

sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain vehicle security and remote convenience systems and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,191,053 (the “’053 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 7,483,783 (the “’783 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 7,646,285 (the “’285 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 7,898,386 (the “’386 patent”), and U.S. Patent No. 8,378,800 (the “’800 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

Addresses: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katherine Hiner, Office of the Secretary, Docket Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-1802.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2018).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on April 4, 2019, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation,

or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–9, 11–14, 16–19, 21–24, 26, 29–32, 34, 35, 38–40, 81–89, 91–94, 96, 99, and 100 of the ’053 patent; 1–3, 6, 7, 18, 25, 52, 53, 56, and 57 of the ’783 patent; claims 1–9 and 12–16 of the ’386 patent; claims 1–3, 17, 39, 40 and 52 of the ’285 patent, and claims 1–6, 8, and 11–15 of the ’800 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “user smartphones running software applications or handheld key fobs with software for sending commands to vehicles; vehicle-installed modules that receive commands from the smartphones or key fobs and communicate with vehicle electronics to execute the commands; and vehicle accessories that are turned on/off or otherwise controlled by the smartphones, key fobs, and/or in-vehicle modules”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:
DEI Holdings, Inc., Directed, LLC, 1 Viper Way, Vista, California 92081.
Directed Electronics Canada Inc., 2750 Alphonse-Gariepy St., Lachine, Quebec, H8T 3M2, Canada.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:
Automotive Data Solutions, Inc., 8400 Bougainville, Montreal, QC H4P 2G1, Canada.

Firstech, LLC, 21903 68th Avenue South, Kent, Washington 98032.
AAMP of Florida, Inc., 15500 Lightwave Drive, Suite 202, Clearwater, Florida 33760.

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of institution of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of

Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of institution of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 5, 2019.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019-07101 Filed 4-9-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1075]

Certain Electrochemical Glucose Monitoring Systems and Components Thereof; Commission Determination To Affirm an Initial Determination Granting a Motion for Summary Determination of Non-Infringement of the Asserted Patents; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to affirm an initial determination (Order No. 33) granting a motion for summary determination of non-infringement of the asserted patents and the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) underlying orders. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW,

Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–708–2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on October 25, 2017, based on a complaint filed on September 18, 2017, on behalf of Dexcom, Inc. of San Diego, California (“Dexcom”). 82 FR 49420 (Oct. 25, 2017). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electrochemical glucose monitoring systems and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,724,045 and 9,750,460. The notice of investigation named as a respondent AgaMatrix, Inc. of Salem, New Hampshire (“AgaMatrix”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party in the investigation.

On May 10, 2018, the ALJ issued Order No. 26, granting-in-part a motion by AgaMatrix to strike portions of Dexcom's expert reports. Order No. 26 struck, in relevant part, certain portions of an expert report relating to whether the accused products meet the “film” term of the “enzyme-containing film” limitation of the asserted claims and precluded Dexcom from relying on the arguments and theories described in the struck portions of the expert report during the investigation.

On May 17, 2018, AgaMatrix filed a motion for summary determination of non-infringement of the asserted patents on the basis that Dexcom cannot prove that the accused products directly or indirectly infringe any of the asserted claims. On May 29, 2018, Dexcom opposed the motion. On June 1, 2018, AgaMatrix moved for leave to file a reply in support of its motion. On June

6, 2018, Dexcom opposed the motion for leave.

On June 7, 2018, the ALJ issued the subject initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 33), granting AgaMatrix's motion for summary determination of non-infringement with respect to direct infringement but denying the motion with respect to indirect infringement. The ID also denied AgaMatrix's motion for leave to file a reply in support of its motion and stayed the procedural schedule pending review of the ID.

On June 18, 2018, Dexcom filed a petition for review of the ID's findings on direct infringement and Order No. 26. On June 25, 2018, AgaMatrix filed its opposition.

On July 23, 2018, the Commission determined to review the subject ID in its entirety, as well as the underlying orders. Notice (July 23, 2018).

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the subject ID, the petition for review, and response thereto, the Commission has determined to affirm Order No. 33's summary determination of non-infringement and the ALJ's underlying orders. Commissioner Schmidlein dissents from the majority's decision. Her views have been filed on EDIS.

The investigation is terminated.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 4, 2019.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019–07047 Filed 4–9–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1137]

Certain Semiconductor Lithography Systems and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation in Its Entirety

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review a March 12, 2019 initial determination (“ID”) (Order

No. 9) terminating this investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Traud, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3427. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 23, 2018, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on a complaint filed by ASML Netherlands B.V. of Veldhoven, the Netherlands, ASML US, L.P. of Chandler, AZ, and ASML US, LLC of Chandler, AZ (collectively, “ASML”). 83 FR 53498 (Oct. 23, 2018). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,295,283, 7,403,264, and 9,188,880. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Nikon Corporation of Tokyo, Japan, Nikon Precision Inc. of Belmont, California, and Nikon Research Corporation of America of Belmont, California (collectively, “Nikon”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not a party in this investigation. *Id.*

On February 25, 2019, ASML and Nikon jointly moved pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b) (19 CFR 201.21(b)) to terminate this investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement.

On March 12, 2019, the presiding administrative law judge issued Order No. 9, the subject ID, which grants the motion. The ID finds that the joint motion complies with Commission Rule 210.21(b). The ID additionally finds that terminating the investigation is in the public interest. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.