

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: First Revised Service Agreement No. 2848, Queue No. AC1–063 to be effective 6/27/2017.

Filed Date: 7/27/17.

Accession Number: 20170727–5118.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 8/17/17.

Docket Numbers: ER17–2166–000.

Applicants: PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Interconnection Service Agreement No. 2005, Queue No. AA2–140 to be effective 6/29/2017.

Filed Date: 7/27/17.

Accession Number: 20170727–5122.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 8/17/17.

Docket Numbers: ER17–2167–000.

Applicants: Southern California Edison Company.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Amended LA Stanton Energy Reliability Center BESS Project SA No. 968 to be effective 7/11/2017.

Filed Date: 7/27/17.

Accession Number: 20170727–5134.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 8/17/17.

Docket Numbers: ER17–2168–000.

Applicants: PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Wholesale Market Participation Agreement No. 4760; Queue AC1–147 to be effective 7/24/2017.

Filed Date: 7/27/17.

Accession Number: 20170727–5136.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 8/17/17.

Docket Numbers: ER17–2169–000.

Applicants: PacifiCorp.

Description: Notice of Termination of the Long-Term Power Sale Agreement (Rate Schedule No. 433) of PacifiCorp.

Filed Date: 7/27/17.

Accession Number: 20170727–5137.

Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 8/17/17.

The filings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the links or querying the docket number.

Any person desiring to intervene or protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

eFiling is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling/filing-req.pdf>. For other information, call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Dated: July 27, 2017.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–16225 Filed 8–1–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OPPT–2017–0141; FRL–9963–30]

Certain New Chemicals or Significant New Uses; Statements of Findings for April 2017

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Section 5(g) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) requires EPA to publish in the **Federal Register** a statement of its findings after its review of TSCA section 5(a) notices when EPA makes a finding that a new chemical substance or significant new use is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. Such statements apply to premanufacture notices (PMNs), microbial commercial activity notices (MCANs), and significant new use notices (SNUNs) submitted to EPA under TSCA section 5. This document presents statements of findings made by EPA on TSCA section 5(a) notices during the period from April 1, 2017 to April 30, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: *For technical information contact:* Greg Schweer, Chemical Control Division (7405M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (202) 564–8469; email address: schweer.greg@epa.gov.

For general information contact: The TSCA–Hotline, ABVI–Goodwill, 422 South Clinton Ave., Rochester, NY 14620; telephone number: (202) 554–1404; email address: TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general. As such, the Agency has not attempted to describe the specific entities that this action may apply to. Although others may be affected, this action applies directly to the submitters of the PMNs addressed in this action.

B. How can I get copies of this document and other related information?

The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPPT–2017–0141, is available at <http://www.regulations.gov> or at the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics Docket (OPPT Docket), Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OPPT Docket is (202) 566–0280. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information about the docket available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

II. What action is the Agency taking?

This document lists the statements of findings made by EPA after review of notices submitted under TSCA section 5(a) that certain new chemical substances or significant new uses are not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. This document presents statements of findings made by EPA during the period from April 1, 2017 to April 30, 2017.

III. What is the Agency's authority for taking this action?

TSCA section 5(a)(3) requires EPA to review a TSCA section 5(a) notice and make one of the following specific findings:

- The chemical substance or significant new use presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment;
- The information available to EPA is insufficient to permit a reasoned evaluation of the health and environmental effects of the chemical substance or significant new use;
- The information available to EPA is insufficient to permit a reasoned evaluation of the health and environmental effects and the chemical substance or significant new use may present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment;
- The chemical substance is or will be produced in substantial quantities, and such substance either enters or may reasonably be anticipated to enter the environment in substantial quantities or there is or may be significant or substantial human exposure to the substance; or
- The chemical substance or significant new use is not likely to

present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment.

Unreasonable risk findings must be made without consideration of costs or other non-risk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant under the conditions of use. The term “conditions of use” is defined in TSCA section 3 to mean “the circumstances, as determined by the Administrator, under which a chemical substance is intended, known, or reasonably foreseen to be manufactured, processed, distributed in commerce, used, or disposed of.”

EPA is required under TSCA section 5(g) to publish in the **Federal Register** a statement of its findings after its review of a TSCA section 5(a) notice when EPA makes a finding that a new chemical substance or significant new use is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. Such statements apply to PMNs, MCANs, and SNUNs submitted to EPA under TSCA section 5.

