a. Revise diagnostic codes 5002, 5003, 5009-5015, 5023-5024, 5054, 5055, 5120, 5160, 5170, 5201, 5202, 5242, 5255, 5257, 5262, and 5271.
- b. Remove diagnostic codes 5018, 5020, and 5022.
- c. Add new introduction note to diagnostic codes 5051 through 5056 and add new diagnostic codes 5244 and

The revisions and additions read as follows:

§ 4.71a Schedule of ratings musculoskeletal system.

ACUTE, SUBACUTE, OR CHRONIC DISEASES

							Rating
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5002	Multi-joint arth	rritis (except post-trau	matic and gout), 2 o	r more joints, as an	active process:		
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
N	ote (1): Examp atic arthritis, a lote (2): For ch lote (3): The ra or diagnostic of	oles of conditions rate nd spondyloarthropatl ronic residuals, rate u	d using this diagnos nies. Inder diagnostic code ocess will not be corssign the higher eval	tic code include, but e 5003. mbined with the resi	are not limited to, rhe	umatoid arthritis, psc	ri-
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5009	Other specifie	d forms of arthropath	v (excludina aout).				

ACUTE. SUBACUTE. OR CHRONIC DISEASES—Continued

		ACUTE, SUBACU	TE, OR CHRON	IC DISEASES—C	onunuea		
							Rating
N	ote (1): Other specified forr		e, but are not limit	ed to, Charcot neuro	opathic, hypertrop	hic, crystalline,	
N	ote (2): With the types of	arthritis, diagnostic cod	es 5004 through	5009, rate the acut	e phase under o	liagnostic code	
	5002; rate any chronic residence Post-traumatic arthritis: Ra	ate as limitation of motion	on, dislocation, or		ability under the a	affected joint. If	
	e are 2 or more joints affect Decompression illness: Ra				the affected bod	v svstem, such	
as bar	arthritis for musculoskeleta otrauma residuals; and neur Bones, neoplasm, maligna	al residuals; auditory sologic system for cerebr	system for vestib ovascular accident	pular residuals; res residuals.	piratory system	for pulmonary	100
N	ote: The 100 percent rating therapy or other prescribed residuals.	will be continued for 1	year following the	e cessation of surgice	al, X-ray, antined	plastic chemo-	
5014	Osteoporosis, residuals of. Osteomalacia, residuals of Bones, neoplasm, benign.						
	* *	*	*	*		*	*
5024	Heterotopic ossification. Tenosynovitis, tendinitis, tevaluate the diseases under affected parts. However, ex	diagnostic codes 5013 t	hrough 5024 as de	egenerative arthritis,	based on limitati	on of motion of	
	* *	*	*	*		*	*
		PROSTHI	TIC IMPLANTS	AND RESURFACIN	IG.		
						Rating	
						Major	Minor
Note:	When an evaluation is assi	aned for joint resurfacing	n or the prosthetic	replacement of a id	oint under diagno	 stic codes 5051–50	156 an addi-
	al rating under § 4.71a may						
	* *	*	*	*		*	*
Prosth	Hip, resurfacing or replace netic replacement of the hea	d of the femur or of the					100
N	or 4 months following implations: At the conclusion of the 5250 through 5255.	e 100 percent evaluation	esurfacingn period, evaluate	resurfacing under di	agnostic codes		100
	* *	*	*	*		*	*
Prosth	Knee, resurfacing or replacement of knee jo	int:					
F N	or 4 months following implations: At the conclusion of the 5256 through 5262.	ntation of prosthesis or r e 100 percent evaluatior	esurfacingn n period, evaluate	resurfacing under di	agnostic codes		100
	* *	*	*	*		*	*
		Амр	UTATIONS: UPP	ER EXTREMITY			
						Rating	
						Major	Minor
	amputation of: 120 Complete amputation,		and of the bound				
	Forequarter amputation scapula, clavicle, and/o Disarticulation (involving	or ribs)				100 90	100 90
	* *	*	* Humerus Omy)	*		*	*
		AMP	UTATIONS: LOW	ER EXTREMITY			- Dati
							Rating

