

5. NHTSA Precedents—The petitioners noted that NHTSA has previously granted petitions for decisions of inconsequential noncompliance for the following similar brake telltale issues:

- Docket No. NHTSA–2017–0011, 82 FR 33551 (July 20, 2017), grant of petition for Daimler Trucks North America, LLC.
- Docket No. NHTSA–2014–0046, 79 FR 78559 (December 30, 2014), grant of petition for Chrysler Group, LLC.
- Docket No. NHTSA–2012–0004, 78 FR 69931 (November 21, 2013), grant of petition for Ford Motor Company.
- Docket No. NHTSA–2017–011, 82 FR 33551 (July 20, 2017), Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance for Daimler Trucks North America, LLC.

In these instances, the vehicles displayed an ISO symbol for the brake telltale instead of the wording required under FMVSS No. 101. The ISO symbol, in combination with other available warnings, was deemed sufficient to provide the necessary driver warnings.

The petitioners concluded by expressing their belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety, and that NHTSA should grant their petitions to be exempted from providing notification of the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118, and a remedy for the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120.

The petitioner's complete petitions and all supporting documents are available by logging onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) website at: <https://www.regulations.gov> and following the online search instructions to locate the docket numbers listed in the title of this notice.

VI. NHTSA's Analysis

NHTSA has considered the arguments presented by the petitioners and has determined that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. NHTSA believes that the subject noncompliance poses no risk to motor vehicle safety because multiple sources of information, as described in the petition and discussed below, are simultaneously activated to warn the driver of a low air pressure condition in the brake system.

1. When a low air pressure situation exists, for both a parked or moving vehicle, the ISO symbol will illuminate in red with a black background. The petitioner's use of red is an accepted color representing an urgent condition and provides a definitive indication of a situation that needs attention.

2. Simultaneous to illumination of the ISO symbol is activation of an audible alert, further notifying the operator that a malfunction exists, requiring corrective action. Although the alert would not, in and of itself, identify the problem, a driver would be prompted by the warning tone to heed the telltale (i.e., ISO symbol).

3. In a low-pressure situation, the operator is provided additional feedback by the primary and secondary instrument cluster air gauges which are marked with numerical values in PSI units along with red contrasting colors on the gauges during a low-pressure condition.

4. Further, NHTSA agrees with the petitioners that the functionality of the parking brake system and the braking performance of the service brake system remain unaffected by use of the ISO symbol instead of the words "Brake Air" on the subject vehicles.

5. Lastly, NHTSA believes that, as the affected trucks are predominately used as commercial vehicles with professional drivers, operators will monitor their vehicle's condition and take note of any warning signs and gauge readings to ensure proper functionality of all systems. The petitioners stated, and the agency agrees, that professional drivers will become familiar with the meaning of telltales and other warnings and that the feedback provided to the driver in these vehicles, if a low brake pressure condition exists, would be well understood. This learning process is reinforced by the in-cab function check for the brake pressure telltale and audible warning.

6. The ISO symbol has been used on U.S.-certified vehicles for many years. If the driver is not familiar with its meaning, the Owner's manual can be referenced which will explain the relationship with the brake system. Over time, the ISO symbol has evolved to become increasingly recognizable and understandable to drivers so if it is activated, they would likely be alerted to a possible brake system malfunction which needs to be remedied.

NHTSA concludes that simultaneous activation of the red ISO symbol with a black contrasting background, an audible alert for a low air pressure condition, along with the primary and secondary air gauge indicators, and the reduced drivability of the vehicles under a low air pressure condition, provide adequate notification to the operator that a brake malfunction exists. NHTSA further concludes that the discrepancy with the telltale requirement is unlikely to lead to any misunderstanding since other sources of

correct information beyond the "Brake Air" telltale are provided when a low air pressure condition exists.

VII. NHTSA's Decision

In consideration of the foregoing, NHTSA finds that Autocar Industries and Hino have met their burden of persuasion that the FMVSS No. 101 noncompliance is, in each case, inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety. Accordingly, Autocar Industries and Hino's petitions are hereby granted, and they are exempted from the obligation to provide notification of and remedy for, the subject noncompliance in the affected vehicles under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120.

NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, this decision only applies to the subject vehicles that Autocar Industries and Hino no longer controlled at the time it determined that the noncompliance existed. However, the granting of this petition does not relieve vehicle distributors and dealers of the prohibitions on the sale, offer for sale, or introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of the noncompliant vehicles under their control after Autocar Industries and Hino notified them that the subject noncompliance existed.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120, delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

Otto G. Matheke III,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.
[FR Doc. 2019-05600 Filed 3-22-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. DOT-OST-2015-0271]

Agency Request for Renewal of a Previously Approved Information Collection: Prioritization and Allocation Authority Exercised by the Secretary of Transportation Under the Defense Production Act

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary of Transportation, DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Transportation (DOT) invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval to renew an information collection. The collection involves information required in an application to request Special Priorities Assistance. The information to be collected is necessary to facilitate the supply of civil transportation resources to promote the national defense. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted by May 24, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments [identified by Docket No. DOT–OST–2015–0271] through one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- *Fax:* 1–202–493–2251.
- *Mail or Hand Delivery:* Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except on Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anita Womack, 202–366–2250, Office of Intelligence, Security and Emergency Response, Office of the Secretary of Transportation, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 2105–0567.

Title: Prioritization and Allocation Authority Exercised by the Secretary of Transportation Under the Defense Production Act.

Form Numbers: OST F 1254.

Type of Review: Renewal of a previously approved information collection.

Background

The Defense Production Act Reauthorization of 2009 (Pub. L. 111–67, September 30, 2009) requires each Federal agency with delegated authority under section 101 of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended (50 U.S.C. App. § 2061 *et seq.*) to issue final rules establishing standards and procedures by which the priorities and allocations authority is used to promote the national defense. The Secretary of Transportation has the delegated authority for all forms of civil transportation. DOT's final rule, Transportation Priorities and Allocation System (TPAS), published October

2012, requires this information collection. Form OST F 1254, Request for Special Priorities Assistance, would be filled out by private sector applicants, such as transportation companies or organizations. The private sector applicant must submit company information, the services or items for which the assistance is requested, and specific information about those services or items.

Respondents: Private sector applicants, such as transportation companies or organizations.

Number of Respondents: We estimate 6 respondents.

Total Annual Burden: We estimate an average burden of 30 minutes per respondent for an estimated total annual burden of 3 hours.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the Department's performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for the Department to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 20, 2019.

Donna O'Berry,

Deputy Director, Office of Intelligence, Security and Emergency Response.

[FR Doc. 2019–05650 Filed 3–22–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–9X–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control

Notice of OFAC Sanctions Actions

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) is publishing the names of one or more persons that have been placed on OFAC's Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List based on OFAC's determination that one or more applicable legal criteria were satisfied. All property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of these persons are blocked, and U.S.

persons are generally prohibited from engaging in transactions with them.

DATES: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

OFAC: Associate Director for Global Targeting, tel.: 202–622–2420; Assistant Director for Sanctions Compliance & Evaluation, tel.: 202–622–2490; Assistant Director for Licensing, tel.: 202–622–2480; Assistant Director for Regulatory Affairs, tel. 202–622–4855; or the Department of the Treasury's Office of the General Counsel: Office of the Chief Counsel (Foreign Assets Control), tel.: 202–622–2410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Availability

The Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons List and additional information concerning OFAC sanctions programs are available on OFAC's website (www.treasury.gov/ofac).

Notice of OFAC Actions

On March 15, 2019, OFAC determined that the property and interests in property subject to U.S. jurisdiction of the following persons are blocked under the relevant sanctions authorities listed below.

Individuals

1. MEDVEDEV, Gennadiy (a.k.a. MEDVIEDIEV, Gennadiy Nikolayevich); DOB 14 Sep 1959; citizen Russia; Gender Male; Deputy Director of the Border Guard Service of the Federal Security Service of the Russian Federation (individual) [UKRAINE–EO13661].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(ii)(A) of Executive Order 13661 of March 16, 2014, “Blocking Property of Additional Persons Contributing to the Situation in Ukraine” (E.O. 13661), for being an official of the Government of the Russian Federation.

2. NAYDENKO, Aleksey Alekseevich (Cyrillic: НАЙДЕНКО, Алексей Алексеевич) (a.k.a. NAIDENKO, Aleksey; a.k.a. NAYDENKO, Oleksii Oleksiyovych (Cyrillic: НАЙДЕНКО, Олександр Олександрович); DOB 02 Jun 1980; POB Donetsk, Ukraine; Gender Male (individual) [UKRAINE–EO13660].

Designated pursuant to section 1(a)(i)(B) of Executive Order 13660 of March 10, 2014 “Blocking Property of Certain Persons Contributing to the Situation in Ukraine” (E.O. 13660), for being responsible for or complicit in, or having engaged in, directly or indirectly, actions or policies that threaten the peace, security, stability, sovereignty, or territorial integrity of Ukraine.

3. ROMASHKIN, Ruslan (a.k.a. ROMASHKIN, Ruslan Aleksandrovich (Cyrillic: РОМАШКИН, Руслан Александрович); DOB 15 Jun 1976; Gender Male; Head of the Service Command Point of the Federal Security Service of the Russian Federation for the Republic of Crimea and Sevastopol (individual) [UKRAINE–EO13661].