

Francisco, CA 94105–3901; (415) 947–4151 or kelly.johnj@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean the EPA.

Today’s notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. The EPA sent a letter to CARB on October 19, 2017 stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted 2016 San Diego Ozone Plan for the RFP milestone year 2017 are adequate for transportation conformity purposes.¹ We announced availability of the plan and related budgets on the EPA’s transportation conformity Web site on July 20, 2017, requesting comments by August 21, 2017. We received no comments in response to the adequacy review posting. The finding is available at the EPA’s conformity Web site: <https://www.epa.gov/state-and-local-transportation/state-implementation-plans-sip-submissions-epa-has-found-adequate-or>. The adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets are provided in the following table:

**ADEQUATE MOTOR VEHICLE
EMISSIONS BUDGETS**

Budget year	Volatile organic compounds (tons per summer day)	Nitrogen oxides (tons per summer day)
2017	23	42

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c). The EPA’s conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and transportation projects conform to a state’s air quality SIP and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they conform. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the NAAQS.

The criteria we use to determine whether a SIP’s motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4), promulgated on August 15, 1997.² We have further described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our final rule dated July 1, 2004, and we used the

information in these resources in making our adequacy determination.³ Please note that an adequacy review is separate from the EPA’s completeness review and should not be used to prejudge the EPA’s ultimate action on the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 93.104(e), within 2 years of the effective date of this notice, SANDAG and the U.S. Department of Transportation will need to demonstrate conformity to the new budgets if the demonstration has not already been made.⁴ For demonstrating conformity to the budgets in this plan, the on-road motor vehicle emissions from implementation of the transportation plan or program should be projected consistently with the budgets in this plan, *i.e.*, by taking the county’s emissions results derived from CARB’s EMFAC model (short for Emission FACTor) and then rounding the emissions up to the nearest ton.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: October 25, 2017.

Alexis Strauss,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 2017–25020 Filed 11–16–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY**

[ER–FRL–9036–2)]

**Environmental Impact Statements;
Notice of Availability**

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7146 or <http://www2.epa.gov/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements (EISs)
Filed 11/06/2017 Through 11/10/2017
Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

Notice

Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act requires that EPA make public its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies. EPA’s comment letters on EISs are available at: <https://cdxnodengn.epa.gov/cdx-nepa-public/action/eis/search>.

EIS No. 20170219, Draft Supplement, FRA, CA, California High-Speed Rail: Fresno to Bakersfield Section, Comment Period Ends: 01/16/2018, Contact: Stephanie Perez (202) 493–0388.

³ See 69 FR 40004 (July 1, 2004).

⁴ See 73 FR 4419 (January 24, 2008).

EIS No. 20170222, Final, NMFS, OR, Analyze Impacts of NOAA’s National Marine Fisheries, Service joining as a signatory to a new U.S. v. Oregon, Management Agreement for the Years 2018–2027, Review Period Ends: 12/18/2017, Contact: Jeromy Jording (360) 753–9576.

EIS No. 20170223, Draft, NCPC, DC, South Mall Campus Master Plan, Comment Period Ends: 01/16/2018, Contact: Matthew Flis (202) 482–7236.

EIS No. 20170224, Draft, USACE, VA, Draft Integrated City of Norfolk Coastal Storm Risk Management Feasibility Study, Comment Period Ends: 01/02/2018, Contact: Kathy Perdue (757) 201–7218.

EIS No. 20170225, Final, DOS, DC, Foreign Missions Center at the Former Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Review Period Ends: 12/18/2017, Contact: Geoffrey Hunt (202) 647–7530.

EIS No. 20170226, Draft Supplement, BLM, AZ, Ray Land Exchange Plan Amendment, Comment Period Ends: 02/16/2018, Contact: Michael Werner (602) 417–9561.

EIS No. 20170227, Draft, TVA, TN, Cumberland Fossil Plant Coal Combustion Residual Management Operations, Comment Period Ends: 01/02/2018, Contact: Anita Masters (423) 751–8697.

EIS No. 20170228, Final, FHWA, NY, New York State Route 198 (Scajaquada Expressway) Corridor Project, Review Period Ends: 12/19/2017, Contact: Peter Osborn (518) 431–4127.

Dated: November 14, 2017.

Kelly Knight,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 2017–24973 Filed 11–16–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY
COMMISSION**

**Agency Information Collection
Activities: Proposed Collection;
Comment Request; Generic Clearance
for the Collection of Qualitative
Feedback on Agency Service Delivery**

AGENCY: Equal Employment
Opportunity Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for
comments.

SUMMARY: As part of a Federal
Government-wide effort to streamline
the process to seek feedback from the
public on service delivery, the U.S.
Equal Employment Opportunity

¹ See letter from Matthew J. Lakin, Acting Director, Air Division, EPA Region IX, to Richard Corey, Executive Officer, CARB, dated October 19, 2017.

² See 62 FR 43780 (August 15, 1997).