		Rating					
Trans-pelvic amputation (involving complete removal of the femur and intrinsic pelvic musculature along with any portion of the pelvic bones)							
* * * * * *	*	*					
5170 Toes, all, amputation of, without metatarsal loss or transmetatarsal, amputation of, with up to half of	metatarsal loss						
* * * * *	*	*					
THE SHOULDER AND ARM							
	Rating						
	Major	Minor					
	_						
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* 40 30 20	*					
202 Humerus, other impairment of: Loss of head of (flail shoulder) Nonunion of (false flail joint)	80 60						
Fibrous union of	50 30						
90°)	20						
Marked deformity Moderate deformity	30 20						
* * * *	*	*					
Tur Opure							
THE SPINE							
		Rating					
General Rating Formula for Diseases and Injuries of the Spine							
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* diagnostic code	*					
* * * *	*	*					
244 Traumatic paralysis, complete: Paraplegia: Rate under diagnostic code 5110. Quadriplegia: Rate separately under diagnostic codes 5109 and 5110 and combine evaluations in accordan	ce with §4.25.						
The Hip and Thigh							
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		*					
in the highest evaluation.	THORICYCE TESURE						

5257 Knee, other impairment of:

THE SPINE—Continued

						Rating
Recurrent subluxa						
					acing and assistive de-	
Persistent gra	de 3 instability with	out operative interv	ention, and a physici	ian prescribes both b	racing and assistive de-	
					ane(s), crutch(es), or a	
walker) or b	racing for ambulation				ane(s), cruich(es), or a	
	nted surgical repair,				ocation event or due to	
Without surgion	cal repair, recurrent e to patellofemoral	instability with one ligament complex, '	or more documented 'flake' fractures, or a	d underlying anatomic bnormalities affecting	abnormalities (e.g., di- the patella and/or fem-	
					ies	
	is defined as 0-5 m nt translation of equ			as 6-10 mm of joint	translation, and grade 3	
Note (2): For pate contribute to the	ellar instability, a sui	rgical procedure that lity shall not qualify	at does not involve re as surgical repair fo		anatomic structures that oses (including, but not	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
262 Tibia and fibula	, impairment of:					
Nonunion of, with Malunion of:	loose motion, requir	ing brace				
Evaluate unde		5256, 5257, 5260,	or 5261 for the knee,	or 5270 or 5271 for	the ankle, whichever re-	
	highest evaluation.	and the same that a				
Medial tibial stress	s syndrome (MTSS),	, or shin splints:	roquiring tractment	for no loss than 12 o	consecutive months and	
unresponsiv	evidence (X-rays, L	other conservative	, requiring treatment treatment or surgery	hoth lower extremiti	es	
unresponsiv	e to shoe orthotics,	other conservative	treatment, or surgery	r, both lower extremiti	es	
unresponsiv With imaging	e to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b	other conservative one scan, or MRI),	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment to	r, both lower extremiti for no less than 12 c	esonsecutive months, and	
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics,	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment treatment, or surgery	 both lower extremiti for no less than 12 c one lower extremity 	es	
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe ortho	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other cons	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment t treatment, or surgery requiring treatment t servative treatment, or	y, both lower extremition no less than 12 cm, one lower extremity for no less than 12 cm or both lower extrement.	es consecutive months, and consecutive months, and emities	
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe ortho	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other cons	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment t treatment, or surgery requiring treatment t servative treatment, or	y, both lower extremition no less than 12 cm, one lower extremity for no less than 12 cm or both lower extrement.	esonsecutive months, andonsecutive months, and onsecutive months, and	
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe ortho	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other cons	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment t treatment, or surgery requiring treatment t servative treatment, or	y, both lower extremition no less than 12 cm, one lower extremity for no less than 12 cm or both lower extrement.	es consecutive months, and consecutive months, and emities	*
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe ortho	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other cons	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment to treatment, or surgery requiring treatment to servative treatment, of both lower extremities	y, both lower extremition no less than 12 cm, one lower extremity for no less than 12 cm or both lower extrement.	es consecutive months, and consecutive months, and emities	*
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe ortho	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other cons	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment treatment, or surgery requiring treatment to servative treatment, o both lower extremities	y, both lower extremition no less than 12 cm, one lower extremity for no less than 12 cm or both lower extrement.	es consecutive months, and consecutive months, and emities	*
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutified that the consecution of the cons	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other cons	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment treatment, or surgery requiring treatment to servative treatment, o both lower extremities	y, both lower extremition no less than 12 cm, one lower extremity for no less than 12 cm or both lower extrement.	es consecutive months, and consecutive months, and emities	*
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * Ankle, limited m Marked (less than	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutions that 12 consecutions that 12 consecutions than 12 consecutions that	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conserve we months, one or be * tone or be tone	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities * The Ankle * degrees plantar flexion	y, both lower extremiti for no less than 12 c y, one lower extremity for no less than 12 c one or both lower extre *	es	*
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * 71 Ankle, limited m Marked (less than	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutions that 12 consecutions that 12 consecutions than 12 consecutions that	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conserve we months, one or be * tone or be tone	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities * The Ankle * degrees plantar flexion	y, both lower extremiti for no less than 12 c y, one lower extremity for no less than 12 c one or both lower extre *	es	*
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * 71 Ankle, limited m Marked (less than	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutions that 12 consecutions that 12 consecutions than 12 consecutions that	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conserve we months, one or be * tone or be tone	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities * The Ankle * degrees plantar flexion	y, both lower extremiti for no less than 12 c y, one lower extremity for no less than 12 c one or both lower extre *	es	*