Anyone who plans to manufacture (which includes import) a new chemical substance for a non-exempt commercial purpose and any manufacturer or processor wishing to engage in a use of a chemical substance designated by EPA as a significant new use must submit a notice to EPA at least 90 days before commencing manufacture of the new chemical substance or before engaging in the significant new use.

The submitter of a notice to EPA for which EPA has made a finding of “not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment” may commence manufacture of the chemical substance or manufacture or processing for the significant new use notwithstanding any remaining portion of the applicable review period.

IV. Statements of Administrator Findings Under TSCA Section 5(a)(3)(C)

In this unit, EPA provides the following information (to the extent that such information is not claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI)) on the PMNs, MCANs and SNUNs for which, during this period, EPA has made findings under TSCA section 5(a)(3)(C) that the new chemical substances or significant new uses are not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment:

- EPA case number assigned to the TSCA section 5(a) notice.
- Chemical identity (generic name, if the specific name is claimed as CBI).
- Web site link to EPA’s decision document describing the basis of the

“not likely to present an unreasonable risk” finding made by EPA under TSCA section 5(a)(3)(C).

EPA Case Number: J–17–0007;
Chemical identity: Biofuel producing *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* modified, genetically stable (generic name); **Web site link:** <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca-section-5a3c-determination-54>.

EPA Case Number: P–17–0227;
Chemical identity: 2-Alkenoic acid, 2-alkyl-, alkyl ester, polymer with 2-alkyl 2-propenoate and -(2-alkyl-1-oxo-2-alken-1-yl—alkoxypoly(oxy-1,2-alkanediyl), ester with -2-alken-1-yl—hydroxypoly(oxy-1,2-alkanediyl); polymer exemption flag (generic name); **Web site link:** <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca-section-5a3c-determination-53>.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2601 *et seq.*

Dated: June 8, 2017.

Greg Schweer,
Chief, New Chemicals Management Branch,
Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution
Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 2017–16275 Filed 8–1–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Petitions IV–2016–06 and –07; FRL–9965–57–Region 4]

Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petitions for Objection to State Operating Permits for Duke Energy, LLC—Asheville Steam Electric Plant (Buncombe County, North Carolina) and Roxboro Steam Electric Plant (Person County, North Carolina)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of final orders on petitions to object to state operating permits.

SUMMARY: The EPA Administrator signed two Orders, dated June 30, 2017, granting the petitions submitted by Sierra Club (Petitioner) objecting to proposed Clean Air Act (CAA) title V operating permits issued to Duke Energy, LLC. One Order responds to a June 17, 2016, petition objecting to a proposed title V permit issued by the Western North Carolina Regional Air Quality Agency to the Asheville Steam Electric Plant located in Arden, Buncombe County, North Carolina. The other Order responds to a June 23, 2016, petition objecting to a proposed title V permit issued by the North Carolina

Department of Environmental Quality to the Roxboro Steam Electric Plant located near Semora, in Person County, North Carolina. Each Order constitutes a final action on the petition addressed therein.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Orders, the petitions, and all pertinent information relating thereto are on file at the following location: EPA Region 4; Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division; 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The Orders are also available electronically at the following addresses: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-7/documents/duke_asheville_response2016.pdf, https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-07/documents/duke_roxboro_response2016_0.pdf.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Art Hofmeister, Air Permits Section, EPA Region 4, at (404) 562–9115 or hofmeister.art@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The CAA affords the EPA a 45-day period to review and, as appropriate, the authority to object to operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities under title V of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7661–7661f. Section 505(b)(2) of the CAA and 40 CFR 70.8(d) authorize any person to petition the EPA Administrator to object to a title V operating permit within 60 days after the expiration of the EPA’s 45-day review period if EPA has not objected on its own initiative. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period. Pursuant to sections 307(b) and 505(b)(2) of the CAA, a petition for judicial review of those parts of the Order that deny issues in the petition may be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days from the date this notice is published in the **Federal Register**.

Petitioner submitted a petition requesting that EPA object to the proposed CAA title V operating permit #11–628–15 issued to the Asheville Steam Electric Plant and a separate petition requesting that EPA object to the proposed title V operating permit #01001T49 issued to the Roxboro Steam Electric Plant. Petitioner claims generally that each permit must contain stricter, modeling-based numerical emission limits for sulfur dioxide (SO₂) to prevent exceedances of the 2010 1-