Commission (EEOC) has submitted a Generic Information Collection Request (Generic ICR): "Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery" to OMB for approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA).

DATES: Written comments on this notice must be submitted on or before December 18, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this notice must be submitted to Joseph B. Nye, Policy Analyst, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503, email oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. Commenters are also encouraged to send comments to the EEOC online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions on this Web site for submitting comments. In addition, the EEOC's Executive Secretariat will accept comments in hard copy. Hard copy comments should be sent to Bernadette Wilson, Acting Executive Officer, EEOC, 131 M Street NE., Washington, DC 20507. Finally, the Executive Secretariat will accept comments totaling six or fewer pages by facsimile ("fax") machine before the same deadline at (202) 663-4114. (This is not a toll-free number.) Receipt of fax transmittals will not be acknowledged, except that the sender may request confirmation of receipt by calling the Executive Secretariat staff at (202) 663-4070 (voice) or (202) 663-4074 (TTY). (These are not toll-free telephone numbers.) The EEOC will post online at <http://www.regulations.gov> all comments submitted, regardless of whether they are submitted via the Web site, in hard copy, or by fax to the Executive Secretariat. These comments will be posted without change, including any personal information you provide. However, the EEOC reserves the right to refrain from posting libelous or otherwise inappropriate comments including those that contain obscene, indecent, or profane language; that contain threats or defamatory statements; that contain hate speech directed at race, color, sex, national origin, age, religion, disability, or genetic information; or that promote or endorse services or products. All comments received, including any personal information provided, also will be available for public inspection during normal business hours by appointment only at the EEOC Headquarters Library, 131 M Street NE., Washington, DC 20507. Upon request, individuals who require assistance viewing comments will be provided appropriate aids such

as readers or print magnifiers. To schedule an appointment, contact EEOC Library staff at (202) 663-4630 (voice) or (202) 663-4641 (TTY). (These are not toll-free numbers.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erin Norris, Senior Attorney, EEOC Office of Legal Counsel, 129 W. Trade Street, Charlotte, NC 28202, 704-954-6491, erin.norris@eeoc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery.

Abstract: The information collection activity will garner qualitative customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient, timely manner, in accordance with the government's commitment to improving service delivery. By qualitative feedback we mean information that provides useful insights on perceptions and opinions, but are not statistical surveys that yield quantitative results that can be generalized to the population of study. This feedback will provide insights into customer or stakeholder perceptions, experiences and expectations, provide an early warning of issues with service, or focus attention on areas where communication, training or changes in operations might improve delivery of products or services. These collections will allow for ongoing, collaborative and actionable communications between the Agency and its customers and stakeholders. It will also allow feedback to contribute directly to the improvement of program management.

Feedback collected under this generic clearance will provide useful information, but it will not yield data that can be generalized to the overall population. This type of generic clearance for qualitative information will not be used for quantitative information collections that are designed to yield reliably actionable results, such as monitoring trends over time or documenting program performance. Such data uses require more rigorous designs that address: The target population to which generalizations will be made, the sampling frame, the sample design (including stratification and clustering), the precision requirements or power calculations that justify the proposed sample size, the expected response rate, methods for assessing potential non-response bias, the protocols for data collection, and any testing procedures that were or will be undertaken prior fielding the study. Depending on the degree of influence the results are likely to have, such collections may still be eligible for submission for other generic

mechanisms that are designed to yield quantitative results.

No comments were received by the agency in response to the 60-day notice published in the **Federal Register** of December 22, 2010 (75 FR 80542).

Below we provide EEOC's projected average estimates for the next three years:

Current Actions: New collection of information.

Type of Review: New collection.

Affected Public: Individuals and households, businesses and organizations, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Average Expected Annual Number of Activities: 5.

Respondents: 8,020.

Annual Responses: 11,020.

Frequency of Response: Once per respondent for four activities, twice per respondent for one activity.

Average Minutes per Response: 6.5.

Burden Hours: 1194.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

For the Commission.

Dated: November 9, 2017.

Victoria A. Lipnic,
Acting Chair.

[FR Doc. 2017-24979 Filed 11-16-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6570-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Petition No. P2-17]

Petition of COSCO Shipping Lines Co., Ltd., Orient Overseas Container Line Limited, and OOCL (Europe) Limited for an Exemption From Agreement Filing; Notice of Filing And Request for Comments

Notice is hereby given that COSCO Shipping Lines Co., Ltd., Orient Overseas Container Line Limited, and OOCL (Europe) Limited ("Petitioners"), have petitioned the Commission pursuant to Section 16 of the Shipping Act of 1984, 46 U.S.C. 40103(a), 46 CFR 502.92, and 46 CFR 535.301, for an exemption from agreement filing requirements. Petitioners request an exemption "to replicate as to Cosco and OOCL the exemption automatically applicable to wholly-owned subsidiaries pursuant to 46 CFR 535.307, including its exemption from the provisions of Section 10(c) of the Act, 46 U.S.C. 41105."

The Petitioners state that a pending business transaction will make them