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * Ankle, limited m Marked (less than	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutions that 12 consecutions that 12 consecutions than 12 consecutions that	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conserve we months, one or be * tone or be tone	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities * The Ankle * degrees plantar flexion	y, both lower extremiti for no less than 12 c y, one lower extremity for no less than 12 c one or both lower extre *	es	*
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * 71 Ankle, limited m Marked (less than	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutions that 12 consecutions that 12 consecutions than 12 consecutions that	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conserve we months, one or be * tone or be tone	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities * The Ankle * degrees plantar flexion and degree plantar flexion and degre	y, both lower extremiti for no less than 12 c y, one lower extremity for no less than 12 c one or both lower extre *	es	* *
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * 71 Ankle, limited m Marked (less than Moderate (less tha	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutions than 12 consecutions than 12 consecutions than 15 degrees dorsiflex t	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conserve we months, one or be * tone or be tone	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities * The Ankle * degrees plantar flexion and degree plantar flexion and degre	y, both lower extremiti for no less than 12 c y, one lower extremity for no less than 12 c one or both lower extre *	es	* *
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * 71 Ankle, limited m Marked (less than Moderate (less than * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, bve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, bve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutions than 12 consecutions than 12 consecutions than 15 degrees dorsified that is degree	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conserve two months, one or the two months,	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities * The Ankle * degrees plantar flexion 30 degrees plantar flexion 4. * The Foot	y, both lower extremiti for no less than 12 c y, one lower extremity for no less than 12 c one or both lower extre *	es	* *
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * 71 Ankle, limited m Marked (less than Moderate (less than * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutions than 12 consecutions than 15 degrees dorsified an 15 degrees dorsified that the control of the consecution of	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conseve we months, one or the * ion or less than 10 flexion or less than * on-surgical and sur	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities * The Ankle * degrees plantar flexion 30 de	t, both lower extremitifor no less than 12 ctr, one lower extremity for no less than 12 ctr, one or both lower extremity that is the content of the content	es	* *
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * 271 Ankle, limited m Marked (less than Moderate (less than * 285 Plantar fasciitis: With symptoms no bilateral	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutives than 12 consecutives than 12 consecutives than 15 degrees dorsiflex an 15 degrees dorsiflex than 15 degrees dorsiflex tha	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conserve ve months, one or be * ion or less than 10 flexion or less than * on-surgical and sur	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities * The Ankle * degrees plantar flexice 30 de	y, both lower extremitifor no less than 12 c c, one lower extremity for no less than 12 c one or both lower extra the cone of the co	es	* *
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * 71 Ankle, limited m Marked (less than Moderate (less than Woderate (less than With symptoms no bilateral With symptoms re	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutives than 12 consecutives than 12 consecutives than 15 degrees dorsiflex an 15 degrees dorsiflex than 15 degrees dorsiflex tha	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conserve ve months, one or be * ion or less than 10 flexion or less than * * on-surgical and surgical -surgical or surgical	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities and the servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities and the servative treatment of the servative treatment of treatment of servative treatment of servative treatment of servative treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatment of surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatment of surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatm	y, both lower extremitifor no less than 12 c c, one lower extremity for no less than 12 c one or both lower extra the cone of the co	es	* *
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * 271 Ankle, limited m Marked (less than Moderate (less than * 285 Plantar fasciitis: With symptoms no bilateral unilateral With symptoms re	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutifies than 12 consecutifies than 12 consecutifies than 15 degrees dorsifies an 15 degrees dorsifies than 15 degrees dorsifies	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conserve ve months, one or be * ion or less than 10 flexion or less than * * on-surgical and surgical -surgical or surgical	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities and the servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities and the servative treatment of the servative treatment of treatment of servative treatment of servative treatment of servative treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatment of surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatment of surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment of surgery requiring treatm	y, both lower extremitifor no less than 12 c c, one lower extremity for no less than 12 c one or both lower extra the cone of the co	es	* *
unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv With imaging unresponsiv Treatment les * 271 Ankle, limited m Marked (less than Moderate (less than * 285 Plantar fasciitis: With symptoms no bilateral unilateral With symptoms re	ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to shoe orthotics, evidence (X-rays, b ve to both shoe orthotics than 12 consecutifies than 12 consecutifies than 12 consecutifies than 15 degrees dorsifies an 15 degrees dorsifies than 15 degrees dorsifies	other conservative cone scan, or MRI), other conservative cone scan, or MRI), otics and other conserve ve months, one or be * ion or less than 10 flexion or less than * * on-surgical and surgical -surgical or surgical	treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of treatment, or surgery requiring treatment of servative treatment, of sorth lower extremities * The Ankle * The Foot * gical treatment: treatment, unilateral	y, both lower extremitifor no less than 12 c c, one lower extremity for no less than 12 c one or both lower extra the cone of the co	es	* *

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 1155)

* * * * * *

■ 3. In § 4.73, add new introduction notes (1) and (2) and add new diagnostic codes 5330 and 5331 to read as follows:

§ 4.73 Schedule of ratings—muscle injuries.

Note (1): When evaluating any claim involving muscle injuries resulting in loss of use of any extremity or loss of use of both buttocks (diagnostic code 5317, Muscle

Group XVII), refer to § 3.350 of this chapter to determine whether the veteran may be entitled to special monthly compensation.

Note (2): Ratings of slight, moderate, moderately severe, or severe for diagnostic

codes 5301 through 5323 will be determined based upon the criteria contained in § 4.56.

* * * * *

MISCELLANEOUS

* * * * * * * * *

5330 Rhabdomyolysis, residuals of.

Rate each affected muscle group separately and combine in accordance with § 4.25.

Note: Separately evaluate any chronic renal complications within the appropriate body system.

5331 Compartment syndrome.

Rate each affected muscle group separately and combine in accordance with § 4.25.

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 1155)

- 4. Amend Appendix A to Part 4 as follows:
- a. In § 4.71a, revise diagnostic codes 5002, 5003, 5012, 5024, 5051–5056, 5255, 5257;
- b. In § 4.71a, add diagnostic codes 5009–5011, 5013–5015, 5018, 5020, 5022–5023, 5120, 5160, 5170, 5201, 5202, 5242, 5244, 5262, 5271 and 5285;
- c. In § 4.73, add new introduction note and diagnostic codes 5330 and 5331.

The revisions read as follows:

Appendix A to Part 4—Table of Amendments and Effective Dates Since 1946

	Sec.	Diagnostic Code No.	
4.71a			
*	*	*	* * * *
		5002 5003	Evaluation March 1, 1963; title, criteria, note [insert effective date of final rule] Added July 6, 1950; title [insert effective date of final rule]
*	*	*	* * *
		5009 5010 5011 5012 5013 5014 5015 5018 5020 5022 5023 5024	Title, evaluation, note [insert effective date of final rule]. Title, criteria [insert effective date of final rule]. Title, criteria [insert effective date of final rule]. Criterion March 10, 1976; title, note [insert effective date of final rule]. Title [insert effective date of final rule]. Title [insert effective date of final rule]. Removed [insert effective date of final rule]. Removed [insert effective date of final rule]. Removed [insert effective date of final rule]. Title [insert effective date of final rule]. Criterion March 1, 1963; title, criteria [insert effective date of final rule].
*	*	* 5051 5052 5053 5054	* Added September 22, 1978; note [insert effective date of final rule]. Added September 22, 1978; note [insert effective date of final rule]. Added September 22, 1978; note [insert effective date of final rule]. Added September 22, 1978; title, criterion, and note [insert effective date of final rule]. Added September 22, 1978; title, criterion, and note [insert effective date of final rule].
		5056	rule]. Added September 22, 1978; note [insert effective date of final rule].
*	*	* 5120 5160	* Title, criterion [insert effective date of final rule]. Title, criterion, note [insert effective date of final rule].
*	*	* 5170	* * * * Title [insert effective date of final rule].
		5170	The Insert enective date of final rule.
*	*	* 5201 5202	Criterion [insert effective date of final rule]. Criterion [insert effective date of final rule].
*	*	* 5242	* * * * Title [insert effective date of final rule]
*	*	* 5244	* * * * * Added [insert effective date of final rule].

	ec.	Diagnostic Code No.			
*	*	*	* *	*	*
		5255	Criterion July 6, 1950; criterion [inse	ert effective date of final ru	<i>le</i>].
*	*	*	* *	*	*
		5257	Evaluation July 6, 1950; criterion ar	nd note [insert effective dat	te of final rule].
*	*	*	* *	*	*
		5262	Criterion [insert effective date of final	al rule].	
*	*	*	* *	*	*
		5271	Criterion [insert effective date of final	al rule].	
*	*	*	* *	*	*
		5285	Added [insert effective date of final	rule].	
*	*	*	* *	*	*
.73			Introduction NOTE criterion July 3, of final rule].	1997; second NOTE added	d [insert <i>effective da</i>
*	*	*	* *	*	*
		5330 5331	Added [insert effective date of final Added [insert effective date of final		
Diagnostic Co	ode No.		MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM		
		Acute	, Subacute, or Chronic Diseases		
*	*	*	* *	*	*
			xcept post-traumatic and gout), 2 or ms, other than post-traumatic.	* ore joints, as an active pro	* Cess.
*	De	egenerative arthritis	s, other than post-traumatic.	* ore joints, as an active pro *	* cess.
 * 009	De	egenerative arthritis * her specified forms	s, other than post-traumatic. * s of arthropathy (excluding gout).	* ore joints, as an active pro *	* Cess. *
* 009 010	De * Ot Pc De	egenerative arthritis * her specified forms est-traumatic arthritic ecompression illnes	s, other than post-traumatic. * * s of arthropathy (excluding gout). is. ss.	* ore joints, as an active pro *	* Cess. *
* 009 010 011 012	De * Ot Pc De Bc	* her specified forms sst-traumatic arthritic compression illnes ones, neoplasm, m	s, other than post-traumatic. * s of arthropathy (excluding gout). is. is. alignant, primary or secondary.	* ore joints, as an active pro *	* Cess. *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* Ot De	her specified forms best-traumatic arthritic ecompression illnes ones, neoplasm, m steoporosis, residu steomalacia, residu	s, other than post-traumatic. * s of arthropathy (excluding gout). sis. sis. alignant, primary or secondary. als of. als of.	* ore joints, as an active pro *	* Cess. *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* Ot De	her specified forms set-traumatic arthritic ecompression illnes nes, neoplasm, m steoporosis, residu	s, other than post-traumatic. * s of arthropathy (excluding gout). sis. sis. alignant, primary or secondary. als of. als of.	* ore joints, as an active pro *	* Cess.
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* Ot Pc De Bc Os Bc	her specified forms ost-traumatic arthritic compression illnes nes, neoplasm, m steoporosis, residu steomalacia, residu steomalacia, residu ones, neoplasm, be	s, other than post-traumatic. * s of arthropathy (excluding gout). is. ss. alignant, primary or secondary. als of. als of. inign.	* ore joints, as an active pro *	* Cess. *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* Ot Pc De	her specified forms est-traumatic arthritis compression illnes nes, neoplasm, m steoporosis, residu steomalacia, residu steomalacia, residu steomalacia, residu steomalacia, residu steomalacia, residu steomalacia, residu	s, other than post-traumatic. * s of arthropathy (excluding gout). is. ss. alignant, primary or secondary. als of. als of. inign. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* ore joints, as an active pro *	* Cess. *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* Ot Pc De	her specified forms est-traumatic arthritis compression illnes nes, neoplasm, m steoporosis, residu steomalacia, residu steomalacia, residu steomalacia, residu steomalacia, residu steomalacia, residu steomalacia, residu	s, other than post-traumatic. * s of arthropathy (excluding gout). is. ss. alignant, primary or secondary. als of. als of. inign.	* ore joints, as an active pro *	* Cess. *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		her specified forms set-traumatic arthritis compression illnes mes, neoplasm, m steoporosis, residu steomalacia, residu mes, neoplasm, be esterotopic ossificati mosynovitis, tendir	s, other than post-traumatic. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ore joints, as an active pro * *	* Cess. *
003		ther specified forms obst-traumatic arthritis set-traumatic arthritis ecompression illnes ones, neoplasm, mosteoporosis, residusteomalacia, residu	s, other than post-traumatic. * s of arthropathy (excluding gout). is. ss. alignant, primary or secondary. als of. als of. inign. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ore joints, as an active pro * * *	* Cess. *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		ther specified forms obst-traumatic arthritis set-traumatic arthritis ecompression illnes ones, neoplasm, mosteoporosis, residusteomalacia, residu	s, other than post-traumatic. * s of arthropathy (excluding gout). sis. sis. alignant, primary or secondary. als of. als of. inign. * on. itis, tendinosis or tendinopathy. * uplacement (prosthesis).	ore joints, as an active pro * * * * *	* Cess. * *
* 10002		her specified forms st-traumatic arthritis compression illnes ones, neoplasm, m steoporosis, residu steomalacia, residu ones, neoplasm, be eterotopic ossificati onosynovitis, tendir p, resurfacing or re inee, resurfacing or	s, other than post-traumatic. * s of arthropathy (excluding gout). sis. sis. alignant, primary or secondary. als of. als of. inign. * on. itis, tendinosis or tendinopathy. * uplacement (prosthesis).	ore joints, as an active pro * * * *	* Cess. * *
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* Ot	her specified forms st-traumatic arthritis compression illnes ones, neoplasm, m steoporosis, residu steomalacia, residu ones, neoplasm, be eterotopic ossificati onosynovitis, tendir p, resurfacing or re inee, resurfacing or	s, other than post-traumatic. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ore joints, as an active pro * * * *	* Cess. * * *
**************************************	* Ot	her specified forms best-traumatic arthritis est-traumatic arthritis est-traum	s, other than post-traumatic. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ore joints, as an active pro * * * * *	* cess. * * *

Thigh, amputation of:

5160 Complete amputation, lower extremity.

Diagnostic (Code No.					
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5170		Toes, all, amputation of, tarsal loss.	without metatarsal	loss or transmetatarsa	al, amputation of, with	up to half of meta
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
			SPINE			
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5242		Degenerative arthritis, de 5003 or 5010).	generative disc dis	sease other than inter	vertebral disc syndror	me (also, see eithe
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5244		Traumatic paralysis, comp	plete.			
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
			THE FOOT			
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5285		Plantar fasciitis.				
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
		N	USCLE INJURIES	3		
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
			Miscellaneous			
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
		Rhabdomyolysis, residual Compartment syndrome.	s of.			
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

- 6. Amend Appendix C to Part 4 as follows:
- a. Revise the entries for Amputation, Arthritis, New growths, Myositis ossificans, Tenosynovitis, Prosthetic Implants, and Hip;
- b. Add entries in alphabetical order for Spine, Traumatic paralysis, complete; Plantar fasciitis; Rhabdomyolysis; and Compartment syndrome; and
- c. Remove entries for Hydroarthrosis, intermittent; Synovitis; and Periostitis.

The revisions read as follows:

Appendix C to Part 4—Alphabetical Index of Disabilities

						Diagnostic Code No.
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Amputation:						
Arm:						
Complete	amputation, upper ex	ctremity				512
Above ins	sertion of deltoid					512
Below ins	ertion of deltoid					512
						512
Digits, four of						
Thumb, ir	ndex, long and ring					512
Thumb, ir	ndex, long and little					512
Thumb, ir	ndex, ring and little					512
						513
						513
Digits, three of						
Thumb, ir	ndex and long					513
						513
						513
						513
						513
Thumb, ri	ng and little					513

						Diagnostic Code No.
Index, long and ring	a					513
						513
Index, ring and little	e					514
						514
Digits, two of one hand:						E14
						514 514
						514
•						514
						514
						514
						514
						514
						515 515
Single finger:			••••••	•••••		313
						515
Index finger						515
Long finger						515
0 0						515
						515
Forearm:	nronator teres					512
	•					512
Leg:	J. 5110.01 10100					012
With defective stun	np					516
Not improvable by	prosthesis controll	ed by natural ki	nee action			516
						516
						516
					half of metatarsal loss	517
						517 517
						517
Thigh:	o, williout motatare	odi ilivolvellielit				017
	on, lower extremity	/				516
						516
Middle or lower thir	rds					516
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Arthritis:						
Degenerative, othe	r than post-trauma	tic				500
						500
						500
						500
						501
a. : `. '		• ,				500
						500 500
						500
Arthropathy						500
, ,						
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Bones:						504
						501 501
						527
Chortening of the lower	CAUCITITY		••••••	•••••		321
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Colitis, ulcerative						732
Compartment syndrome						533
			*			
*	*	*		*	*	*
Pacryocystitis Decompression illness						603 501
ecompression illiess						301
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ernia:						
						734
						734
S						733
						532
Heterotopic ossification						733 502
ictorotopic ossilication		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			502

						Diagnost Code No	tic o.
Hip:						-	5254
Fiali joilit						5)234
* Hodgkin's disease	*	*	*	*	*	* 7	7709
Hydronephrosis							7509
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Myocardial infarction	•••••					7	7006
Myositis						5	502
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Osteomalacia, residuals of						5	5014
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Osteoporosis, residuals of						5	5013
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Paralysis:							
							6030 3004
							524 ²
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Pericarditis	•••••					7	7002
Peripheral vestibular disorde						6	620 ₄
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Plague							6307
Plantar fasciitis	•••••					5	5285
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Prosthetic implants:						5	5056
							5052
Hip, resurfacing or repla-	cement						5054
Knee, resurfacing of rep	nacement					3	5055
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	200
Retinitis Rhabdomyolysis, residuals o							6006 5330
*							
* Spinal stenosis	*	*	*	*	*	* 5	5238
Spine:							
Degenerative arthritis, de	egenerative disc dis	ease other than in	tervertebral disc s	syndrome		5	5242
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Syndromes:	ma (CES)					6	205.
	` '						6354 7907
							6205
•							7117 6847
Syphilis							6310
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Tenosynovitis tendinitis teno	dinosis or tendinopa	thy				5	5024
ronocymovido, tonamido, tone	anioolo oi tollaniopa	,					

[FR Doc. 2017–15766 Filed 7–31–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-P